

AUM TAT SAT

DATTĀTREYA

The Way and the Goal

BY

HIS HIGHNESS

SRI JAYA CHAMARAJENDRA WADIYAR BAHADUR G.C.B, G C.S I., LL.D.

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Introduction by Dr. S Radhakrishnan

MOTILAL BANARSIDASS



This paperbound edition 1982 Coombe Springs Press

First published in Great Britain George Allen & Unwin Ltd

© George Allen & Unwin 1957

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner or form or by any means of electronic photographic or mechanical reproduction or by audio recording or by any information storage or retrieval system or method available now or in the future without the prior permission in writing of Coombe Springs Press Ltd

18BN 0 900306 94 7

Published by Coombe Springs Press, England
on behalf of
Motilal Banarsidass
Bungalow Road
Jawahar Nagar
Delhi 110 007
India

Printed in Great Britain

Chet by University Press, Oxford

by Eric Buckley

Printer to the University

DEDICATION

TO MY MOTHER

who showed me the light of Datta, most gracious Lady, Her Highness Srī Kempu Cheluvājammanni Avaru, the Yusarāni of Mysore, an example of the highest order of Indian womanhood, a great devotee and a loving and benign mother,

this book is most respectfully dedicated by her devoted and loving son, Jaya Chamaraja Wadiyar

and

To Mrs. Adiraja Urs, my maternal grandmother, who kindled in me an interest in Lord Datta, and was herself a great upāsakī of the Lord, this book is respectfully dedicated in affection, love and the deepest respect by her grandson, Jaya Chamaraja Wadiyar.

INTRODUCTION

In this book, Datlatreya, the author who is the Maharaja of Mysore and at present Governor of the Mysore State, gives us English translations of Jivanmukta Gitā and Avadhūta Gitā with his own commentary. These treatises expound the Advarta Vedanta philosophy, which offers the basis for a sympathetic understanding among different religions. The representation of Dattatreva as a being with three faces indicates the fundamental oneness of the three gods Brahmā, Visnu and Siva. eka eva tridhā smṛtaḥ, one only but conceived as threefold. The works here brought together speak of the spirit behind all religions independent of the restrictions of dogma. Since this experience of reality cannot be adequately described, we must be gentle with the different versions of the experience. Spiritual humility should be our attitude and not dogmatic pride or intolerance. The forms we worship are the splintered images of the Divine Reality. This synthetic vision which is the characteristic of Hindu thought from its beginnings in the Veda has in it the healing of the divisions among religions today.

The Advaita system which this book develops believes in the realisation of the Eternal as the goal of religion. The Upanisad says, 'I have known the supreme person, the radiant one, beyond the dark clouds' vedāham etam purusam mahāntam, ādītyavarnam tamasah parastāt. Religions spring from the encounters of human beings with the Absolute Reality that is in and at the same time beyond all the phenomena of existence, life and history. The individual soul may commune with God at any time, in any place and in any historical circumstances. The individual can by austerity and discipline discover the divine in him and establish the superiority of the inner man over the environmental conditions. The experience is communicated and conveyed to mankind as the inspiration for a new way of life. When once we discover the divine in us, we become freed from egotism.

rāga-dvesa-vinirmuktah sarva-bhūta-hite-rataḥ| dṛḍha-bodhaś ca dhīraś ca gacchet paramam padam||

1 cp Tejobindu Upanisad aham brahmeti niscitya, aham thavam parityaja

He who is free from attachment and aversion, who is intent on doing good to all creatures, whose knowledge is stable and who is courageous attains to the highest truth. All religions express the eternal voice of hope calling upon us to renounce hatred and greed. There is no chance of transforming the world into a juster, kinder, and gentler place than by the practice of unselfishness in individual and social matters.

The writer's translations and notes reveal his vast learning and deep devotion. The writer is not merely a theoretical student but a practising disciple, a sādhaka. He not only points out how the two works are rooted in the classical scriptures of Hinduism but are endorsed by his own personal experience. His comments on the different metaphysical questions, the concept of Dattātreya, the nature of the Absolute Reality, the status of the world, the practice of meditation, the individual self and the meaning of liberation or spiritual freedom are always interesting and often illuminating. Those who read this book will have a rewarding experience.

S. RADHAKRISHNAN.

24/12/56.

¹ Avadhüla Gliā 11.24.

CONTENTS

Preface		page	MI
I	THE DIVINE IN MAN (Īśvarānugraha):		3
	r. The Urge for Philosophy		3
	2. The Philosophic Vision (Pratibhā)		13
II	DATTĀTREYA: THE ABSOLUTE		21
	1. Dattātreya: A Sketch		21
	2. Dattātreya: Meditation		51
HI	DATTĀTREYA: THE SPIRITUAL DISCIPLINE:		56
	1. Dattatreya The Liberated in Life		_
	(Jīvanmukta-Gītā)		56
	2. Dattātreya: The Incarnation		74
IV	DATTATREYA' THE PHILOSOPHER (Avadhūta).		86
	1. The Presupposition of Dattadvaita		0.5
	(The Philosophy of the Avadhūta-Gītā) 2 Dattādvasta: Epistemology, Ontology and		86
	the Theory of Liberation	J	813
	3. The Avadhūta-Gītā,	1	5 T
	Translation and the background of Śruti		52
h	A CRITICAL ESTIMATE OF THE PHILOSOPHY O	F	
	DATTATREYA (with its background)		38
	1. Dattatreya The Truth of Equipoise	2	38
	2. Dattatreya: The All-Complete		49
Ind	ev	2	83

FOREWORD

This book is primarily an attempt on the part of the author to rise above ignorance and obtain a glimpse of the truth. The grace of the Lord has been itself the inspiration for this attempt to serve Him. This humble tribute has been written to interest thinking men in this noble subject and induce them to traverse this great road of love and faith. The author is only too conscious of his own limitations; but, as all that there is in him is of Lord Datta, he has ventured on this token of his devotion.

ŚRĪ GURU DATTĀTREYO VIJAYATE

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I MUST express my deep gratitude to Prof. H. N. Raghavendrachar and Prof M. Yamunacharya for their assistance in bringing this book to its present shape. I am greatly fortified to know that the book has been scrutinized by them. May Datta protect them always!

To many others who have helped me I offer sincere thanks I wish to mention three persons in particular for their unstinted co-operation and help—Major L. Mahadevaiah, Sri C. R. Soob Rau and Sri Sri Datta Sarma May the Lord Datta always guide and protect them!

The views expressed are entirely my own and no one else is responsible for them.

JAYA CHAMARAJA WADIYAR

PREFACE

Dattātreyam gurum devam dhyāyannīśam sadāśivam tanmantram tasya gītām ca vyākurve tat prasādatah

'Dattatreya, the Teacher and the Deity,
I meditate on Him, the Lord, the ever-holy Lord,
His hymn and His song too
Do I expound by His irresistible grace.'

INDU Mythology and Iconography have represented Dattatreya as a Being with three faces, one the face of Brahmā, the other of Visnu and the third of Siva of the Hindu pantheon. He has six hands of which the three right ones hold respectively a trident, a rosary and a lotus-flower and the three left ones hold discus, conch and the ascetic's water-pot. The three heads stemming from the same trunk represent the powers of creation, preservation and destruction which are present in the universe as being the functions that emanate from one and the same Reality although each function is designated differently. The discus and the conch are associated with Visnu, the trident and the ascetic's water-pot with Siva, and the rosary and the lotus with Brahmā. These are the myths and symbols suggestive of the rich exuberance of India's philosophy, religion and art. The trinity (trimūrti) emanating from a unity (ekain sat) indicates the synthetic vision of the unity of things which has given life to Indian Philosophy down the ages. The four dogs portrayed at the feet of Dattatreya represent the four Vedas which follow at the feet of the Lord as hounds of heaven and watch-dogs of truth, owned by Dattatreya, the Great Hunter for the souls of men. The ochre coloured garb of Dattätreya symbolizes sanyāsa, the spirit of renunciation or detachment without which realization of ultimate truth would only be a half-hearted effort and infructuous in the extreme.

It is the synthetic philosophy of the *Veda* that finds an embodiment in Dattātreya and his philosophy is expounded in two important works known as the *Avadhūta-Gītā* and the *Jīvanmukta Gītā*. These works are unknown to the wider world but deserve rediscovery. My

Preface XIII

object in this work is to present a faithful and close interpretation of the philosophy contained in the Gītās mentioned above and to set forth its implications in the light of Vedic thought. This, I hope, will bring out the most vital truths of a dynamic philosphy of life, a dynamic morality and a dynamic religion leading to the regeneration of mankind which is becoming oblivious of the finer and deeper values of life in its hot pursuit of power, pleasure and profit.

Dattātreya as ultimate Truth and ultimate Reality is the theme of this work consisting of five chapters. Dattātreya is the teacher and the embodiment of this truth. He is the way and the goal as well. The Śāndilya-Upanisad holds up this truth and declares it to be the cream of vedāntic thought. The task I have embarked upon is by no means easy but the urge for expression that surged up within me was like an experience of irresistible. Divine Grace (Iśvarānugraha) but for which this work would not have seen the light of day. The ineffable experience of divine grace led me on to articulate to myself the thoughts that occurred to me in the course of sādhana or spiritual endeavour mapped out to the initiate.

I have started the work with discussing how the grace of the Lord (Iśvarānugraha) is the efflorescence of the divine in man and how it expresses itself in the urge for philosophy and culminates in philosophic vision, pratibhā. This forms the content of the first chapter which I have named 'The Divine in Man (Iśvarānugraha)'.

In the second chapter entitled 'Dattātreya: The Absolute', I have attempted to sketch briefly the absolute character of this truth in the light of the teaching of Sruti or Revelation wherein this truth is expounded. In this connection I have selected a verse from Sāndilya-Upanisad and interpreted it according to the Vedic tradition. The sketch of the Absolute is the fruit of meditation on the Absolute Against it there can be no meditation consistent with the truth The Absolute being all-comprehensive, the meditation on It must be the complete recognition of Its absolute or omnipotent character. To illustrate this truth I have selected a passage from the Dattātreya Upanisad presenting the correct form of meditation and interpreting it consistently with the Vedic spirit in the latter part of the chapter.

The third chapter is entitled 'Dattātreya: The Spiritual Discipline'. Dattātreya is both the goal (upeya) and the discipline (upāya), for, nothing can fall outside the Absolute. Meditation is spiritual discipline It is not outside the Absolute. Indiscipline is equated with bondage and discipline is equated with liberation. Dattātreya being

the embodiment of spiritual discipline is necessarily a person and he is necessarily the unfettered soul though embodied, jīvanmukta*. By way of illustrating Dattātreya as the liberated while alive, I have, in the former part of this chapter, translated and explained the Jīvannukta-Gītā attributed to Dattātreya. In the second part is given an account of Dattātreya's incarnation as an illustration of the gracious attributes of Dattātreya.

The fourth chapter is entitled 'Dattatreya: The Philosopher (Avadhūta)'. I have named the philosophy taught by Dattātreya, the teacher, Dattādvaita, i.e. Datta as advaita. This chapter is divided into three parts. In the first part an account of the presuppositions of this philosophy is given. The ultimate truth is transcendent. With regard to it the only source of knowledge is Veda. A conscious recognition of this fact is the presupposition of Dattādvaita. The second part presents an account of epistemology, ontology and the theory of liberation of Dattadvarta. This is the philosophy embodied in the Avadhūta-Gītā taught by Dattātreya. I have therefore in the third chapter translated this work with necessary notes. Dattādvaita is the Vedic philosophy which does full justice to all aspects of Vedic teaching. It is the philosophy of perfection and plenitude, pūrņa resulting in sama, equality, equanimity and equipoise as expounded, for example, in the Brhadāranyaka-Upanisad. For the purpose of illustrating this truth which runs like a golden thread in India's philosophy, at the end of the translation of each verse in the first two chapters of the Avadhūta-Gītā the corresponding Upanisadic texts are given.

The fifth chapter is entitled 'A Critical Estimate of *Dattādvaita* with Its Background'. I have herein attempted to indicate how the urge for philosophy ultimately results in . *Dattādvaita* or the philosophy of Equipoise and how this has given a fresh impetus to the spiritual aspirant. Next follow concluding remarks.

As already indicated, the whole literature constituting the Vedic tradition is kept in view in expounding the truth of Dattatreya. In a work of this description a systematic reference to relevant works belonging to *Sruti* and *Smṛti* becomes inevitable. The body of the exposition therefore incorporates the translation of Sanskrit texts. The translation is given within inverted commas, At the close of the translation of each Sanskrit text a number is given following the

^{*} Jivanmukta is the name given to a man purified by knowledge of Brahman and exonerated whilst living from future birth and all ritual ceremonies.

serial order. Corresponding to each number, the original Sanskrit text with appropriate reference is given in transliteration in the appendix to the work. Even in the body of the exposition all Sanskrit expressions are in transliteration. The transliteration marks follow those that are adopted by the 'History of Philosophy, Eastern and Western' sponsored by the Ministry of Education, Government of India.

With a view to demonstrating the Śruti-basis of the Avadhūta-Gītā the translation of each verse of the first two chapters is followed by a specific Upanisadic text or texts presenting a similar idea.

The translation of the Sanskrit texts throughout is governed by the spirit and sense of each text.

In a few contexts some Sanskrit texts are repeated. But the purpose they serve in each instance is different.

These chapters which artificially divide the book into different sections are not intended to segment the object of our study, Dattatreya. In fact Dattatreya is the integrated vision of a seamless Reality, the ever-holy, the all-pervasive Reality vouchsafed to us by Him as the philosopher, avadhūta, who takes us under His protecting wings as Iśvara, the Lord of all

'I offer my salutations to the Supreme Power, the Highest Reality, the Real, that which is devoid of a beginning, a middle and an end, the prop of the whole universe, Itself needing no prop, the support of all the worlds, the changeless, the ocean of infinite bliss and knowledge, the most marvellous Being, the lord of ambikā, the mother of all that exists and that than which there is nothing more supreme.'(1)

'May He protect us alike.

May He cherish our endeavour to learn alike.

May we strive together with vigour.

May our study become illumined.

Let us not hate each other.'(2)

Aum. Peace Peace Peace.

My words are poised on my mind and my mind has its support in speech (along with the other senses). O, the Radiant one! Irradiate my mind. O speech and mind of mine! May you have the power of bringing (unto me) the (knowledge of the) Scripture (Veda), (O mind!) Do not waft away from me what has been heard by me from my preceptor. (O Brahman!) By knowledge thus garnered, I meditate on Thee by day and night I shall ever speak of what is fitting. I shall ever speak of what is time. May that (Brahman) protect the speaker. May that protect me, May that protect the speaker. aum! peace, peace, peace (3)

CHAPTER I

THE DIVINE IN MAN (ÍSVARÁNUGRAHA)

I. THE URGE FOR PHILOSOPHY

'Knowledge of undifferentiated unity springs in the inspired men only by grace of God and saves them from great peril'(4)

HIS is the opening passage of the Avadhūta-Gītā by Śri Dattatreya whom the Upanisads state to be the supreme Lord of the Universe. It forms one of the passages of my daily prayer handed down through tradition Every time I recite it, it kindles deep reflection in my mind. My constant dwelling on these words drew my mind into the deeper significance of the expressions it contained Though these expressions, verbally speaking, are familiar to us, the doubts that crossed my mind and the questions I was compelled to ask myself could not be satisfied by the usual meanings given to these terms. The conventional meanings of these terms conflicted with those which belonged to an ancient heritage of which the seers or rsis, who shaped the very fundamentals of the culture. were the custodians. This was the message of Dattatreya to the world, and it led me seriously to consider what meaning this declaration had in relation to actual experience of life. What is life? What is death? What is it that we really fear (mahābhaya), life or death? Who are those fortunate beings that are regarded as endowed with illumination? How are they fortunate? Are they fortunate because of birth or because of the grace of the Lord? What is the grace of the Lord? Is it something that is given to man or does he achieve it by his own efforts? What is God, Isvara? Is it God in the ordinary sense in which it is understood in the world? Is it the truth or reality, the ultimate principle of all? These and various other serious doubts assailed me until one day through a chance experience I was able to gain some insight into the meanings of these memorable words. One day the truth flashed across my mind. So many things cleared up. I recalled to mind the celebrated reference of Vasistha in his Yoga-Vāsistha-Rāmāyana (Vol. 3-7) where he says that 'the truth flashed past one-like lightning-in between the gaps created by the absence of thought.' Indeed, it was an illumination, difficult to explain. Therefore I attempted to co-ordinate all this into a meaning-ful experience. That is how Dattatreya became to me the torch-bearer of a new meaning and purpose of life. His tremendous spiritual importance began to grip my imagination more and more until he became for me the visible form of the highest truth defined as 'Real, Knowledge, Infinite is Brahman', 'satyani jnānani anantani brahma (Tait. Up.) 'One only, secondless is Brahman', chancvādvitīyani brahma (Ch. Up. 6) in the Vedas.

Illumination is the gift of God, *Isvara* (the Ruler of the Universe). It comes to those who strive hard to understand the mystery of being. What drives man into higher realms of existence is the urge to know the essence of our being.

There is an urge in all of us which cannot quite be satisfied without an attempt being made to realise the truth relative to our being. It is the urge—the urge of self-realisation: to love and adore something higher than ourselves which we recognise and know to be holy but about which we can never fully express ourselves effectively. We are told in the Taittirīya-Upanişad that words with mind fall back baffled from truth without touching it—yato vāco nivartante aprāpya manasā saha. A clear recognition of this urge is the heritage that has been handed down to us by our forefathers, the men of vision and wisdom.

The Self underlying all existence is the object of realisation. Our seers call this absolute reality, satya, in the light of the Upanişadic teaching; tat satyani (Ch. Up. 6). Their exposition of the truth is called Sruti and Smrti. Sruti is the basis of Smrti. To a superficial reader, Sruti appears to present divergent views about reality. But throughout the history of Indian thought here is a persistent effort to find out the unity of thought in Sruti. This finally resulted in the formulation of the Vedānta-Sūtra by Bādarāyana in which we are told that the whole field of Śruti and Śmrti has but one single subject signified as Brahman or Ātman.

The Upanisads characterise this truth as non-dual, advaita. The Māndūkya-Upanisad defines this truth as Atman and characterises it as peaceful, holy and non-dual, sāntan, sivan, advaitan. For one who has grasped and intuited Brahman as non-dual, advaita, there is nought else than that state of non-duality. The urge for this realisation is innate in every individual. It is a sign of the grace of God, Isvarānugraha. Its expression or

operation makes one a 'man of wisdom', a man of illumination, a 'vipra'.

An attempt is made in the present work to show the meaning of non-duality or identity, advaita, in the light of Vedic teaching Though in the course of later Vedāntic discussions the concept of non-duality is sharply distinguished from the concepts of identity, unity and so on, these concepts are made use of in the Vedas indistinguishably. These terms are therefore used in the present work synonymously. In this connection I concentrate on the concept of Dattātreya as it is handed down through the Upanisadic tradition. As it will be made clear in the following pages, Dattātreya is no other than Brahman, Ātman, Iśvara or Advaita Of these several concepts, identity, advaita, gives us an approach to reality, that is, Dattātreya

The doctrine of non-duality, advaila, handed down from very early times in the Vedic and Upanisadic ages, had been systematically developed by many philosophers and seers until it was made into a terse, living metaphysical system by Sankarācārya and his followers Even before Sankarācārya, advaita (identity), had its niche cut out for it in Indian philosophical thought, by the vast work on the subject known as Vāsistha-Rāmāyana This large and voluminous work is a veritable compendium of the philosophy of advaila (identity). The apparent unity of all things is a theme continuously expounded and dwelt upon by the sage Vasistha in his discourse to his discerning and enquiring pupil, Srī Rāma So this idea of unity advarta (identity) was not alien to Hindu thought. Therefore it is but natural that in every human being who is blessed with a keen faculty of thought there is the latent urge to turn towards the ideal of finding for himself, non-duality or that complete identity with the unity of all things, called advasta. It is the realisation of the 'I-ness', the 'one-ness' of all beings It is of this unity or 'I-ness' that Sankara sings:

'Man caught in the vortex of unreality looks on the universe awake or asleep, under relations of cause and effect, master and servant, teacher and pupil, parent and child. My obeisance to Daksināmūrti, the teacher incarnate of this truth.'(6)

In this passage the word $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ is usually taken to mean illusion. But this word gives different meanings in different contexts. In this work also the meaning of the word is governed by the context.

The realisation of the unity of Reality can come to very few, to the fortunate few, who have renounced all desires and are the recipients of divine grace, *Iśvarānugraha*. The *Tripurā-Rahasya* says:—'Not all the learned, however expert, see the truth of this. They have no inward vision. Consequently they are deluded and wander away. As long as vision will not cease to be extravert, so long will the inner eye remain unopened. The inward vision dawns on those only who have abandoned all cupidity. How could it dawn on one who clings to craving for things? Abandon then all low desires and fix thy eye on the Real. Wander not in mind, and in utter concentration seek the truth. Meditation reveals all to you. Transcendent truth becomes realized. By truth one attains immortality.'(6)

This is the realisation of one's own essence, 'sva-svarūpa as the Devī Tripurā calls it in the work mentioned above, and in Sankarācārya's words it is the 'I-ness'. This 'I-ness' in all things can be of many relationships, such as effect and cause, father and son, master and servant, teacher and the taught, nescience and illusion and seeing and realising. If we really look at the process of evolution it becomes possible to realise that amongst the many varied and variegated things in existence, animal life, plant life and even minerals, there is behind all this vast manyness a single cogent factor which like the string that binds the necklace of pearls, is ever present in all aspects of life. In fact we arrive at the conclusion that the whole world exhibits the effects of the outlooks of all the beings who are in it.

The Hindu belief is that any being who does not try to realise himself and fails to gain release from ignorance, avidyā or māyāvaraṇa, or the envelope of Māyā, is destined to be reborn again and again until he finally, in some birth, attains release, mokṣa; and it is an accepted fact that each man has within himself a latent spark which would enable him to realise the supreme goal of life, that is, mokṣa—complete freedom from bondag· complete enjoyment of spiritual bliss: oneness or union with Brahman. The important thing, however, is the message that everyone should try and make the best of what one can do in this life-time alone. As Sankara has said in his commentary on the Iśāvāsya-Upaniṣad, 'one should not commit suicide, ālmahanana by not realising one's true innermost self, the Ātman.'

The truth of identity might be explained in another way. A rope is mistaken for a snake. The man in whose mind the impression of a snake is created, though in reality it is only a rope, believes in it, so

that it appears to be really a snake. But if he were to persist in looking at it discriminatingly and long enough, he will realise that what he had mistaken for a snake is none other than a piece of rope. Similarly it might be said that the world of appearances is like the snake superimposed on the rope. If through proper discrimination we can see the rope and not the snake, so too we can similarly look at the world and be in a position to realise that the world as reality is quite different from the world of appearances, as different as the rope is from the appearance of the snake. The superimposition of the snake on the rope is called illusion or nescience, avidyā or māyā. The removal of illusion or nescience, avidyā or māyā, reveals the truth. That truth is expressed in the Vedic statement—'There is no distinction in It', neha nānāsti kimcana, without which the world cannot exist.

Actually, nescience, māyā or illusion, avidyā, is not the opposite of knowledge, jnāna. In fact it is only a state of super-imposition and after its removal there emerges reality. The Vedānta has a simile for the truth. It says, we can dream of a snake, and in the dream the snake can bite us, which wakes us out of the dream. Therefore māyā is like the unreal snake that can bite us out of the dream (svapna) into the the waking state (jāgrat). Even Vedānta, says the Mānasollāsa, 'though in itself false, can be a means for us to be awakened to the reality like a picture'. A picture on the wall depicting a scene is partially true and partially false. So is it with māyā (nescience). It is not unreal, nor is it real. There is no opposition between māyā and jnāna—but it is only a comparison Māyā is the mirror which reflects the image, and not the image itself—viśvam darpana dršyamānanagarītulyam. (Sankara's Daksināmūrti-Stotia—2)

As the Mānasollāsa by Suresvarācārya says, the Vedānta is only a means to awaken within us the reality. It is a means to an end, and the 'I-ness' or the attitude of non-duality, advaita-bhāvanā, is actually the supreme experience which can never be successfully described except to indicate with similes in a general manner what the ultimate truth of ultimate reality is.

The experience of non-duality, advaita-anubhava, comes through the grace of God, Iśvara, which as the spiritual guide, guru, is responsible for the initiation of the disciple into the highest knowledge. We are in fact told in the Purānas that the Lord, Iśvara, commanded Brahmā to create creatures who would be mortal while he would take the form of the illumined one, guru, and would come into the world to bless his disciples with the illumination of the understanding of the state of non-duality—with the attitude of the highest identity, paramādvaita-bhāvanā. He would continue to make all the disciples immortal through His grace—guru-kaṭākṣa and He would for ever leave in the world the secret of the realisation of identity, advaita-jnāna, this science of Brahman, or Brahmavidyā It was from Dakṣiṇāmūrti that it was handed down to the various disciples of his tradition (gurusampradāya) who would carry it or for ever, like the ever flowing river of knowledge, the rives of the sacred flow of the Gaṅgā, the tradition of the illumined one gurusampradāya-pravāha.

God, *Isvara* is also one who of his own will can work miracles and wonders. He is the master magician of the world. Nescience, *māyā*, is the illusion that he creates and those who do not take refuge in It and seek It as their shelter will be at a loss and at their wit's end.

Tripurā Rahasya says:-

'This world of spirit and matter is similar to the image of a city found in a mirror. Being the same in form it cannot be fancied that one is superior or inferior to the other. Apartness in essence is a fiction and so an unimportant notion. Hence a man of wisdom ought to meditate on the impartite form of the Highest. One who is not competent to do this may meditate on the more tangible form which one can well conceive and understand. Even thus will he, if unattached, attain to a good unsurpassed. Even if it takes crores of lives to attain, no way better than this has been conceived to reach the truth.'(7)

The same truth is expounded in the Madhu-prakarana in the Brhad-āranyaka-Upaniṣad where Maitreyī asks Yājnavalkya how one could become immortal. Yājnavalkya replies: 'Not for the sake of the husband, but for the sake of the spirit does a husband become dear. So it is with wife, sons, property, worlds, gods, scripture, natural elements and all. So the Self is to be seen, heard, thought about and meditated upon.' (B). He who regards any of these things as other than the self is thereby shut out from the truth.

Non-duality or Advaita is called the highest truth, paramārtha in the Viṣṇupurāṇa. It says: 'The Absolute is non-differentiated. For it is said that the highest self, Atman, which is the same as the highest truth, though it appears as the many, is in reality one only and it is the witness of all and it is ever present. It is uniformly spread out and being witness of all is not bound by actions. It is beyond

intellect, buddhi, illumination, satya, activity, rajas, and delusion, lamas, birth and death, increase and decrease of body. It is ubiquitous, all-pervading and immortal. It is the ever-present highest state of wisdom, which is the same as identity, advaita, without nescience, māyā, home, caste, form or time and sovereign and independent.'

Visnupurāna says:---

'The self which is in one's own or in another's body is in essence absolutely one and partless. This is knowledge. This is truth. Those who favour duality are of incorrect understanding. Air, $v\bar{a}yu$, is all-pervading. It admits of no difference within itself. But when it comes through the holes of a flute the differences defined as musical notes, sadya and so on, arise. Similar is the identity of the great truth. The difference in its form is caused by external activities. If the obscuration in the form of differences, "This is divine", deva, and so on is destroyed, then the individual ceases to exist as individual.'(9)

A delightful story is told to illustrate this truth. There was a sage called Rbhu. His disciple was Nidagha. Rbhu saw that Nidagha who was always doing some kind of action, karman, had not realised what identity, advaita, was. So he decided to teach him the highest truthparamārtha. Nidāgha was living in the town called Vīranagara which lay on the bank of a river. Rbhu went to see him. He received his teacher, guru, with due courtesy and honour and asked him to have his food in his house. Rbhu asked for fine food which Nidagha got prepared by his wife and offered to his preceptor, guru. After the food was taken Nidagha asked Rbhu, 'O Lord, are you satisfied with the food, and is your hunger satisfied? Is your mind peaceful? Where do you live? Whither do you go from here and whence did you come?' In reply Rbhu said: 'If you had satisfied one who was hungry, satisfaction would have come but I was not hungry. So why do you ask me? In the human body if the earth element is burnt up by fire there is hunger, and if the water element becomes deficient there is thirst. So thirst and hunger are physical needs I am not subject to these. So I do not suffer from hunger or from thirst, because I have neither. The natural happiness of the mind is subject to the thinking principle, cit. Therefore ask this principle, cit, for the truth. I cannot answer it, because it does not affect me (who is no other than the highest self, purusa). As regards the other questions, the highest self, purusa, is not subject to satisfaction, increase or decrease: he is omnipresent, omniscient: so how can he be asked, where do you live?

Whence did you come and whither are you going? Because "I am neither the one that goes nor the one that comes. I am not one who is fixed to a place. You are also the same. The others also are the same. You are not yourself. Nor are the others themselves. Even I am not myself."(10) The reason why I asked you for fine food, mṛṣṭānna, was to see whether your reply would pertain to the mode of one who had realised the truth of the self, ātma-tattva. To a man who eats there is in reality no difference in food, whether good or bad. We eat because we want to live by taking in such particles of food to supplement what already is in the body, just as we plaster mud on a mudhouse in order to keep it intact. Since food is part of the earth principle and necessary for the body, it is consumed. Having understood this, keep yourself in perfect poise-equanimitysamāvasthā.' Thus answering Nidāgha's questions Rbhu reveals himself as his teacher, guru, and tells him the truth: 'Know this to be one only. The whole universe admits of no difference. It forms the nature of the supreme self, Atman, which is known as Vāsudeva.'(11)

The story goes on to say that after the lapse of years Rbhu visited Nidāgha again. Rbhu asked Nidāgha: 'Why are you standing apart from others?' Nidagha replied to him that the Ruler of the town was coming in procession on an elephant. He said that the Ruler was on the elephant and all the others were his followers. Rbhu asks: 'Show me both elephant and king—which is the elephant and which is the king? What are their characteristics?' Nidagha answers: 'The lower is the elephant and the upper the king. The elephant is the vehicle and the king the rider. Who cannot understand this?' Rbhu questions again: 'What is below and what is above?' So Nidagha climbed on the shoulders of Rbhu and said: 'You are the elephant and I am the king. You are below and I am above. I have done so to make you understand.' Rbhu replied: 'You are like the king and I am like the elephant. Therefore what are thou and what am I? Tell me that?' When he heard this statement, Nidagha knew it was his teacher Rbhu and prostrated himself at his feet saying: 'No one else has the same pure outlook of identity, advaita-bhāvanā as you my teacher, (guru), and I realised at once from your questioning that it was you and no one else. Rbhu in reply said: 'out of compassion for you I have already expounded to you the truth of identity, advaita-tattva, that you may learn it without doubt.' So saying he went away. Nidagha had the realisation of Truth as Visnupurana says. It concludes the story thus: 'This twice-born Nidagha saw all the creatures

De identical with his self. He became thus devoted to Brahman obtained the highest liberation.

n the same manner O' the knower of Truth, dharma, you also st be one to whom the self, the enemy and the relative are the 1e. O Lord of the Earth, you must know that Atman is all-meating.

Even as space, though it is one, is seen as being white, blue and on, by those whose vision is vitiated by illusion, though $\bar{A}tman$ ne, it is made many.

What exists in the world is one. It is imperishable, acyuta. There othing beyond that. I am He. The other person is He. You are He. this is He. This identity is the essence of Self, Atman Abandon delusion of difference.'(12)

Each is therefore a part of the infinite—the All. In the world what ists us also helps us, as the ground which offers resistance to our t is necessary for walking. There is no escape from the Self. Even cience, māyā, belongs to it and it is not devoid of truth. Knowge is one. The highest knowledge is identity, advaita, beyond ich is naught else. Knowing one is knowing all, like a musician who bws his notes and a piece of music knows all pieces of music through idea of notes. We may go and find the self, as many thousands said to have done. From the unreal to the real is not going from thing to another, but from the complexity of duality and multiplicate the simplicity of unity. From death to immortality is the same, error is a matter not merely of thought, but of action and conation d thus of involvement in a false condition, from which knowledge the only release.

When Suka and the other sages approached Daksināmūrti with ubts in their minds, the Lord expounded to them the truth of intity, advaita-lativa and the attitude of identity, advaita-bhāva, has Dattātreya said in his Avadhūta-Gītā:—

That by which all this is filled and that which pervades the self elf—How am I to offer my salutations to that formless, unferentiated and inexhaustible Holy one?'(13)

The Yoga-Vāsistha also comes to the same conclusion:-

It is indeed possible to attain to (this knowledge) which is fully pounded herein. If you listen to it which is sāstra, (science of ahman in the real sense) attentively, then you will understand the ith and not otherwise. The conviction that the objects of the senses e non-existent leads to the removal of their impressions from the

mind. If this is perfected there arises in it the supreme and eternal bliss of the essence of self. Otherwise there is no peace to be had by men like you, merged in the depths of (empirical) sciences for thousands of kalpas, periods of time, without the chance of attaining knowledge. O! Brahman, complete abandonment of desire is known to be the best of liberation. It is the only correct step towards liberation. moksa. The extinction of desires leads to the immediate extinction of mental activities even as the absence of recurring cold leads to the dissolution of small particles of ice. O! Brahman, Our desires which uphold our bodies and minds bind us fast as if by thongs to our bodily prison. If they are loosened, then the inward soul is liberated. Dispositions are of two kinds—pure and impure. The impure ones are the cause of birth, i.e., rebirth. The pure one puts an end to birth. The same disposition divested of the germ of next birth like a parched seed is maintained to support the body. The same is said to have known the truth and to be therefore pure.'(14)

The Yoga-Upanisad indicates what transcendent advaita-bhāvanā is like.

'By no means is there known a distinction of dualism and non-dualism. This distinction is the work of nescience due to the notion of the external. The conviction, "I am Brahman" will arise only if the thought, "I am the body" becomes painful. In the event of the knot of the heart remaining, Brahman is the discus for cutting it asunder. Should a doubt arise, Brahman must be resorted to as the certain. When the non-self becomes the stealer of the self the only guard of the gem of the self is the Absolute Brahman who is composed of everlasting bliss." (15)

It can therefore be seen that the attitude of identity in the highest sense, paramādvaita-bhāvanā is a matter of special grace of the preceptor, guru, the grace of the philosopher, Avadhūta. It is the realisation of the great unity within, which made a man of wisdom like Sankara exclaim thus:

'What availeth the shaft of love after youth has gone by? What availeth the lake after the water is dried up? What availeth friends and relatives after all wealth is gone? What availeth the world after the essence is realised?'(16)

The truth behind this statement is that once God, Iśvara, is realised, naught else matters.

The attainment of identity, the grace of the Lord, advaita iśvarānugraha, is the gift of the Lord, Iśvara, Dattātreya, the

highest truth Through this grace, through the gift of understanding, the great wisdom comes—man's immense opportunity to ascend to the highest plane of being: the supreme 'I', 'Brahman I am', 'brahmāham'.

2. THE PHILOSOPHIC VISION (PRATIBHĀ)

How does this identity, the Grace of the Lord, advanta-Isvaranugraha come? It comes by the practice of discipline, yoga. Through it the development of the individual illumination, pratibliasakti occurs. Through discipline, yoga, all spiritual success is attained. When Patanjali maharsi makes the momentous statement in his Yoga-Sūtra (11-33), 'From the philosophic vision also (the aspirant, yogin) knows all else', prātibhād-vā-sarvam, he means that by yogic concentration the intellect can be developed to its perfection. He points out that illumination, pratibhā, is that part of the intellect which develops without any special cause and is able to lead on to real knowledge. It is intuition from within, the divine spark which suddenly illumines darkness into light. It is that which gives through God's or Isvara's grace the realisation of identity, advartanubhava. The development of illumination, pratibhā which is the gift of the divine is due to a man's latent disposition, samskāra, which suddenly ripens through divine grace. It is extremely difficult to explain the concept of disposition, samskara, but there is no doubt that it relates to experience. For those who have experienced it, it is a very live force capable of tremendous possibilities. We speak of men who have received divine illumination, pratibhā-śālins, who have through the maturing of their latent possibilities, samskāras, radiated illumination and understanding in a world of darkness, māyā. They radiate their special genius, pratibhā, for the benefit of mankind. They then become pioneers of freedom or independence, svatantra-kartas. They are the men who through a flash of illumination intuit the grand truth, the great unity within diversity, the advanta-paramartha.

Whitchead speaks of religion as what man does with his solitariness. If we look deeply into that statement we can see the real significance of Whitchead's remark. For, truly is religion what man does with his solitariness. Have not our ancient seers said that the highest truth was to realise that existence is one without a second, the complete unity of all in one? So too the man who realises his solitariness is one who realises the solitariness (one-ness) of the world.

Solitariness here need not mean loneliness or aloneness. It means oneness, the oneness of the self, $\bar{A}tman$ everywhere. One who can thus find satisfaction with his solitariness is truly a fit soul for release from all earthly bonds by the attainment of non-duality, advaita.

Similarly William James speaking of the claims of the discipline called yoga, says in his Varieties of Religious Experience, that there is no reason to deny that in mystic experience the mystic comes in touch with a circumambient world from which saving experiences come. He refers specifically to the discipline of yoga. In the other places referred to he argues that there is evidence to think that there are levels of energy and power in man higher than are perceptible in ordinary experience. And man can by effort live at higher levels and tap sources of power normally considered impossible.

The technique of yoga is indeed a method by which release of such higher powers might be obtained in a systematic way available to the individual and verifiable by all who make the effort in the prescribed way. So can the nectar of identity, advaitāmṛta, be grasped and enjoyed by all.

Idealist philosophy from Plato onwards has believed in a way of knowing recognised as higher than discursive reason, which its expounders have called intellectual intuition. Plotinus, Spinoza, Hegel and Bradley have made it an integral part of their theory of knowledge. In the spiritual life of art, religion and philosophy, we contact reality in its fullness and harmony. Here knowing partakes of the character of being, subject and object become fused, as it were. The experience is called inspiration in art, vision of God in religion and unitive knowledge in philosophy.

Meditation, samādhi of the discipline of yoga, in which the self comes to true self-knowledge as well as knowledge of reality in its fullness, derives corroborative support from intuition. The idealist philosphy of religion attributes a revelation to such mystic experience on the part of the founders of religion.

More recently Bergson has referred to the discipline of yoga and its claims in his Two Sources of Morality and Religion. He applies his philosophy of creative evolution to religion in the book and finds in mystic experience at its best, nature's way of rising to a higher level of life. In man instinct is surrounded by an aura of intelligence which again is crowned with intuition. Mystics might be those individuals of the race who rise to a higher level through intuition. Morality

arises from group pressure and is limited to group welfare in its action. Mysticism brings the experience of love as the core of life's meaning. Creative love is revealed as the essence of the universe. By example, society is imbued with the new morality of the mystics. Mystic experience therefore becomes a way of expressing the direction and meaning of evolution and so receives support from nature and science. Yoga, he observes, in this light will be the line of advance of creative evolution.

In his metaphysics, Bergson attaches great prominence to intution as the method of philosophic thinking as contrasted with intelligence. Intelligence in its usual procedure in ordinary life and in science proceeds by analysis Such analysis introduces static phases or points in a continually changing whole and places them side by side as in space for convenience of apprehension. Intellect therefore gives us static snapshots of changing realities. But philosophy is interested in knowing reality as it is. It must therefore seize reality on the wing, as it were It must be a kind of sympathetic imagination placing itself in the heart of the reality sought, to be understood and to coincide with its rhythm

It may be questioned whether the discipline of yoga through the meditation of different degrees of intensity called dhāraṇā, dhyāna and samādhi is one that leads us to the heart of reality or away from it. The procedure of suppressing the waves of mind, citta-vṛtti, seems to point to the negative way. But actually the result claimed, namely full knowledge, sarvajnatā, points to the results of the positive, unitive, intuitive way.

Also Jung's theory of the unconscious as the racial memory or potentiality charged with God implies that the mind is surrounded by an aura transcending the ordinary limits of sense perception. This line of thought supports the yoga hypothesis of aloofness, karvalya, unity, advaita through intense meditation, samādhi.

Another point of contact between psycho-analysis and the discipline of yoga is the rôle of reason. Both depreciate reason in one sense, but use it to rise in another sense. Psycho-analysis depreciates reason as rationalisation or defence of unconscious or suppressed desires But it uses reason in its analysis and in persuading the patient to discover the real source of his neurosis. This self-discovery is supposed to help the individual to overcome the influence of the suppressed emotions. In practising the discipline of yoga the thinking principle of the waves of the mind, citta-vṛṭti, is sought to be

suppressed altogether to attain concentration on one subject. But this concentration is not meant to penetrate the essence of the object but only to assist the rise of the mind to the higher level of intellect, buddhi. Depreciated in the process of suppression, reason is appreciated in the rôle of intellect, buddhi.

Dr. S. Radhakrishman says in his Indian Philosophy (pp. 372-3). 'To the modern mind, in east or west the whole yoga scheme of attaining perfection appears to be only an elaborate process of selfhypnotisation. Intense and solitary meditations accompanied by physical exercises and postures, help to make our minds run into particular moulds. Such a view gains some support from the popular confusion of the yoga system with some of the repulsive practices of the tantra cult and later adaptations of Patañjali's Yoga by fanatical mendicants. It is, however, necessary to bear in mind the fact that Patañjali's Yoga, in its original form, is free from these vagaries. It assumes that we have all reservoirs of life to draw upon of which we do not dream. It formulates the methods of getting at our deeper functional levels. The yoga discipline is nothing more than the purification of the body, mind and soul and preparing them for the beatific vision. Since the life of man depends on the nature of the mind, citta, it is always within our reach to transform our nature by controlling our mind, citta. With faith and concentration we can even rid ourselves of our ills. The normal limits of human vision are not the limits of the universe. There are other worlds than that which our senses reveal to us, other senses than those which we share with the lower animals, other forces than those of material nature. If we have faith in the soul, then the supernatural is also a part of the natural. Most of us go through life w th eyes half shut and with dull minds and heavy hearts, and even the few who have had those rare moments of vision and awakening fall back quickly into somnolence. It is good to know that the ancient thinkers required us to realise the possibilities of the soul in solitude and silence and transform the flashing and fading moments of vision into a steady light which could illuminate the long years of life.'

The reference to the views of modern philosophers shows that there is a great deal of force in the claims of our forbears for their belief in identity, advaita and the help it received from illumination, pratibhā. And that modern thought seems to support such a standpoint is quite evident from what has been briefly mentioned above. So it behoves us to study deeply and with faith and understanding

the rich heritage that is our legacy from the past, thereby gaining liberation, moksa through identity, the highest truth, advaita-paramārtha and thus becoming men of divine illumination, pratibhā-śālins. We then become men of 'impartial outlook', samadaršins, as a result of knowledge gained through true advaita-jnāna, knowledge of identity. The Bhagavad-Gītā defines the person who is thus qualified:—'In the case of that person who has conquered his self (lower) and has attained to the calm of self-mastery, his supreme self abides ever concentrated, and he is at peace whether be it in cold or heat, in pleasure or pain, in honour or dishonour. (17)

'The ascetic, Yogi, whose soul is satisfied with wisdom and know-ledge, who is unchanging and master of his senses, to whom a clod, a stone and a piece of gold are the same, is said to be controlled (in yoga).'(18)

'He who is equal-minded among friends, companions and foes, among those who are neutral and impartial, among those who are hateful and related, among saints and sinners, he excels.'(19)

For he who is thus poised in himself, sees all as equal, his own self, Atman, in everything, is the one who is not only liberated, living in this very body, jivanmukta, but also the philosopher of philosophers, avadhūta.

In answer to the queries with which we started our discussion, we may now note that identity, advaita, taught in our scriptures is rich in implication and is of practical value. The Śāndilya-Upanisad brings out the character of this one subject of the śāstras by showing how as the source and aim of all, it is existence, knowledge and bliss, sat, cit and ānanda. It is Brahman because it is complete and makes all complete; it is Atman because it has all, makes all and puts an end to all; it is mahesvara because it is the greatest of all and directs the greatest entities of the world. This great truth of the character of the illumination is of the nature of grace, anugraha, and it has been given as a gift to Atri and Anasūyā in the form of their son. For this reason it is called Dattātreya. To know this truth of Dattātreya is to be the knower of Brahman, brahmavid, jīvanmukta and avadhāta.

In the following pages an attempt is made to expound the concept of Dattatreya in the light of the Upanisadic teaching, showing at the same time that he is the greatest teacher of liberation in this life, which offers the true meaning of life here and shows that it is all that exists.

In conclusion it may be stated that the grace of the Lord isvarānugraha, is the first step that leads one to that frame of mind wherein it is possible for him to experience the meaning of identity, advaita. And when his disposition, sainskāra, matures, there develops within himself divine illumination, pratibha, through which the highest truth is intuited, leading to the state of liberation in life, jīvannukti. He is the blessed one who through being a man of illumination, a pratibhā-śālin, radiates happiness and light.

I will only think of sweetness and will generate sweetness. The words that I speak shall be sweet and what I communicate to others shall be sweet. May I utter words which are sweet to the Gods and which men love to hear. May the Gods protect me by imparting to me the grace of speech (as occasion befits) and may my ancestors also assent to what I say. (20)

Aum santih santih.

CHAPTER II

DATTĀTREYA: THE ABSOLUTE:

DATTĀTREYA: A SKETCH

forth a splendour unimaginable, what miracles does it achieve for its devotees and what accomplishments, siddhis, it has showered on the faithful followers: truly those who have uttered that glorious name even once are indeed fortunate. Even in the age of kali, (kaliyuga) they are the truly liberated beings. To touch the lotus feet of even those devotees is punya or holy merit. There is nothing more fascinating than to contemplate the greatness of the incarnation, avatāra, of the supreme Lord as Dattātreya.

The Sandilya-Upanisad which most beautifully describes Lord

Dattātreya sings:-

'He who would meditate in accordance with the mode laid down in the Upanisad on the Deity Dattatreya, the Lord of Lords, Dattatreya the Lord, the gracious, śwa, the tranquil, śānta, the Lord who is lustrous like sapphire indranila (in complexion) indranilanibha, the mighty one, prabhu, delighting in His own marvellous power, māyā, investing Atman, ātma-māyā-rata, the Lord whose activities are his sport, līlā, the Lord who is the one philosopher, avadhūta, the Lord who has the cardinal directions as his garments, digambara, the Lord whose entire limbs are smeared with holy ashes, bhasmoddhūlitasarvanga, the Lord who wears the crown of matted hair, jajajūladhara, the glorious Lord, vibhu, the Lord four-armed, caturbāhu, and invested with distinguished limbs, udārānga, the Lord with eyes opened wide like full-blown lotus flowers, praphulla-kamaleksana. the Lord who is the treasure-trove of knowledge, jnana, and discipline, yoga, māna-yoga-nidhi, the Lord who is the world-teacher, visuaguru, the Lord who is dear to the yogis, yogijanapriya, the Lord who is tender to his devotees, bhaktānukampin, the Lord who is the witness of all, sarvasāksin and the Lord who is served by accomplished adepts, siddhā-sevita, such a one, released from all sins will attain beatific bliss. Thus aum! the truth. Thus the Upanisad."(21)

This meditation conjures up before our mind's eye a supernal vision ineffable and incommunicable in a woefully inadequate language. It is the vision of a splendid form on which the devotee sets his eyes and becomes ravished. It is the form that lures and fascinates the devotee's mind that becomes merged in it. The śloka (prayer) is replete with ideas each one of which needs to be elaborated upon in order that we may grasp the fullest significance and quint-essence which brings balm to man's afflicted soul.

11

'Dattātreyam'. Thus begins the meditation, dhyāna, of the Lord. What does the nomenclature of Dattātreya mean? Why was he called Dattātreya? The Śānḍilya-Upaniṣad says: 'Wherefore then is it known as Dattātreya? For the reason that the resplendent lord, mightily pleased as he was, donated himself to Atri, Atri, who was engaged in the most arduous of penances and who wished for a son which he lacked and for the reason that Atri's son was born of Anasūyā; for these reasons it is known as Dattātreya.'(22)

Further, this name represents the partly divisible and partly indivisible form of Brahman: 'Then of that Lord whose own energy manifests itself in his creative play, who is filled with compassion towards his devotees, whose form is that of Dattātreya, whose beautiful body is unconcealed with raiment, whose four arms resemble the petals of the lotus, and whose form is spotless in its purity and sinlessness, it is the divisible-indivisible form.'(23)

The name of Dattātreya is the boat, tāraka, that enables a devotee to cross the ocean of life with success. Brahmā the creator is informed by Lord Nārāyaṇa (himself Dattātreya) that one who meditates thus: 'I am the Datta, i.e., Dattātreya', 'dattohain' (the great Lord who has given unto his devotees the sentience of the peerless Brahman, as the means to be employed for the attainment of the state of the non-differentiated Brahman) does not have to go through the vortex of worldly existence. There are several mystic formulae of the same Dattātreya which enable a devotee to attain to all that his heart may devoutly wish of terrestrial and celestial happiness. It may be mentioned in passing that the conception, 'I am Datta, i.e., Dattātreya', dattohain, like 'Siva I am', śivohain and 'He I am', sohain, implies the complete unity of the individual (jīva) and the supreme, (Išvara) or the realisation of the 'I-ness' as being the fusion

of goodness and energy, siva-sakti-aikya. That alone is the highest knowledge, juāna, the absolute identity, paramādvaita or the highest unitive experience.

III

The next name signifies Dattātreya as Siva, 'sivam'. Siva means the most Auspicious one. As Siva, Dattātreya is the most auspicious This signifies that without his grace nothing else can be attained For this reason he is in daily prayer generally described as the Lord of the World, jagannātha, and the one whose grace is the world's blessing, lokānugraha-kāraņa

The great Lord, in the intensity of thought wished 'may I become many, may I bring forth the progeny'. Afterwards from Him who was performing penance three letters came into being (These three letters are a, u, m and they constitute the pranava, aum.). Three vyāhrlis (mystical exclamations) (bhūh, bhuvah, suvaḥ), gāyatrī consisting of three steps (tātsavituh vareņyam; bhargo devasya dhīmahi; dhiyo yo nah pracodayat), three Vedas (Rk, Yajus and Sama), the three gods (brahmā, visnu and maheśvara), the three orders of society, varnas (brahma, ksatra and vaisya) and the three sacrificial fires (gārhaspatya, āhavanīya and daksināgni) came into existence. He, this great God Maheśvara, who is abounding with abundance, in the full plenitude of his powers, who pervades all and is seated in the heart of all beings, the great wizard sports with his wonder-working power, māyā. He is brahmā. He is visņu. He is indra He is all gods and all creatures. He is indeed the front, the east. He is indeed the back, the west. He is indeed the north. He is indeed the south. He is indeed the below. He is indeed the above. He is indeed all. Dattatreya is the form of this God visible.'(24)

So the great Lord Maheśwara, while playing with his own creative power assumed the form of Dattātreya. This passage aims at explaining the fact of complete identity of Dattātreya and Maheśwara. The attribute śiva, as applied to Dattātreya brings out this character well. Śiva, the primordial being, is Maheśvara himself. Maheśvara in his manifold sports, līlas, became Dattātreya. So Śiva is Dattātreya and Dattātreya is Śiva.

In continuation of this thought the same Upanisad concludes: 'Hence the name Dattatreya by which it is called. Further one who understands the meaning of this word (Dattatreya) thus becomes one

who understands all. Further one who indeed by means of knowledge, cogitation, meditates upon him (Dattātreya), the highest God as 'I am he' becomes the knower of Brahman.' (25) This passage evidently presupposes complete identity of Brahman, Siva, Maheśvara and Dattātreya. If the truth were otherwise how can the knower of Dattātreya be the knower of Brahman? Maheśvara himself is Dattātreya. Dattātreya is Brahman, Maheśvara is Brahman. Siva as applied to Dattātreya brings out the character of this identity.

It may be noted in this connection that the seer or spiritual preceptor of the sacred mantra or syllables, rṣi, in all the hymns, mantras, pertaining to Dattātreya is Sadāśiva. This is an interesting fact. The Dattātreya-Upaniṣad repeatedly mentions 'Sadāśiva is the seer, Dattātreya is the subject matter i.e., the object of meditation.', sadāśiva rṣiḥ dattātreyo devatā. The same idea is further illustrated by a hymn, mantra, pertaining to Dattātreya. It begins with salutations to Dattātreya⁽²⁶⁾ and concludes with salutations to Siva⁽²⁷⁾. Siva in this connection is sadāśiva himself. Salutation to Dattātreya is salutation to Śiva i.e., sadāśiva, the ever Holy. Hence Dattātreya is no other than sadāśiva.

The character of this identity is further brought out by studying an earlier passage of the *Upaniṣad* where it is said 'Nārāyaṇa himself is Dattātreya'(28). The same idea is further illustrated as 'Brahmā requested Nārāyaṇa "who is the boat (that helps us in crossing the ocean of bondage or life in the womb)." 'Tell me that O revered sir!' Requested in this manner, (nārāyaṇa) said: 'Meditate on my essence which is pure and which is the same as truth, satya, bliss, ānanda, and knowledge, cit, as "I am in all circumstances Datta, i.e., Dattātreya himself".... If you meditate on nārāyaṇa as Dattātreya.... the same (nārāyaṇa) becomes the boat. This truth alone ought to be understood. It is the boat that takes one across the ocean of (bondage) birth and death, wonib, etc.'(20).

This explains how Dattātreya who is śiva, sadāśiva, or maheśvara is nārāyaṇa himself. This is the identity of God-head in one supreme principle. It is not a mechanical process of the conception of identity. It is attained to by the process of meditation, dhyāna, application, upāsanā and understanding, vijnāna. This is how maheśvara is revealed as the All-God, because he is the God of Gods. This is why he is brahmā, viṣṇu, rudra and so on. This unity, this identity of Godhead is the highest truth that can ever be conceived. This truth is

Brahman. The Upanisad brings out this truth in the meaning it gives to the name of Dattātreya. The manner in which mahesvara takes the form of Dattātreya is an illustration of the highest truth manifesting itself as Dattātreya and of the complete identity of God-head thus achieved. It is not the identity that ignores or negates the world. It is indeed the identity that recognises all that exists. It is in this sense that the identity of the individual self, jīva and the universal self, Brahman is established.

The realisation of this identity as well as its process is itself divine. It is itself the truth of maheśvara. This is beautifully illustrated in the Śwa-Rahasya, the truth of śwa. It stands for complete identity of all, advaila To master it is to realise it. To realise it is to be the truth itself. This is how Dattātreya is maheśvara and maheśvara is Dattātreya. To meditate on this truth, to lead the life of it and thus to understand it is the most auspicious thing. This is the truth of Dattātreya being śwa.

IV

The next attribute is 'sāntani', 'the tranquil'. This means that Dattātreya who is auspicious, śwa, is tranquil, śānta. The word tranquil, śānta, brings out his disposition. His disposition is one of peace, peace within and peace without. Peace is that great quality of that which is auspicious, śwa and also of one who has through deep contemplation of the form 'That (para-brahman) am I', sohanibhāva, attained identity with the auspicious, śwa. He is therefore of peaceable or peace-loving disposition. He is auspicious, śwa. He is tranquil, śānta. The Upanisad presents him as auspicious and tranquil, śwani śāntani.

Further, the usual form of prayer to siva is: 'Perfectly tranquil and seated in the posture of lotus seat, and wearing the moon itself as the diadem. . . .' The attribute 'wearing the moon itself as the diadem', sasidhara makes it clear that the subject of prayer is siva himself⁽²⁰⁾. Sasidhara is the usual apellation of siva.

Similarly, the usual form of prayer to visuu is: 'Perfectly tranquil, and reposing on the serpent couch, with the stalk of the lotus sprouting from his lotus-shaped navel, the God of Gods. . . .' and so on the attribute of having the lotus-like navel, padmanābham makes it clear that the subject of this prayer is visuu. Here again the quality of perfect tranquility is applicable to both siva and visuu.

As Dattātreya is viṣṇu and śiva together he is composed and calm, śānta. This common appellation of śiva and viṣṇu establishes the unity and identity of the Deity.

Dattātreya is described as resembling the gem called *indranīla* in complexion, *indra-nīla nibha*. This term means that his body is of the colour of *indra-nīla* (sapphire) gem. His body is deep blue, of navy mohair in colour. Let us understand the significance of this attribute. It presupposes the *Upaniṣadic* view of the highest truth. *Mudgala-Upaniṣad* referring to this truth as a spiritual principle, *puruṣa* says: 'That spiritual principle assumed the body which gives liberation, *mokṣa*, to one who has had even the fleeting glimpse of it.' (32)

The body is further characterised as form, $r\bar{u}pa$, in a later passage. 'Gandharvas meditate upon this truth as form, $r\bar{u}pa$. One becomes that on which one meditates. Therefore one who is devoted to Brahman, $br\bar{a}hmana$, ought to meditate on this highest Brahman which has assumed the form of a man as 'I'. One comes to have the same form.' (33)

Several other *Upaniṣads* make use of the same idea under different denominations. *Rāmapūrvatāpınyupaniṣad* presents this idea as śyāma. This word also means one of blue colour. It describes *Rāma* the highest truth as 'That, which with the root matter *prakṛti*, is blue in colour.' (34) *Rāmarahasya-Upaniṣad* brings out the significance of this idea thus: 'Only with the idea of attaining liberation I meditate upon the supreme self as being blue in colour... having the splendour of pure crystal... I meditate without break on Rāghava whose body is as pleasing as the rain-bearing cloud of the rainy season and has the limbs of transcendent splendour.' (35)

'The putting together of 'bei's in blue colour', 'having the splendour of pure crystal', 'one whose body is so pleasing as the rain-bearing cloud of the rainy season', kālāmbhodhara-kānti-kāntam, and 'having the limbs of transcendent splendour', ujvalāmgam, signifies the pleasing nature of the concept of the truth. The comparison of the rain-bearing cloud has the special advantage of illustrating the pleasing character of rāghava, rāma, i.e., Dattātreya himself. As an earnest farmer is pleased with the sight of the rain-bearing cloud, so is the devotee pleased with the sight of the form of the blue-hued Dattātreya.

VI

Dattātreya is all-powerful, prabhu. He is really the master of the universe. Ordinarily the word master is used to mean a leader or ruler. But the *Upaniṣadic* sense of the sanskrit word prabhu is totally different.

The Sarabha-Upanisad says: 'Him who is the master, the highest of those that are attainable, the father, the highest God who created brahmā and taught him all the Vedas, the foremost, i.e., the first of all, (him) indeed who is the master, the father of all Gods . . .'(36)

The present hymn which presents Dattātreya attributes all these qualities to him and for this reason he is called the 'all-powerful', prabhu. The whole idea presented by the 'all-powerful', prabhu who resides in all like a light, jyoti, becomes an illustration of how of all things in the world he alone is pleasing. He is pleasing because he is the giver of knowledge by giving Veda, the only source of knowledge. This is how he is the saviour of mankind. This illustrates the significance of such expressions as 'one who resembles the gem called indra-nīla', and 'Respect to him who is blue in colour, who is along with Lakṣmī, the goddess of wealth and who is known as Lord visņu the great', as well as 'That is the highest essence of viṣnu which the men of wisdom realise without fail.' (37) Passages like these support the same conclusion.

Attributing a body does not necessarily limit the 'limitless'. It only helps the devotee to glimpse something which can never be grasped otherwise. Therefore a reference to modern ideas may be of some use. It is thought by modern scholars that to attribute body to God is theism (the theory that God is a person). They hold that this modifies the absolute character of God-head. But the *Upanişadic* view is different. According to it, to attribute a body to God is to recognise his omnipotence. Further by attributing a body to God, he is brought within the grasp of our mind more easily than when he is described in terms beyond comprehension This character is brought out by 'the all-powerful', *prabhu* and 'one who resembles the gem called *indra-nīla'*, *indranīla-prabha*.

VII

Dattātreya is 'intent on the unravelling of power, māyā, investing Atman', ālma-māyā-rala. This attribute presents the idea that

Dattātreya is intent on unravelling the power, māyā, enveloping the self, Ātman. Power, māyā, is a very complex concept. Commonly the Sanskrit word māyā means something unreal. The Upaniṣadic use gives māyā a meaning slightly different from that in daily use. With a view to understanding this, certain Upaniṣadic passages may be studied.

Nysimhottaratāpany-Upaniṣad says: 'Itself being perfect and one it creates the illusion of it being the other. Having thus shown things to be separate from itself it itself becomes transformed into illusion and nescience. This is wonderful indeed. It shines of its own spiritual light.' (38) The passage explains how māyā is no other than the creative power of nysimha i.e., Dattātreya in view of the present hymn.

Sarvasāra-Upaniṣad adds: 'What is called $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ has no beginning nor an end. It is both real and unreal. It envelopes all. It can be said neither to exist nor not to exist. It is neither existence nor non-existence. Itself predominant, it is not subject to modification nor is it capable of being differentiated from other things. It can just be indicated but is incapable of being described by any other differentiating characteristic. This is termed $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$.' (39)

It can thus be seen that $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ forms in no sense a second to the highest truth. On the other hand, Dattātreya, the highest truth, is the master of $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. The same idea is brought out by $Trip\bar{a}dvibh\bar{u}timah\bar{a}$ $n\bar{a}r\bar{a}yana$ Upaniṣad: 'God, $\bar{I}śvara$, is the master of power, $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, and hence of all learning, $vidy\bar{a}$ or true knowledge.' (40) Veda says 'The Lord of all sciences, $vidy\bar{a}s$. The Lord of all creatures. The Lord of Veda. The Lord of brahmā. May Brahman the auspicious protect me. The all auspicious $au\dot{m}$.' (41)

'Being intent on the unravelling of power investing ātman' ātmamāyā-rata as applied to Dattātreya elucidates his independent character. Māyā is power. He does not depend on other's power, but on his own power. The greatness of this power is such that it admits of no power other than itself, because all other powers are only its creation, i.e. they are the outcome of itself like the yogic accomplishments youriddhis, animā, mahimā, garimā, laghimā, prānptiḥ, prākāmyam, īśitvam and vašitvam, as distinguished in the Upaniṣads.

All things, good, bad and indifferent are only different items of the operation of power. Yājnavalkya-Upaniṣad says: 'This power, māyā, is of three aspects, illumination, activity and delusion.' This brings out clearly the comprehensiveness of the concept of power, māyā. It is the sole means of bondage.

Vārāha-Upanisad says: 'The same power, māyā, that invests the self before and is discarded at the end is that which is well expounded by those that uphold Brahman. If this is identified as power by means of discrimination, if there is the disappearance of power with its operation, there is then neither isvarahood nor jivahood. Finally "I" is nothing but pure spirit of consciousness.'(43) All these ideas signify that Dattatreya who is meditated upon as 'one who is intent on unravelling of power investing alman, alma-maya-rata is the author of both bondage and liberation by means of his own power. Rata implies that his whole activity in either way, whether he creates bondage or liberation, in his sport, līlā, which is the overflow of his beatific bliss, ananda. In all circumstances he is ever the same with or without power, māyā. He is the truth of identity expressed as 'That am I' soham. He is pure consciousness, cit, as is illustrated in such passages as, 'May we understand and realise the truth which is consciousness, cailanya, itself being of the nature of knowledge, the source of all. May it direct our intellect."(44) Therefore, he is described as that which is wrapped in consciousness, and it is therefore called cidanibara in Sanskrit.

VIII

Dattātreya is 'the Lord whose activities are in sport', deva. Mantrika-Upaniṣad introduces the concept of deva as 'The God in whom all things disappear, and having disappeared become latent: further, they again and again see the state of being patent, and take their birth like bubbles. the God which is one is the essence of the self in the body with the individual soul and is present throughout the operation of different causes: It is He that is God. He is almighty. Again and again, unceasingly, those others realise him as the Lord whose activities are his sport, deva. They identify Him as Brahman, nothing but Brahman. Those who know this truth are those that are devoted to Brahman, brāhmanas. They enter into Him. They disappear in Him and become dissolved into Him (become of Him or merge Him and in Him or become of His essence). They indeed disappear and become latent. They indeed disappear in Him and become latent.

Dakşınamurtı-Upanişad defines the concept of the Lord whose activities are his sport, deva, thus: 'He is the Lord whose activities are his sport, deva, who at the time of dissolution of all, having made all disappear in himself, enjoys his own spiritual bliss or shines forth in

the same manner.'(46) The definition supplements the previous idea. From this it follows that all the divine activities mentioned by the previous passage are only the expressions of the spiritual bliss of the Lord whose activities are his sport, deva. Dissolution does not mean the cessation of his nature. His nature is bliss itself, svālmānanda. It is ever there, dissolution or no dissolution. It is unaffected. Creation or destruction does not increase or decrease the essence. It (the essence) is in the form of the Lord whose activities are his sport, deva. It is always the same throughout. It is the light that ever shines forth. (The root div means both sport and shining). It lives in all and shines forth in all. It is therefore called vāsudeva. (It is vāsudeva because as the shining principle of all it lives in all). The attribute 'The Lord whose activities are his sport', deva, as applied to Dattātreya illustrates how he is vāsudeva, the absolute principle that shines in all.

IX

Dattātreya is the philosopher, avadhūta. Nirālamba-Upaniṣad defines avadhūta thus: 'The avadhūta is one well versed in the teachings of Śruti and Smṛti and has his mind fixed in Brahman. By realising the truth of the meaning of the great statements of Śruti, 'Thou art that', 'I am Brahman', 'All this is Brahman', 'There is absolutely no duality in this (Brahman)', and so on, and having the conviction that I am nothing but Brahman itself, by means of meditation which admits of no duality, vikalpa, the person who has self-control and leads the life of his own determination becomes an ascetic. He is the ascetic. He is the liberated. He is the revered. He is the aspirant. He is the highest of the ascetics. He is the philosopher, avadhūta. He is the person who is devoted to Brahman. This is the conclusion.'(47)

Mandala Brāhmaṇa-Upaniṣad says: 'In the manner defined so far, that sage, sanyāsi, who has solely engaged himself in drinking the nectar which is no other than Brahman, the nectar which is the outcome of incessant meditation becomes the greatest of ascetics, paramahanisa and philosopher, avadhāta. By the sight of him the whole world becomes consecrated. Even an ignorant man who is devoted to his service becomes liberated. (Devotion to him) liberates one hundred and one generations of the devotee's family. Therefore for the same reason the lines of father, wife, and son also become liberated. This is the Upaniṣadic conclusion.'(48)

Avadhūta-Upaniṣad defines avadhūta thus: 'Sāmkṛti said thereafter' Having approached Bhagavān Dattātreya, the sinless philosopher (avadhūta) he asked, Who is a pure philosopher, avadhūta? Dattātreya, filled with utmost compassion, replied that one was called avadhūta on account of imperishability (aksharatvāt), an excellence to be devoutly wished for, (varenyatvāt) and on account of casting off all wordly taint, and on account of having the realisation of 'That thou art' as the only object to be aimed at.' Briefly, imperishability, excellent unworldliness, at-one-ment are the characteristics of the philosopher.

So the philosopher, avadhūta is one who has shaken off from himself all worldly taint and ties; a philosopher is the knower of Brahman, brahmand. The root avadhū means to shake off or to shake out or down or frighten away (enemies or evil spirits or disagreeable things). Therefore the avadhūta is literally one who has shaken the shackles of nescience, avidyā from himself or ignorance of misunderstanding which comes through attachment to worldly things without realising that it is eternal bondage. He who has cut himself off from all worldly pleasures save the pleasure of resting in his own Atman. such a one is the veritable philosopher, avadhūta.

The concept of the philosopher, avadhūta as applied to Dattātreya illustrates how he is the whole truth consisting of the means, sādhana and the end, sādhya; meditation and liberation. He is individual, jīva. He is auspicious, śiva. He is therefore the identity of jīva and śiva. Jīva stands for meditation; śiva for mokṣa. Therefore through meditation comes liberation, mokṣa or in other words jīva becomes śiva by discipline, sādhana. The previous attributes explain how the concept of philosopher, avadhūta, naturally emerges from them.

X

Dattatreya is the Lord who has the cardinal directions as his garments, dig-ambara. It means that he has the four quarters of the earth as his garment. This concept has a profound significance. For as the Paramahamsa-Upanişad says: 'Paramahamsa is one who has space as his garment. The concept of 'the highest ascetic', of paramahamsa has already become familiar in the course of the previous studies.

Nārada-parivrājaka-Upaniṣad says: 'One who meditates upon, 'I am Brahman itself which is pure consciousness and the highest

bliss,' one from whom all desires are cast out, one who has as his garment the four quarters of the earth, one who is liberated from bondage of all kinds by which mind, speech, body and action are fettered, one who has his face turned away from the world he becomes the liberated one in the manner of a creeping worm transformed into a hovering bee. This is the *Upaniṣadic* conclusion.'(50)

Sanyāsa-Upaniṣad says: 'The highest of the ascetics is he whose body is besmeared completely in ashes, who has abandoned all, whose possession is only his body, whose garment is the four quarters of the earth, who is indeed a philosopher, (having shaken off the shackles of nescience from him) and who is bound by nothing.' (51)

A study of these passages shows how intimately 'having the cardinal directions as garment', dig-ambara is connected with being the highest ascetic, parama-hamsa and philosopher, avadhūta. Dattātreya being a philosopher, avadhūta is necessarily one who has the directions as his garments, dig-ambara. To define him as one who has cardinal directions as garments, dig-ambara, is to concentrate on all those qualities that make him a philosopher, avadhūta.

XI

He whose limbs are smeared with holy ashes is Dattatreya, bhasmoddhūlita-sarvānga. He is the holy one who has besmeared his body in ashes, bhasma. The Upanisads attach great sacredness to ashes, bhasma. Brhajjābāla-Upanisad says: 'He the well known Bhusunda came to (the famous god) Kālāgni-rudra. Having come to him he said 'O God! teach me the sacred significance of ashes, vibhūti . . . teach me the Śruti (called Bṛhajjābāla), that gives the knowledge of the means for attaining liberation'. 'Yes. Thus (said Kālāgni-rudra): From sadyojāta the earth emanated. From it came detachment. From this came (the cow) called Nanda of brown colour. From the dung of this cow (ashes called) vibhūti was produced. From vāmadeva came water. From it came resort. From it appeared the (cow) called bhadrā of black colour. From the dung of this cow (ashes called) bhasita was produced. From aghora fire came. From it came learning. From it came the (cow) called surabhi of red colour. From the dung of this cow (ashes called) bhasma was produced. From tatpurușa came air. From it came peace. From it came (the cow) called susīla of white colour. From its dung (ashes called) kṣāra was produced. From isana came ether. From it came the state that transcended peace. From it came (the cow) called sumanā of mixed colour. From its dung came (ashes called) rakṣā.'

To trace the whole universe to a single truth has in view the conscious recognition that everything in the world is permeated by the same truth and is therefore a mark of the truth. From this it follows that the higher the manifestation of truth, the higher is the status of the thing in question Throughout the Vedic tradition the cow is consistently recognised to be the embodiment of the manifestation of truth. It is found out that it contains all that is good m the universe. It is for this reason taken to represent the whole universe. It is therefore described as sarvadevatāmayī, all divine. The Upanisad holds that the whole universe is power, śakti. The cow representing the universe is power. All that comes from it is power. The dung coming from it is power. But the universe as it is created by Siva is not without impurity. Impurity is the illusion of duality. It is the creation of the omnipotent Siva. Siva is the cosmic fire. It burns away all impurity. With the removal of impurity, that is, the delusion of duality, siva and sakti become one. The fact that the application of fire to dung results in ashes is an outward symbol of the inward union of siva and sakti. The application of ashes to body indicates for the same reason, the inward realisation of the union of śwa and śakti by burning away all impurities caused by the illusion of duality, that is, the illusion that there is something outside the self.

'Ash, bhasma, has five names: vibhūli, bhasita, bhasma, kṣāra and rakṣā. It is called vibhūli because by means of the five names it immediately brings about spiritual power. It is called bhasma because it consumes all sins. It is called bhasita because it gives illumination. It is called kṣāra because it puts an end to evils. It is called rakṣā because it protects one from the fears caused by the living creatures, the dead, the evil spirits, the demons called brahma-rāksasa, mental decay and bondage.'(52)

Sadyojāla, vāmadeva, aghora, tatpurusa and īšāna are the five aspects of Maheśvara. They are the substance of the world. They give rise to the five elements, panca-bhūtas and the five kinds of cows which form the origin of the five kinds of ashes, bhasma. So ashes, bhasma, stands for the whole universe. It is the expression of the creative principle of all which is Maheśvara himself. This is the philosophical implication of ashes, bhasma.

The same Upanisad states further 'Agni (fire) is one. Having entered into the world it assumes the form corresponding to that of a

thing. Similarly, ashes, bhasma, is one. It forms the inner essence of all beings. Outside, it assumes the form corresponding to that of a thing. The whole universe is indeed of the nature of agni-soma. fire-moon. The body-burning causing fear and illuminating is called fire, agni. The body causing power—having the immortal as its basis and having illumination and knowledge as its aspects—is the moon. soma. It is power and immortality—Sakti and amrta. It is indeed the essence and illumination in all things, gross and subtle. Illumination has two forms, the sun and the fire. Similarly, the essence called power, śakti has two forms, moon, soma and fire. Illumination is like the act or flash of lightning. Essence is of the nature of mellow sweetness. All moving and non-moving things exist because of the difference in illumination and essence. The immortal amrta comes from fire as fire is nourished by the immortal nectar, ampta. The world of fire and moon, agni-soma is the means of oblation. Moon, soma is the power, śakti, of lifting us upwards as fire, agni, is the power of moving downwards. The upward pull as the downward pull signifies a unity which is brought about by regular swinging of a cosmic rhythm of agni-soma or Hamsa. The whole world without exception is enclosed by these two. Because of fire, above fire there is power. (The word power signifies) all that is transcendent nectar that forms the essence of moon. (It is above fire) till it lets down the nectar, the essence of moon which is fire itself. For this reason indeed the fire that causes dissolution, $k\bar{a}l\bar{a}gni$ has downward pull and power has the upward pull. As there is burning in the upward direction there is purity effected in the downward direction. The cosmic fire that causes dissolution is determined to be the basic power. Its location is upward. For the same reason the location of moon is downward and it gets the name śiva-śakti, auspicious power. (The idea is this). The universe signified as moon is based on fire, that is, siva. It has the upward pull by means of the same fire, siva. It is thus regularly merged in fire. Thus the unity of fire and power, siva and sakti is realised. With this realisation there is no longer mere śakti, power, but śiva-śakti, auspicious power. Linga-mahā-purāṇa gives a clear exposition of this unity or identity. In conclusion it says: 'Those aspirants who understand me as rudra, rudrāni or gāyatrī, the mother of Veda . . . for them I am in all circumstances the identity of śiva and saumya.'(53) That is śakti. This has a spiritual significance. The knowledge constitutive of hamsa, 'I am He' is equated with Agni, the fire that scorches to ashes in the individual any notion of separateness or duality that may linger. He becomes identified with Śiva, the holy and becomes invested with that power Śiva-Śakti, the power that permeates all. This is the saving truth. Agni is the fire that emanates from Śiva-Śakti, the all-consuming fire that leaves no impurity unburnt to ashes. These ashes become the holy ash, bhasma, with which the body of an ascetic is besmeared. It is symbolical of the ashes in which all impurity or taint or sin has been scorched away. The hymn significantly commences with the word 'agni' and this is in the fitness of things

'(Ashes) bhasma is the power of fire and is bathed in the moon. In the absence of its application it causes bondage from root matter, prakṛti. If (ashes) bhasma is applied and applied to all parts of the body, there is a downpour of immortal power and owing to this, the root matter, prakṛti ceases to bind. So in the case of those good people the application of the immortal ashes, bhasma, is intended to overcome mortality. If the touch of the immortal, the auspicious and power is attained how can there be mortality? He who has understood this abstruse, secret and sacred truth in the manner expounded so far, having produced ashes out of rhythm of the fire-moon combination is never re-born. Having burnt the body by means of fire which is the same as the auspicious he who is bathed in the immortal power following at the same time the path of spiritual discipline, yoga is rendered immortal. He is rendered immortal. Thus is the Upanisadic conclusion." (54)

The whole passage illustrates how ashes, bhasma is the symbol of the truth of the auspicious, siva. It is the symbol of identity. The application of ashes, bhasma with the proper understanding of its sacred significance is the highest stage of ethical perfection. It admits of no dualism. It presupposes the realisation of the complete oneness of all that exists. Its application signifies that the person in question has in spirit burnt the world of bondage and in his case there remains only ashes, bhasma, the symbol of the immortal principle of all.

The same Upanisad explains the method of preparing ashes, bhasma out of cow-dung. It shows how sacred a cow and its dung are and what disciplines the preparation of ashes, bhasma, presupposes. It is said that of all powers the power of learning is the real power The three-fold constituent, guna, illumination, satva, activity, rajas, and delusion, tamas is the basis of learning. Learning in turn is the basis of the constituents of prakrti, gunas. (Knowledge, vidyā, is the discrimination between the constituents. It comes from them. By

discriminating them it makes them evident.) A cow is the three-fold aspect, guṇa. Its dung and urine have become knowledge, vidyā, that is, they occupy the place of knowledge, vidyā. This is the position expounded by the *Upaniṣad*. (Having understood this) ashes, bhasma ought to be prepared.' (55)

(Ashes) Bhasma, has thus very great spiritual significance. The whole life of every individual to whatever order of society he may belong is in its own way a preparation for the realisation of the identity of all. (Ashes) Bhasma is the symbol of this realisation. It is therefore indispensable. The same Upanisad notes 'Ashes, bhasma ought to be applied to the body, having consecrated the ashes, bhasma by means of hymn, mantra of Veda beginning with the expression mānastokena. The brāhmaņas, kṣatriyas, further all those of the three-fold varnas, traivarnikas, of those that live as householders . . . by the brahmacarin . . . of the śūdras . . . of all others . . . of the yatis . . . of those that do not observe the rules of varnas and āśramas . . . of all people (the application of ashes) is indispensable ... the man of wisdom by whom the mark of three lines by ashes, bhasma, is borne on the forehead, is he by whom the scriptures are studied and understood and all rituals are observed. Even he deserves respect who has given up the practice of the duties of varya and āśrama and the rules of conduct as well, if he only bears even once, three horizontal lines of ashes. Those men who practise rituals without the application of ashes never obtain liberation from bondage even through crores of births. That which is the highest essence of the fire of rudra is what goes by the name ashes. Therefore he who has at all times besmeared himself with bhasma is he who has vigour, vīrya, the essence of the fire of rudra, rudrāgni. By means of the application of ashes which is the same as fire, the sins of him who is devoted to ashes are burnt. He who is purified by the bath of ashes is known as one who is devoted to ashes. He, all limbs of whom are bathed in ashes, who bears three lines of ashes, who sleeps on the bed of ashes is the man who is recognised (by Śruti) as being devoted to ashes, bhasma-nistha.'(50)

Thus in the light of the emphasis that *Sruti* lays on ashes the importance of ashes in rituals and daily life of all can never be overestimated. The application of ashes is the ritual of rituals. It is also an indication of moral and spiritual discipline. It may, however, be noted that in understanding these passages no room must be given for loose meaning. The *Upaniṣadic* expressions are based on great spirituality.

Consistently with this the external marks are considered to be the outcome of the inward realisation. Without realisation, mere mark means nothing. (Ashes) Bhasma is the outcome of the creative activity of maheśvara with his five aspects, sadyojāta and so on. These are the forms of Brahman expounded by Veda. (Ashes) Bhasma gives all purusārthas. It is the only content and form of the spiritual discipline leading to liberation, mokṣa. It is knowledge. It is devotion. It is activity. It makes life worth living. It is the mark of the destruction of bondage. It is liberation, mokṣa. It is thus both the means and the end. This is the truth of identity, advaita-tatīva. This is maheśvara, mahādeva, the God of Gods.

Bhasmajābāla-Upanisad illustrates this idea as: 'Further, Jābāla Bhusunda offered salutation and requested mahādeva who lived at the peak of the mount kailasa who was of the nature that forms the origin of Veda, aum, whose body was besmeared with ashes, whose face shone with three horizontal lines of ashes, who was partless, who was attributeless, who was marked by peace, who was the truth of identity and who was auspicious, thus-O Almighty bhagavān! Having brought the essence of Vedic teaching to light teach me that method of bearing three lines which gets liberation, moksa to the individual without requiring any other discipline. What is the substance of bhasma 3157) It is clear from this that (ashes) bhasma is the highest of the disciplines. It is therefore all-inclusive It is the independent means for attaining liberation, mokṣa. It is the expression of identity, advaita. It is the oneness of means and end. It is the truth of mahādeva, who is auspicious, siva and therefore Dattātreya himself. In following this idea it must not be forgotten that the application of ashes means finally that all those things that are other than essence are destroyed to ashes and there is the realisation of liberation, moksa, following this destruction.

In the manner defined so far, the person who observes the application of ashes enjoys all pleasures. Having left the body he attains union with the auspicious, *siva*. He never comes back. He never comes back. So said *kālāgni-rudra*, the Almighty itself.' (58)

Yoga-tattva-Upanisad adds 'One who continues to meditate upon him of three eyes, the giver of gifts, pure, shining like the rising sun, having the whole body smeared with ashes, pleasing by the very appearance... to that man of discipline, yogin, there occurs no death, no fear from breath.'(59)

The One all limbs of whom are besmeared with holy ashes,

bhasmoddūlita-sarvānga, is the highest lord, maheśvara. Dattātreya who is no other than maheśvara is so described. Tripuratāpiny-Upaniṣad prescribes the sacred formula for meditation. 'Salutations sanctioned by the Vedas to the Almighty, whose body is besmeared with ashes and whose splendour, tejas, can never be defied.' (60)

Bhasmajābāla-Upaniṣad explains how great is the importance attached to ashes in the words, 'Bhusuṇḍa having offered salutations to śiva who is the supreme God, whose body was besmeared with ashes, who is the truth of identity, requested... teach me OAlmighty, by way of bringing to light the essence of the Vedic teaching, the manner in which three lines of (ashes) bhasma are applied to the forehead from which there is the realisation of liberation, mokṣa, without requiring any other means. What is the substance of ashes?'(61)

This passage brings out the *Vedic* character of ashes and how intimately and indispensably (ashes) bhasma forms the content of the means for liberation, mokṣa. Further Dattātreya is a man of attitude of identity, advaita-bhāvanā. He is a great ascetic. He is liberated while living, jīvanmukta, and philosopher, avadhūta. He is thus one who is undergoing discipline, sādhaka or yogin, following the spiritual discipline leading to liberation, moksa. He realises himself as the identity of Śiva, advaita, i.e. moksa. He is thus the aim, sādhya. He is therefore identity, maheśvara. In view of these ideas it is but legitimate to characterise Dattātreya as the Lord whose entire limbs are smeared with holy ashes, bhasmoddūlita-sarvānga.

XII

Dattātreya is considered to be one who wears the crown of matted hair, jaṭā-jūṭa-dhara. This term means that he is one whose lock of hair is reposed high upon his head. In the course of the Upaniṣadic teaching the supreme Lord is constantly described as having matted hair, jaṭā. Rāmapūrvatāpiny-Upaniṣad presents Rāma as 'One who is along with root matter, prakṛti, blue in colour, of the garment yellow in colour, having the lock of hair reposed high upon his head.'(62) The Daksiṇāmūrti-Upaniṣad presents the supreme Lord as 'The Lord who is in the sitting posture, whose matted hair, jaṭā, is adorned with the shining part of the moon, whose body is as white as milk and who has three eyes.'(63) The same observation holds good with reference to Dattātreya who is described as one who wears the crown of matted hair, jaṭā-jūṭa-dhara.

XIII

Further, Dattātreya is described as the glorious Lord, viblu. Mantrika-Upanisad says 'Those twice-born ones who have the eyes of knowledge see only him who is one only and who is the purest, as viblu '(**) So the term viblu meaning the 'glorious lord' lays emphasis on the absolute purity and uniqueness of the Lord which can be understood only by those who have the eyes of knowledge among the twice-born or only those with the vision of the truth can comprehend the meaning of 'The Glorious Lord', viblu. 'The glorious lord', viblu as applied to Dattātreya brings to light the greatness of this state, attributes previously described having shown how the truth cannot be otherwise than that pertaining to one described as the glorious lord, viblu

Such a noble one is that glorious lord, wibhu, Dattātreya, the most gracious, who has his being everywhere, universal, all-pervading, omnipresent and eternal. He is therefore rightly called, the glorious lord, wibhu. He is also considered as the glorious lord, wibhu because from him emanate infinite numbers of accomplishments, wibhūtis or manifestations of great might and supernatural powers (animādiasta-siddhis).

λIV

'With four arms', catur-bāhu, is another characteristic of Dattātreya. Catur-bāhu is one who has four arms. The meditation on the supreme lord as being a person with four arms is quite common and it may be well to remind ourselves how this conception is far from being considered as theism. This has been explained under the concepts of 'resembling the gem called indra-nīla in complexion', indra-nīla-samaprabha, and being 'all-powerful', prabhu.

Absolutism is higher than theism. Absolutism has in view the highest reality. To attribute a body to it is to bring down its absolute character. This is why theism is not the highest truth. We have a corresponding difference between the conceptions, non-qualified, nirguna, and qualified, saguna. The attributeless is the absolute. It is devoid of all opposites. To attribute property, guna, is to introduce opposites into the absolute. The qualified, saguna, is that aspect of God with attributes. Hence the concept of the qualified is lower and the concept of the attributeless is higher. The attributeless is

Brahman. The qualified is only an appearance i.e., the result of super-imposing duality, dvaita, on the non-dual, advaita, reality. But is the attributeless nothing? Is it void in the buddhistic sense? No. The word void, śūnya is applied to it following the Upanisadic tradition that it is void, sūnya because it is above all contradiction. But the conception is totally different from the buddhistic one. The concept of the attributeless, nirguna, is the highest in the sense that it has all the advantages of all other theories of reality. This is a matter to be appreciated fully only by means of study and meditation. It is not mere negation, though the negation of the lower conceptions is in it. It is the undifferentiated, akhanda. It has all the virtues of the lower conceptions without losing its absoluteness. Viewed from this point of view, the attributeless, nirguna, is higher than the absolute of modern thought. This is the significance of the identity of all as presented by the passage of the Sruti as 'All this is indeed Brahman', sarvam khalv idam brahma. This is the reason why the Upanisads while concentrating on the highest truth prescribed the meditation on the Lord with or without attributes. This is not to confuse the two view-points. To hold that it is confusion is to uphold the commonsense view of things and to deny the Upanisadic character of the *Upanisads*. The *Upanisadic* statements never keep the truth out of sight. This circumstance in the Upanisads rather explains the richness of the unity and identity of non-duality. The Upanisads insist that without meditation, dhyāna, so as to appreciate the absoluteness, richness and completeness of Reality, the truth cannot be appreciated.

Dhyāna-bindu-Upaniṣad mentions the meditation on the highest thus: 'By means of the control of breath defined as pūraka, the aspirant, yogin, ought to meditate on mahā-viṣṇu who shines with the colour of atasī (Sesbania Grandiflora) flower, who has his seat at the place of the navel and who has four arms.'(65) Hayagrīva-Upaniṣad presents the significance of the four arms as 'We meditate upon hayagrīva who has four arms, holding respectively, conch, śankha, disc, cakra, the highest sign of knowledge, mudrā and book, pustaka, indicating learning, and who shines like the full moon.'(66)

The significance of the four arms of Dattatreya must be understood in terms of these ideas; for he is no other than mahā-viṣṇu, who is also the horse-faced hayagrīva.

XV

Dattātreya is described as one who has capacious limbs, udārānga. He who is well built and is of generously proportioned limbs is called udārānga in Sanskrit.

XVI

Dattatreya is further described as one with eyes resembling full-blown lotus flowers, praphulla-kamaleksana. The proportion of limbs as well as body beautiful gains a fresh significance because the face of Dattatreya is made more beautiful by the fact that he has eyes which resemble a blossomed lotus, praphulla-kamaleksana, one who has gracious eyes which resemble the full blossoming lotuses. This qualifies the grace of his body and further enhances it. In the course of the Upanişadic teaching great significance is attached to the eyes because the eyes physically manifest the grace of the spiritual preceptor, guru. Further, beautiful eyes denote a beautiful disposition towards the pupils and the world (generally speaking).

Gopālapūrvatāpiny-Upanisad illustrates these ideas: 'Who is Kṛṣṇa? . . . he is one who uproots sins, whose existence is revealed by cow, earth and Veda, who gives birth to the community of gopis and their intellectual activities. All is enfilled with Him and He is veritably the Supreme Power, māyā. So all is nothing but this highest Brahman. One who meditates upon him, praises him, serves him, becomes immortal . . . what is his form? It is the one that has eyes which resemble a perfect lotus, which has the hue and the lustre of the rain-bearing cloud, which wears the lightning-like raiment, which has two arms, which as a whole is the mystic symbol of knowledge i.e., which wears the garland of forest flowers and which is īśvara, the Supreme Lord.' [67]

This passage illustrates how form, $r\bar{u}pa$, illustrates the character of Krsna and having eyes resembling full-blown lotus flower, praphulla-kamaleksana as applied to Dattātreya brings out all this significance because Dattātreya is no other than Krsna.

XVII

Dattātreya is further defined as the treasure-mine of knowledge, jnāna, and discipline, yoga, jnānayoga-nidhi. He who is the treasure-

house of all that is called jnāna-yoga is called jnāna-yoga-nidh. Jnāna-yoga is a compound term. It means either knowledge with discipline, jnāna with yoga or discipline with knowledge, yoga with jnāna. It presents the idea of the union of the two. Dattātreya as the treasure-house of this union is not only the source of knowledge, jnāna, and discipline, yoga, but of their union as well. The Upaniṣads do not separate the two. They emphasise the union so that in the end both become one by leading to the realisation of the ever present essence, which is the one only.

Yoga-tattva Upanisad says: 'Visnu the well-known lord is the highest of the aspirants as well as of those that are accomplished, yogins. He is the most real and the best of the ascetics. This supreme being is like a light on the path of truth, tattva. The father of fathers, pitāmaha—(brahmā), having worshipped this lord of the world and offering salutations to him prayed to him 'teach me the truth of yoga with its eight preliminaries'. The lord of all organs, indriyas, sensory and motor organs, hrsīkeśa said, 'I teach you the truth. Understand'. All selves, vivas are invested with pleasures and pains, the net of nescience, māyā. (I teach you) the path that gives them liberation, that puts an end to the net of nescience, $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, that destroys, birth, death, old age and illness, that takes one away from mortality. This path is not attainable by all sorts of means. The highest truth to be attained is purity by itself, aloofness, karvalya. Those that have fallen down into the web of empirical sciences, sāstras (connected with different paths) are for this very reason deluded by their own knowledge. The truth to be attained is beyond description. It cannot be defined even by Gods. It is the same as the revelation of one's Atman. How can it be revealed by empirical sciences, sāstra? It is partless. It is pure. It is peace itself, peace within and peace without. It is defectless. The same truth being obscured by the results of merit and demerit (appears) in the form of an individual jiva. The truth of the highest Atman is free from change. How then did it come to have the state of being a jīva? It transcends all that is existent. It is knowledge itself. It is free from all evil. It is illumined like water. In it, in this circumstance, there appeared ego (ahainkāra). There appeared a mass of matter consisting of five aspects which was determined by root matter, prakrti. It became defined by particular characters. It exhibited pleasures and pains. Consider it to be an individual self, jīva. For this reason, by the pure ones the name of an individual self, jīva is applied to the supreme Atman. Desire, fear, hatred, also

delusion, miserliness, foolhardiness, sin, birth, death, also littleness, misery, exhaustion, hunger, thirst, hankering, shame, fears caused by them, pain, dejection, elevation—from these defects the individual self, jīva, that is liberated is understood to be pure, kevala. Therefore in order to put an end to these defects I teach you the means. How can the knowledge which is without discipline, yoga, really bring about liberation, moksa? Indeed, discipline, yoga which is devoid of knowledge is unable to lead to liberation, moksa. Therefore one who desires liberation, moksa, ought to practise both knowledge and discipline, yoga.' (68)

The Upanişad has so far expounded how the union of knowledge, (māna) and discipline, (yoga) is indispensable. What is knowledge? The same Upanişad continues to expound knowledge thus: 'Only nescience, ajnāna, is the cause of bondage. One is liberated only by knowledge. This is at the outset the nature of knowledge. Knowledge is the only source of object. By knowledge is understood the highest truth called aloofness, kaivalya, which forms the essence of the self. It is partless. It is defectless. It is reality, sat, knowledge, cit and bliss, ānanda itself. It is devoid of birth, existence after birth, destruction and recognition or memory. This is what is called knowledge.' (69)

Further, the Sarvasāra-Upanisad defines knowledge thus. 'What does knowledge, jnāna mean? The consciousness, cartanya which is devoid of birth and destruction and which is enduring is called, knowledge, māna.'(170)

As to what is yoga, the Yogatattva-Upanisad expounds it thus. 'Further, I teach you spiritual discipline, yoga. It is well known that discipline, yoga is of many kinds. In usage they differ from one another as mantra-yoga, laya-yoga, hatha-yoga, being different from rāja-yoga. Commencement, eventfulness, cumulativeness, maturation and steadiness characterise all forms. O' Brahman, I teach you their definition in brief. Understand them. A hymn, mantra consists in syllable, mālṛkā and so on. One who repeats it for twelve years gradually attains the knowledge along with the eight accomplishments (called anima, etc.) The (beginner and therefore) slow in understanding of these that are after discipline, yoga, whose capacity to understand is very limited takes interest in this discipline, yoga. Laya-yoga consists in effecting the destruction of the mind, citta. It is described in many ways. Walking, sitting, sleeping and eating, one ought to meditate on the Lord who is undifferentiated The same is laya-yoga. Understand further hatha-yoga.

The virtues of restraint, yama, positive virtues, niyama, bodily postures, āsana, breath control, prāṇāsaṃyama, unworldliness, pratyāhāra, meditation, dhāraṇa, intense meditation, dhyāna, meditation on hari, locating him between the two eyebrows and absorption, samādhi, which is the state of equilibrium, are the eight preliminaries. Next indeed occurs rāja-yoga. When by means of rāja-yoga activity is effected by the accomplished ones, yogins, then in the same circumstance to the aspirant in question occurs indeed detachment with discrimination. Viṣṇu the well known is the highest of the accomplished ones. He is the most real. He is the best ascetic. With reference to the correct path, that is, in determining the correct path this supreme being is like a light.... By him all this is pervaded, so he is the basis of all this. That is real. That is the highest truth.... The person who has this discipline, yoga, attains this truth.'(11)

This is how the *Upaniṣads* explain the unity of knowledge, *jnāna* and discipline, *yoga*. *Ināna-yoga-nidhi* as applied to Dattātreya illustrates how *Viṣṇu* the highest truth expounded by the *Yoga-tattva-Upaniṣad*, is no other than Dattātreya himself. So in view of this *Upaniṣadic* teaching Dattātreya is verily the greatest of those that follow the yogic discipline, *mahāyogī*; he is the greatest of beings, *mahā-bhūta*, and the highest of ascetics, *mahā-tapāḥ*. He is the light that shows the correct path. He is the supreme principle of all, *puruṣottama*. He is the basis of all reality. He is the real. He is the highest truth. The person who understands him thus and who meditates on him becomes one with him, that is, attains union with him.

XVIII

Dattātreya is further characterised as the preceptor of the Universe, viśva-guru or world-teacher. 'Dattātreya the preceptor triumphs', guru dattātreyo vijayate is a prayer which is repeated by the devotees of Dattātreya, preceptor of the Universe, viśva-guru. As ordinarily understood, guru is a teacher. At times the word is taken to indicate an idea of sacredness too. But the Upaniṣadic sense of the term is really profound and it is in keeping with the truth taught by the Upaniṣads.

Advayatāraka-Upaniṣad says: 'By the grace of the supreme teacher the light that lies concealed in the cave of the intellect or in the turīya level of consciousness becomes inwardly realised. That vision

is the root of all good conduct. The teacher is one who is well versed in the knowledge of the scripture, is a lover of the all-pervading supreme Being and is free from envy. He knows all about yoga and is well practised in it. He has the soul of a yogi in him, is pure and imbued with love for his preceptor and above all has realised the Highest Person. The one who has these characteristics is truly called a teacher or guru. Of the two letters in the word guru, the letter gu means darkness and the letter ru means that which removes darkness. For the reason that the preceptor is the remover of darkness he is called guru. The preceptor guru himself is the highest Brahman. The preceptor himself is the highest abode. The preceptor himself is the highest learning or knowledge. The preceptor himself is the best resort. The preceptor is himself the last point of approach. The preceptor himself is the best treasure. For the reason he teaches this truth the preceptor becomes the best of the preceptors. Whoever repeats this once at least, his bondage becomes removed. The sin committed by him throughout his whole course of life becomes immediately destroyed. He attains the fulfilment of all his desires. There is the realisation of all forms of accomplishment, purusarthas He who knows this, knows the truth. Thus is the Upanisadic conclusion.(72)

This passage does not merely present the concept of a preceptor. It elevates him to the rank of a deity for the reason that he has realised the deity within himself and has become one with it. It insists that it is the duty of a proper preceptor, sadguru or sadācārya to evoke in the mind of the pupil a spontaneous reverence and devotion to the preceptor. The purpose of all this elaboration is to fix the connotation of the preceptor by doing which it is sought to fix the connotation of the science of ultimate reality, Brahmavidyā, as the outcome of the teaching of such a preceptor.

Sāṭḥyānayanīya-Upanisad carnes this thought further: Further indeed O Somya, who is fitted to receive knowledgel What is so far taught is that which holds good for all times and in all circumstances (sanātana). It is the all-supporting truth, dharma, pertaining to the highest self. It is the practice of this truth which is meant by devotion to viṣṇu. One ought not to teach it without oneself completely understanding it. One ought not to teach it to a person who makes much of tradition, i.e., one who is not prepared to learn anything new; to one who does not understand the truth of self, to one whose mind is vitiated by attachment; to one who is not pure

in intention as well as in body; to one who does not himself go to the teacher; and to one who has not made a mental effort of his own. Is this not indeed what is taught by the great seers? The same truth is expounded by Veda as follows:-It is well known that learning. wisdom, knowledge, or the God of learning, vidyā went to him who is devoted to Brahman, brāhmana. It made this request: 'preserve me. I am your treasure. Please do not give me away to one who is envious, whose ways are crooked, and who is stubborn. Only then am I allowed to manifest my efficacy.' This learning, vidyā which is purely spiritual is no other than devotion to visuu. It is the truth of the Self. Thou ought to teach it only after thorough examination, 'to one who does not know it and is eager to know it and who has all the necessary qualifications for receiving it; to one who does not neglect one's duties; to one who has the capacity to grasp it; to one who follows the rule of celibacy, brahmacarya, one who is devoted to the study of scripture and Brahman which is its meaning, and to one who has come seeking the preceptor in search of knowledge, vidyā. If those pupils that have set their minds on knowledge and are devoted to Brahman (vipras), (brāhmaņas) do not venerate the preceptor in speech, mind and action (then they never would reap the harvest of their study). The man to whom the preceptor is not the master to be lovingly served, fed and tenderly catered to, and who does not will his approbation never reaps the fruit of learning. The preceptor alone is the highest sustenance, dharma. The preceptor alone is the highest aim. If a person ceases to be beholden to a teacher who has taught him even a syllable, then all that learning or knowledge oozes out like water kept in an unburnt earthen pot. As is the supreme devotion to God so is the devotion to a preceptor. He is the knower of Brahman and he hies to the beyond. Such is the commandment of the scripture. So says the Upanisad.'(73)

This passage lays special stress on the following ideas. The greatness of the preceptor consists in his discriminating judgment as to who is fitted to receive knowledge. This gives him an opportunity to find an apt pupil for study. The preceptor is the cherisher of learning, vidyā. Without the preceptor, learning becomes barren of results. True learning can never lead one astray. That which leads itself to prostitution is no learning at all. If the pupil is not endued with the spirit of living laborious days for the sake of learning, and has no endeavour to assimilate what he has learned, he will only be wasting his time. The capacity for privation is the test of knowledge.

Single-minded devotion to the preceptor is but a stepping-stone to devotion to God. The two are complementary to each other. This is the final teaching of Veda and Upanisad.

Brahma Vidyā-Upanisad presents a further definition of the concept.

'He who meditates upon mahādeva, the supreme Lord who shines like a light in the centre of the navel, and besprinkles him with the nectar-like 'I am He' and 'I am He' will be rid of decrepitude and death, disease and the like. The man who is unceasing in this practice attains to lordship. Apart from hamsa-vidyā, nothing else leads one to eternity. One must serve unceasingly, consciously and absorbingly, the one who imparts this great wisdom known as hamsa-vidyā. The pupil, filled with gladness, must obey implicitly what the teacher commands without pausing to consider whether it is auspicious or mauspicious. Man thus learns the hamsa-vidyā from the teacher by faithful attendance on him.' (74)

The science of 'I am He' is the attitude of 'He I am', soham-bhāvanā. It is the science of Brahman, Brahma-Vidyā itself. It is the whole Veda. The giver of this knowledge is the preceptor. He is hari himself in the real sense of the term. He is therefore one only, that is, there is one preceptor. He is hari, and is Dattātreya himself. He is the preceptor of the world viśva-guru, because he is the treasure-trove of knowledge and discipline, jnāna-yoga-nidhi, the very source of knowledge, jnāna and discipline, yoga. He is therefore the preceptor of the whole world, viśva-guru. The Brahmavidyā-Upanisad says further that the science 'I am He', hamsa, is no other than dakṣināmūrti, the peerless, the first preceptor, ādi-guru and the one preceptor of all.

Dattatreya is rightly called the preceptor of the whole world, visua-guru, not only because he is hari, maheśvara himself, but he has through scorching austerity, tapas, attained that complete identity of himself with the auspicious, śwa; for, as the Maitreyī-Upaniṣad says: 'O Bhagavan' This body has originated from sexual union. It is never found together with understanding. It is hell itself . . . it is replete with impurity of all kinds. O! Lord, to one who dwells in such a tabernacle, you are the only refuge. Addressed thus, Mahādeva answered. The body is spoken of as a temple and the individual self, jīva, in it is the holy deity itself. One should worship Him as 'I am He' by casting off other useless offerings infected with nescience.'

In elaboration of this truth of identity, he says further 'I am I, I am also the other. I am Brahman. I am the source of (all things). I am also the preceptor of all the worlds. I am all the worlds. I am He. I alone am. I am the accomplished, siddha. I am the pure. I am the supreme. I am I. I am always He. I am the eternal. I am stainless. I am knowledge. I am excellent. I am the moon. I am the all. I am all that is good. I am devoid of grief. I am consciousness. I am equipoise. I am without honour or dishonour. I am qualityless. I am the holy, siva.'(75)

Therefore the manifestation of *śiva* as Dattātreya is nothing else than Dattātreya being *śiva*. So it is but right that Dattātreya is called the preceptor of the whole world, *viśva-guru*.

XIX

Dattātreya is the object of affection of all classes of aspirants, yogin-jana-priya. He is the beloved of all classes of aspirants, yogins. He is the beloved of the aspirants because he is their innermost self or Atman, and he resides in them. He is their beloved because the aspirants are always and constantly full of love for their Atman. Since they are the lovers of the Atman, ātma-priya, they are also lovers of śiva, the auspicious, śivapriya. They have Dattātreya as their peerless object, the self, Atman. He is always beloved of all aspirants because he is their love and the object of their spiritual quest.

The word yoga is derived from the root yuj, which means 'to conjoin' or to yoke, that is, literally to merge oneself in the universal being or eternal Atman which is ever present. Therefore those who practise this discipline of merging the self in the universal being are called aspirants, yogins and they always love their Atman and continually meditate on it so that Dattatreya who is no one else but the supreme Atman, is one beloved of all classes of aspirants, yogins.

All that is in this discipline, yoga, is in Dattātreya; and especially that pertaining to wisdom, jnāna is of Dattātreya. He is knowledge itself. Because of this he is called the treasure-house of true wisdom. That true wisdom is called pure consciousness, cinmātra in the Tejo-bindu-Upaniṣad. It is all and everything in this world and nothing is without it. That is wisdom, jnāna.

XX

Being the object of affection of all classes of aspirants. yogins. Dattatreya is full of compassion towards his devotees. bhaktānukampin. He is the protector of his devotees. Those who worship and surrender themselves completely to his grace are under his protection and will be looked after comfortably in this world and the next. He will, by his grace, destroy all sins of his worshippers and grant them liberation. His disposition of compassion gives a fresh significance to the previous attributes, being the object of affection of all classes of yogins', yogi-jana-priyani and so on. He is the object of affection of all yogis, yogi-jana-priya, not only because he is the treasure-mine of knowledge and discipline, jnana-yoga-midhi and the preceptor of the whole world, viśva-guru but because he is compassionate towards his devotees. He is ever ready to give them knowledge, jnana, and discipline, yoga, so as to enable them to realise their union with himself. Further, the attribute 'one full of compassion towards his devotees', bhaktānukampin signifies the truth that that which gives liberation to his devotees is not so much their painful endeavour and upward struggle, the toil and the travail of knowledge and practice as the spontaneous flow of the Lord's compassion. Nay, their knowledge and discipline themselves are the mark of his compassion and an evidence of his concern for his devotees.

XXI

Dattātreya is described as the all-witness, sarva-sākṣin. This term means that he is the eternal witness of all things Sarvasāra-Upanisad explains why he is the all-witness, sarva-sākṣin in the following words: 'He is called the witness of all (sarva-sākṣin) for he is himself the witness of the origination and lapse of the cogniser, cognition and the cognised. He is all-witness because he is free himself from origination or lapse and shines by his own light.'(76) This is why he is characterised as 'real, knowledge and bliss', saccidānanda and considered to be the Atman of all things. As the witness of all he is the witness of all that occurs to his devotees. He is the author of all those occurrences because he is intent on the unravelling of power, ātma-māyā-rata. All is his creation, it is the expression of his power, māyā. It is the overflow of his bliss, ānanda. So he is the witness, that is, the author of knowledge, jnāna and discipline, yoga of his devotees. Further, he

is the author of their liberation. This explains how his compassion the real means for the liberation of his devotees. The devotees then selves with their devotion, bhakti, and faith, śraddhā are his creation And he who is in all, the peerless, the witness of all is what all-witnes sarva-sākṣin signifies.

XXII

Dattatreya is further defined as one who is served by the accomplished ones, siddha-sevita. The truth of Dattatreya as it is s far indicated implies that for his devotees he is more than liberation This is the real significance of his being the object of affection of a classes of aspirants, yogi-jana-priya. It is not for the sake of obtaining liberation that yogins feel attracted towards him, but they as attracted towards him for his own sake. He is more than liberation because he is the author of liberation. He is the magnet that attract all without difference and gives to all the benefit of that magnet impact. Those who have been touched by his grace are the accorplished ones, siddhas. So the title, 'served by the accomplished one siddhas', is fittingly accorded to Lord Dattatreya. This accomplish ment is no other than knowledge, jnāna, discipline, yoga, an liberation, moksa in unison. Dattātreya the giver of all is everythin for them. He is the be all and end all of their existence. Their so object is to emulate the example of their great preceptor. Since an achievement of success rests on his grace, those who become accomplished persons have no other purpose than serving their maste and thus grow to be like him. As the Lord Dattatreya himself say he loves those most who meditate on him as 'I am Datta, that i Dattatreya', 'dattoham'. The one object of the accomplished ones to become one with one's preceptor and serve his lotus feet. He always and continuously so sed by the accomplished ones.

One who thus meditates upon the greatness of Lord Dattatrey, the God of Gods, devadeva, the most eternal, thus attains liberation free from all sins and will not be lacking in the auspicious vision dṛṣṭi which is necessary to attain success. Thus the Upaniṣad projec before our vision the meaning of Dattātreya as the gift of the great Lord Maheśvara to the stricken world. Dattātreya is born of penance tapas, and by penance alone can he be intuited and grasped. In orde to do so the Upaniṣads give subtle and elaborate meanings for the devotees to think about.

2. DATTĀTREYA: MEDITATION

To sum up what has gone before, a few words on meditation may not seem out of place here.

Commonly speaking, Dattätreya is considered to be one of the incarnations, avatāras of Visņu. In the Bhāgavata-Purāna he is credited with having great spiritual powers and being the teacher of discipline, yoga, to a number of disciples. Lord Dattātreya's views on spiritual discipline, yoga, are clearly and explicitly stated in the Jābāla-Daršana-Upanisad when the Lord gives a masterly exposition of it to his favourite disciple, Sāmkrti. In it is described in great detail the discipline, yoga, consisting of eight limbs, astānga-yoga, the ultimate purpose of which is attainment of oneness with Sīva, śiratra.

For such a person, the *Upanisad* says: 'If one realises in the absolute sense, the self as it is, then the whole world becomes realised to be nothing but sport, māyā, and there follows spiritual bliss.'

Dattātreya is generally invoked in prayers as atri-gotra-samudbhava, one who is born in the family of Atri. But at times he is also invoked as 'one who is born from the eyes of Atri', atrinetra-samudbhava. What does this mean? Literally it means one who was created or took his birth or came out of the eye of Atri. But eye, netra also means eye of knowledge, nāna-cakṣus. So it can also be said that figuratively he was born of the eye of Atri, the eye symbolising the eye of knowledge, nāna-cakṣus or sphūrti, flame of penance, tapas. The intuition of truth that penance gives can only be discerned with the inward eye. It also signifies that as a result of the severest of penances, Atri was able to grasp maheśvara, that is nūrāyana to be naught else but his own Atman, and maheśvara being thus pleased with his tapas (penance) gave himself (parameśvara) as boon to his devotee (Atri). The result of Atri's penance was Dattātreya. It was the identification of Atri and maheśvara as one.

In another work called Tripurā-Rahasya, we see Dattātreya as the exponent of śākla worship generally and also the advocate of the knowledge of absolute identity, paramādvaila-jnāna which is called Tripurā-Pāramesvarī. The content of this knowledge is in the same work defined thus: 'Tripurā is purely of the essence of the infinite power, all witnessing, pure consciousness and all complete as it is free from all limitations.' There are three kāndas or chapters of the rahasya called jnāna, māhātmya and upāsanā. Jnāna is knowledge,

māhātmya is greatness and upāsanā is meditation. Knowledge, jnāna is considered to be the only vital factor that is essential for the successful termination of the mission of life. It is supposed to be the realisation that comes to great souls when they intuit that the only form of existence or life there, is that the supreme being who by its own volition manifests itself in this world in all the different forms of life and matter that together constitute the world. The knowledge that arises out of that fact is the real case of knowledge, jnana. It is the only thing that remains, will remain and will always continue to remain when all else that is nescience disappears out of the Lord's grace. This supreme attitude is very difficult to explain clearly or satisfactorily. It is at best only intuited by the fortunate few, vibras. through the grace of God, iśvarānugraha. It is best described or understood only by those who attempt to practise spiritual discipling. yoga, or who by some rare gift, apūrva-saniskāra thus attain to this state of beatitude. The chapter on knowledge, *jnānakāṇḍa*, gives a list of those rare souls who attain to this state in this very life, one of them being Janaka, the celebrated and renowned king of Mithila.

It may however be noted that the foregoing ideas show that the world has no status of its own. Having arrived at this conclusion men of wisdom hold, 'Those who understand what reflection is, hold that all this world as experienced by all is only a reflection. No part of it appears independently. It appears only because of spirit, caitanya. The world is therefore taken to be like the reflection seen in a looking glass.' (79)

So the world is but a reflection or image. This is why it is inert. It cannot shine forth by itself. But because it reflects Atman it is of that Atman. Atman enables it to appear as the source of illumination. It is for this reason that it (the world) shines forth. Therefore the world is but the reflection of Atman. It is like the reflection of an object in a mirror. This idea is brought out very clearly in the Daksināmārti-Stotra by Sankara:—

'The universe is like the image of a city seen in the depths of the mirror. It arises out of the self due to illusion. It looks as if the world lies out there. It is like the objects in the dream that one dreams. On waking up one realises that all that was seen was those in one's own undivided self. Salutation to Śrī Dakṣiṇāmūrti, the very embodiment of a preceptor.' (80)

He who gains victory over illusion, māyā, is the liberated even while he is alive, jīvanmukta. This liberation comes through the grace

of maheśvara, the preceptor. In recognition and practice of this truth Avahdūta-Gītā begins with the words: 'The fragrance of non-duality is the graceful gift of God to men'. (81) In this passage Lord Dattātreya himself makes the momentous announcement that the gnosis of non-duality, advarta-ynāna will not come except through the grace, anughraha, of the Lord Iśvara. Therefore only an aspirant who is fitted, adhikārin for spiritual wisdom and who is the recipient of the grace of the Lord, īśvarānugraha, can succeed in his undertaking the discipline, yogic sādhana. Having understood the significance of all this, there remains for us as our one duty, the prayer: 'May the most auspicious five-faced god, sadāšīva, Dattātreya himself, the preceptor, always execute his great dance of grace on the pedestal of the thousand-petalled lotus, (sahasra-kamala-pītha) of the devotee, (śīsya).'

There is a beautiful chain formula of the deity, Dattatreya, as represented by Dattatreya-Upanisad. It is taught to a devotee of great detachment. The meaning of this is therefore naturally spiritual. It brings out the all-absorbing, (plenum) pūrna character of Reality. This explains how the truth is non-dual, advaita, that is, advaya. Adhyātma-Upaniṣad says: 'The highest Brahman is self-complete and non-dual.' It is a salutation of sadāšīva himself. In the course of the meditation the devotee prays for spiritual strength and shelter from all evil that stands as impediment in the way of spirituality.

The following is the chain formula of the deity, Dattatreya-One should utter aum. 'Aum' salutation unto the Lord Dattatreya, propitiated by remembrance alone, who is the dispeller of great fears, who bestows the highest knowledge, who is of the character of knowledge and bliss, who is in the guise of a child, a mad man and a devil, thus: who is a great yogin, who is a philosopher, who is the enhancer of the joy of Anasuya, his mother, and is the son of the sage Atri, thus who bestows the fruits of all desires of the devotees' heart Here one should utter aum. Unto the redeemer from the bonds of worldly existence—here one should say hrim. Unto him that bestows all kinds of powers: thus: here one should call upon krom. Unto him that attracts all kinds of accomplishments like a magnet, thus: here one should come out with sault. Unto the agitator of all minds: here one should pronounce śrim Here one should proclaim mahom. Unto the long lived: here one should utter vasat. Pray do thou subjugate-Do thou attract-here one should utter Vausat. Do thou

attract: Here one should say hum. Do thou antagonise-Do thou antagonise: Here one should mutter phat. Do thou drive away-Do thou drive away-Do thou drive away: here one should pronounce tha tha. Do thou paralyse-Do thou paralyse: here one should mutter kha kha. Do thou kill-Do thou kill: Salutation to the highly accomplished one: salutation to the highly accomplished one: here for the sake of the all accomplished, one should proclaim avaha. Do thou nourish (my body). Do thou nourish (my body). Do thou mangle, do thou tear beyond shape (my) adversaries' mystic incantations. mystic symbols and mystic formularies. Do thou counteract the malignant influence of evil spirits, grahas. Do thou counteract. Do thou cure all ailments. Do thou cure all ailments. Do thou drive off all anguish. Do thou drive off all anguish. Do thou melt away all penury. Do thou melt away all penury. Do thou nourish (my) body. Do thou nourish (my) body. Do thou fill the mind with joy. Do thou fill the mind with joy. Unto thee the real form of all mystic incantations, all mystic symbols, all mystic formularies and all mystic powers, thus aum, salutation unto siva. Thus the Upanisad. (183)

In this prayer, the Sanskrit letters or words, aum. hrīm and so on invite Dattatreya, the omnipotent to chase away the evils. These evils are the possible adverse circumstances that stand in the way of spiritual realisation. The truth that Dattatreya is all-power, sarvašakti is the significance of the attributes 'being intent on unravelling of power', ātma-māyā-rata, or 'sportful disposition', ātma-krīda and so on as applied to Dattatreya. To understand this is to see the unity of scriptural teaching, Sruti and Smrti. Repetition of the prayer signifies the realisation of power in any sense as being an attribute in an exclusive sense of the truth, absolute, that is, Dattatreya. This is the highest form of the realisation of the one-ness of God-head in the face of which all faiths of narrow outlook melt away and there shines one supreme Reality. In illustration of this truth, Brahma-Sūtra (2.1.30) says: 'It has all also because there is the realisation of it (stated in Sruti).'(84) Sankarācārya gives the meaning of the Sūlra as: 'It must be accepted that this absolute divinity is omnipotent. Why? Because it is the truth expounded by the Sruti. Sruti expounds omnipotence to be the attribute of the Absolute.'(85) Dattatreya is thus all gods, all creatures and all that exists. He is the means and end—upāsnā and moksa. These two are the real contents of life. He is thus the whole of life. This is his identity, advaita or advaya character.

We have studied so far how Dattātreya is the highest truth. The realisation of this truth is liberation, mokṣa. Dattātreya is the means, sādhana as well. The state of being liberated while being alive, jīvanmukti and the state of being a philosopher, avadhūtatva are the chief contents of means, sādhana. To study Dattātreya as being liberated while he is alive, jīvanmukta, and as being a philosopher, avadhūta, and as the teacher of these ideals is therefore imperative in understanding the truth of identity, advaita-tattva, the truth of Dattātreya.

The need for meditation will thus surely be realised as the one sure and successful means by which that understanding which passeth all words can come The act of intuition and establishment of the person's whole being in the realisation 'I am He' 'I am the Hamsa' is the last phase of this spiritual process. For its complete fulfilment and fruition meditation is the most necessary ingredient and the royal road to success. It is the method adopted and perfected with great skill by our forbears.

Thus the sketch of Dattatreya including meditation on him is an exposition of the truth taught by the Hymn to Dattatreya The Upanisad concludes thus 'the sacred mother of the Vedas, the eternal truth, satya, aum—Thus the Upanisad.'

This mental pictorial praise of Dattatreya, Datta-mānasa-stuti, may be concluded with an invocation to the preceptor, the guru (Dattatreya himself).

'The preceptor is Brahmā. The preceptor is Vișnu. The preceptor himself is Mahesvara. The preceptor is no other than the highest Brahman. Salutations to that Preceptor Dattātreya.' (86)

'I offer my salutation to the Lord Dattatreya, the divine tree that satisfies those that are devoted to him, ocean of bliss which is no other than himself, the secondless, the divine and the remover of the illusion that causes bondage.' (87)

'Auspicious I am! Sivoham.'

Aum śantih śantih śantih.

CHAPTER III

DATTĀTREYA: THE SPIRITUAL DISCIPLINE:

1. DATTĀTREYA: THE LIBERATED IN LIFE.

THE JIVANMUKTA-GITĀ

(attributed to Lord Dattātreya)

MANGALAM

asato mā sad gamaya tamaso mā jyotir gamaya mrtyor mā amrtam gamaya

'Lead me from the unreal to the real.

Lead me from darkness to light

Lead me from the mortal to the immortal.

I

sarva-bhūtāntarasthāya nitya-mukta-cid-ātmane pratyak-caitanya-rūpāya mahyam eva namo namah

(sarva-bhūtāntar-vartine nitya-mukta-cit-svarūpiņe sarva-sākṣiņe mahyam eva svātmana eva namaḥ. nama iti dvir-uktiḥ ādarārtham)

'I offer again and again humble salutations to that great Being which is immanent in all creation, which shines forth as consciousness, cit and which is ever liberated, which is the witness of all actions and true knowledge and which is no other than my innermost self.'

This verse is taken from the Vārāha-Upaniṣad (2.33). The Upaniṣadic verse is followed by 'tubhyam mahyam anantāya mahyam tubhyam cidātmane.' 'For you, for me which is infinite: for me, for you which is the self, spiritual.' Consistently with this idea mahyam in the present verse refers to the self underlying all. This is one's innermost self.

Tejobindu-Upanişad (104) says: aham brahmeti niścitya aham bhāvam parityaja. 'Having attained the conviction 'I am Brahman', give up all egotism.'

It follows from all this that aham according to the Vedic tradition refers to the Self, the underlying principle of all.

The fact that the present verse is the restatement of the *Upanişdic* passage illustrates the Vedic character of the *Jivanmukta-Gītā*.

Cit cit is consciousness. It is the principle of mind. It is the source of mind. It is the truth. It is the highest knowledge or understanding itself. It is beyond mind. The Devi-Bhāgavata begins with a salutation to that supreme being (the Goddess Ambā—the great universal mother), personified as pure consciousness, caitanya:

'We understand that (truth) which is the spiritual principle underlying all and the *primus inter pares* of all knowledge, that is, knowledge of knowledge, vidyā of vidyās. May it direct our minds.' (1881)

The expression, 'one who is ever liberated', nitya-mukta refers to that supreme being (whom we term God) as that state wherein it (the Supreme Being) exists transcending the two-fold body . . . the gross, the subtle, the body called linga and the body called saguna; the three-fold state of existence, waking, dream and dreamless sleep, the five sheaths of the body, the physical, anna-maya, the vital, prāna-maya, the mental, mano-maya, the spiritual, vijnānamaya, and the blissful, ananda-maya; the twenty-five categories of reality, tattvas, the root matter, prakrti the intellect, mahat, egoism, ahamkāra; the five sense organs, eye, ear, nose, tongue and the organ of touch; five motor organs, speech, hand, food, organ of excretion and organ of enjoyment; and mind, manas five subtle elements, tanmatras, sound, touch, colour, taste and odour, and five elements, ether, air, fire, water, and earth together with spirit, purusa. According to the philosophy of siva-sakti there are thirty-six categories including siva. The thirty-six categories, tattvas, are five spiritual, cidrūpa, seven spiritual-nonspiritual, cidacidrūpa, and twenty-four nonspiritual. The spiritual are śwa, śakti, sadāśwa, īśvara and vidyā. The spiritual-nonspiritual are māyā, kāla, niyati, kalā, vidyā, rāga and puruşa. The non-spiritual are avyakta, buddhi, ahankāra, manas, five sensory organs, five motor organs, five subtle elements (tanmātras) and five gross elements Vidyā as a non-spiritual entity is accepted as the cause of the perception of pleasure and pain. The spiritual categories manifest omniscience and omnipotence in the souls. The spiritual-nonspiritual categories manifest imperfect knowledge and imperfect action. The nonspiritual categories take the form of pleasure, pain and so on. These principles according to the Hindu conception of man's creation constitute the sum total of

man's physical as well as mental being. The whole meaning of this very śloka is that the Supreme Being is the same in all elements of existence. Therefore it is the same to all different religions and peoples. This is illustrated by its universal immanence and its being the witness of all action.

H

jīvan-muktis ca yā muktih sā muktih piņda-pātane yā muktih pinda-patane sā muktih suni sūkare

(jīvan-muktir iti yā muktih ucyate sā yadi piņda-pātana parā tarhī sā muktih sūkarādiṣv api prasaktā bhavati ity arthah. Pinda-patanam na jīvan-muktir iti bhāvah.)

'The liberation while the individual is still alive is called jivannukti. It connotes a definite state. If it is identified with the death of the physical body, then that liberation which results from the death of the physical body applies equally to the animals such as dogs and pigs.'

The point that Lord Dattatreya wishes to bring out by this passage is that the state of being liberated while one is alive, jivanmukti, is profoundly different from what it is ordinarily understood to be. It is in the first place different from death. Death is common to all creatures, whereas, the state of being liberated while alive, jivannukli, is a rare gift that occurs to particular human beings, the disciplined ones, yogins, or the accomplished ones, siddhas. Therefore it must be carefully defined and understood. For it is liberation, mukli, that occurs to one who is still in the physical body engaged in all the activities of life. In the second place it is an expression of complete cessation of misery. Life in general is marked by misery because in general it is the source of misery. But the state of being liberated while alive, jīvanmukti, is the single exception. Further if any one does not believe in the state of being liberated while alive, jivanmukti and still believes in liberation, mukti, then death must be taken to mean liberation, mukti. But this explanation is too wide and it proves nothing. Liberation, mukti therefore is necessarily preceded by the state of being liberated while alive, jivanmukti. What is then the state of being liberated while alive, jivannukti? It is that state wherein a person is liberated even as he is alive in the body. He is therefore called one who is liberated.

Jivanmukti is an important concept in Vedic thought. In the Mundaka-Upanisad we are told vimuktasca vimucyate—'One who is already liberated becomes liberated.' This one 'who is already liberated' is the one who is called jīvanmukta in the later Upanisads such as Varāha-Upanisad, Mahopanisad, Annapūrņa-Upanisad and Ātmopaniṣad. In these Upanisads this concept is defined and elaborately discussed.

In the Darsana literature also the problem of jivanmukti has acquired great prominence. Independent works too are written on this problem. In illustration of this point 'The Jivanmukti-Viveka' of Sri Vidyāranya may be cited. In the case of a man who is alive, doership, enjoyership, pleasure, pain and so on which are the states of mind, and being of the nature of misery become causes of bondage. The removal of this misery is the state of liberation while one is alive. (89)

III

Who is he that is liberated while alive, jīvanmukta? The Lord gives the answer—

jīvah śivah sarvam eva bhūtesv evam vyavasthītah evam evābhi-paśyan hi jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(jīva iti yah sah sarva-bhūteşv-apı sıvatvenaıva vyavastlıtah sıva eva, tad-jnānī jīvan-mukta ity arthah.)

'Jiva is siva itself. It is thus in the manner defined, immanent in all creatures. The person who sees only this truth in life is said to be the liberated though alive.'

Thus in the manner defined' refers to the opening passage 'sarva-bhūtāntarasthāya . . . pratyak-caitanya-rūpāya'. The fact that this spirit caitanya, which is the underlying principle of all is immanent in all creatures, bhūteşu vyavasthītaḥ, illustrates how jīva is śīva. Thus is emphasised the identity of the individual with the universal So affirms the Śrutī: 'That Thou art', tat tvam asi; 'I am Brahman', aham brahmāsmi; 'He am I', soham asmī; 'He alone am I', sa cvāham asmī; 'Siva I am', šīvoham, 'Datta I am', dattoham: and so on. Their identity is not the identity of jīva and śīva. It consists on the other hand in seeing the truth of śīva in what is called jīva. Śīva is con-

sciousness and bliss—cid-ananda. Jīva is the same. The person who takes his stand based upon this truth which is immanent within himself is said to be liberated in life.

IV

If identity is the truth, where is then the necessity for the appearance of an individual, jīva? Lord Dattātreya answers:—

evam brahma jagat sarvam akhilam bhāsate raviḥ samsthitam sarva-bhūtānām jīvan-muktaḥ sa ucyate

(yathā ravih sarvam jagat bhāsate evam brahma sarva-bhūtānām ātmatvena samsthitam sat akhilam bhāsate prakāśayati. evam evānhipaśyan ity anuvartate. saḥ tādrśaḥ jnānī jīvan-mukta ity ucyate ity arthaḥ.)

'Even as the sun illumines the whole world, Brahman which is immanent in all creatures illumines the whole world. He who realises this is said to be liberated in life.'

Without the sun's light in different forms we do not see the world. Seeing is finally the being. The unseen is non-existent. Similarly without Brahman, the illumination, caitanya of all there is no illumination of the world, that is, there is no world at all. Brahman is intent on unravelling of power, ātma-māyā-rata. Its bliss, ānanda, consists in unravelling its own power, māyā. To appear as individual, jīva, is to unravel power, māyā. Brahman is therefore bliss, ānanda. This is the teaching of Śruti. The Kaṭha-Upaniṣad says: 'The sun does not shine there, nor the moon, nor the stars, no lightning flashes, nor does the fire light up the things. All is illumined by Its illumination.' (90)

The idea that the person who realises this truth is a jīvanmukta is the exposition of the statement of the Śruti such as 'This is the highest visņu, viṣṇoryat paramampadam, that is, śiva, Brahman, Dattātreya. Men of wisdom see it without break'(81), 'May we understand the form of the Lord'(82), and so on. This seeing or understanding is of the nature of intuition.

It is this intuition of the highest that distinguishes the one that is liberated in life from others. It is just the recognition of the universal existence behind all the manifold particular forms of many that constitutes living reality. With this intuition the one who is liberated in life is an indispensable evidence for the truth of identity of the individual with the universal.

У

Brahman is one. How can it have many forms? Lord Dattatreya answers —

ekadhà bahudhä caiva drśyate jala-candra-vat ātma-jnānī tathaivaiko jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(jala-candra-vat jale candraḥ yathā anekadhā dréyate tathaiva ekah ātmā. upādhi-bhedena ity adhyāhārah . . . ekadhā bahudhā caiva dréyate. evam ātmānam yo jānāti sah ātma-jnānī jīvan-mukta ity ucyate.)

Even as the moon which appears manifold when reflected in water, in the form of tanks, lakes, rivers or pots, and yet it is one single entity, Atman the self appears as many when it takes on different forms (and yet it is one only, being absolutely indivisible) Only that person who knows the truth of Atman is said to be liberated in life, jivan-mukta.

Water is the cause of (or occasion for) different reflections of the moon. Similarly the cause, upādhi for the many forms of Brahman is the power of māyā Manyness is merely the appearance. Indivisibility is the truth. This is the teaching of Sruti: 'Brahman is devoid of the difference from the similar and the dissimilar and is itself devoid of all differences within itself.' (193) 'All this is indeed Brahman.' (1941) and 'There is not duality in any sense with reference to Brahman, the basis (adhisthāna) of all reality.' (1941) This is the truth taught by the Lord.

VI

The Lord gives the reason why of all persons only the one who knows the self is the one who is liberated in life. The reason is the realisation of the identity of Atman. Why is realisation so difficult to attain? Lord Dattatreya answers:

sarva-bhūte sthitam brahma bhedābhedo na vidyate ekam evābhipasyams ca jīvan-muktah sa ucyate (brahma sarva-bhūtasthitam. yatra bhedaḥ abhedaḥ bhedābhedo na vidyate. tat ekam eva. evam abhi paśyams ca yaḥ sa jīvanmukta ity ucyate.)

'Brahman is immanent in all that exists. For this reason it is devoid of difference or identity, that is, it cannot be defined in terms of difference, bheda, non-difference, abheda, or difference-non-difference bhedābheda. The person who sees Brahman strictly in this manner is said to be the liberated though alive.'

In the previous passages the knower of identity is called the liberated though alive. Identity is non-difference, abheda. To define Brahman in terms of non-difference, abheda is after all to bring Brahman down to the plane of difference, bheda. To define is to distinguish. To distinguish is to modify universality. Brahman which is universal as spirit, caitanya, transcends therefore definition per se. To realise this is to realise identity and it is a rare gift. To talk of identity is easy, but to think of the state of transcending definition as such is extremely difficult. This shows how it is no ordinary achievement to become liberated in life.

The person who has this realisation is usually called the one who has the eye of knowledge, <code>jnāna-netra</code>. This is the so called third eye of the man. It grasps or sees things that the two physical eyes are unable to grasp. It is the eye of intuition. This eye is also called <code>susumnā-nādī</code> or <code>sarasvatī</code>, the unseen third river which is supposed to flow at the confluence of the Ganges (<code>gangā</code>) and Jumna (<code>yamunā</code>) at Prayāg. This eye is in the middle of the eye-brows; where the right eye is the ganges and the left is jumna, while between them, in the middle of the forehead, is the sarasvatī. Brahman that is realised by this eye of knowledge is the highest with reference to which the <code>Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka-Upaniṣad</code> says: 'It is apprehended only by the intellect, <code>buddhi</code>,'(**) because as <code>Kaṭha-Upaniṣad</code> explains 'it transcends all the instruments of knowledge—speech, mind and eye.'(***)**

' 'lly Confra! [' .

What is the character of the man who has realised this truth? Lord Dattatreya says:—

tattvam ksetram vyomātītam aham ksetrajna ucyate aham kartā ca bhoktā ca jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(tattva-svarupam evam astı. ksetram ākāśātitam, paramātmā kşetrajnah. kartṛtvam bhoktṛtvam ca tasyaiva evam yo vijānāti sah jīvan-mukta ucyate)

'The nature of Reality is as follows:—The body transcends the limitations of space. The Supreme Being is the soul in it. I am the actor and the experience as well. He who knows it thus is named the Jivanmukla, the liberated in life.'

The body referred to is not the gross body, because it is defined as a syomātīta or transcending this atmosphere. This body is called linga-śarīra or subtle body. It consists of five subtle elements, eleven organs, egohood, ahamkāra, and the material principle of intellect, buddhi. While the gross body comes into being and goes out of it in the course of the life of an individual, linga-śarīra accompanies the individual till he gets liberated. To attribute doership and enjoyership to the supreme self, ksetrajāa is to give up the illusion that the individual is the doer and enjoyer. To give it up is detachment, vairāgya. That is the very first item of the character of a man of wisdom, jnānin. According to the Bhagavad-Gītā 'This is now obtained by me. I shall now have the fulfilment of my desire.' 'Thus are they deluded by nescience, ajnāna.' But the 'aham', (I) of the verse referred to in the previous paragraph is not the deluded 'I' but the 'I' which means the paramātman.

VIII

Lord Dattatreya presents the further traits of the wise man's character.

karmendriya parityägi dhyäna-varjita-cetasah ätma-jnäni tathaiveko jivan-muktah sa ucyate

(karmendriya-parityāgi sva-sva-vyāpāra-rahitāni jnānendriyāni karmendriyāni ca kurvan tāni parityajati ity arthah. tathā cetah api viṣaya-dhyāna-varjitam karoti evam advayam jānāti yah sa jīvan-muktah.)

'Only he who has relinquished the conative senses and the introspective faculty but has realised the soul within him is said to be Jitanmukla, the liberated in life.'

The idea underlying the above text is this: With the activities of the outgoing senses and a roving mind a person becomes externally engaged or extrovert (bahirmukha), full of somatic activity. His mind and activity are dispersed and dissipated in a world of multiplicity. If the turmoil of multiplicity is quietened the mind would cease to function in the usual way and he becomes fixed in Atman. This makes him the knower of the self and the liberated though alive. Bhagavad-Gītā says: 'If like a tortoise which retracts its limbs fully, the person retracts his sense organs from their objects, then his knowledge becomes steady.'(108) The same idea is illustrated by Katha-Upaniṣad: 'If the five-fold knowledge with mind and intellect does not function, then that state is the highest they say.'(100)

IX

Lord Dattatreya presents the next step of the wise man's, *jnānin*'s realisation. By means of the psycho-somatic discipline that is so far expounded he has his detachment well entrenched. With this sort of detachment what kind of life is open to him is the question. The Lord answers:

šārīram kevalam karma šoka-mohādi-varjitam šubhāšubha-parityāgī jīvan-muktas sa ucyate

(jnāninā yat karma kriyate tat soka-mohādi-varjitam. tac ca kevalam sarīra-parirakṣaṇāyaiva. evam tena subhāsubhādikam parityaktam bhavati. sa jīvan-mukta ucyate.)

'He whose somatic activity is free from grief and infatuation, and the one who has no concern whatever with what is agreeable or disagreeable is called the liberated in life.'

In this passage the Lord teaches the principal ideas expounded by *Sruti* and *Smṛti* with regard to the present problem. The *Iśāvasyopaniṣad* having first expounded oneness of *Atman, chatva*, observes, 'Where is *moha*, 'delusion'? Where is *śoka* 'grief'? in the case of one who has realised the oneness of the Lord.'(100) The *Bhagavad-Gītā* says 'The person who does only that thing which is absolutely needed to effect the continuation of body i.e., life, does not suffer from sin.'(101) The same work says earlier 'The person who is attached to nothing, having obtained those things which are called by others good or evil, does not either appreciate or hate, the knowledge of this person is established.'(102) The same work says 'If you happen to be completely devoid of activity then even the continuation of the body i.e., life, becomes impossible.'(103)

X

Is this to welcome dualism to this extent at least? Lord.Dattatreya says 'No.'

karma sarvatra ādisṭaṁ na jānāmi ca kiṁcana karma brahma vijānāti jīvan-muktaḥ sa ucyate

(yah ādistam vidhyuktam karma na jānāti kartrtvāropena karma na karoti ity arthaḥ. ata eva karma brahma-svarūpam eveti vijānāti sah jīvan-muktah)

'The person who has come to the decision 'I do not know any activity in any sense that is supposed to have been ordained (by \$\bar{a}\sistra), that is, the person who never observes any action by superimposing doership on himself, and understands that activity as such is Brahman itself, is one who is said to be the liberated in life.'

This is the answer given to the question about dualism. To retain activity in any sense, retaining the super-imposition of 'doership' is to give room for dualism. For, against a doer the creative principle cannot be established. But to retain activity as the expression of the creative principle itself, call it caitanya or Brahman, gives no room for dualism. This is the nature of activity taught by śāstra. It rather illustrates the truth of the creative principle rather than the individual doer set over against it.

XI

Lord Dattätreya states the results of the discipline so far expounded:

cinmayam vyāpitam sarvam ākāśam jagad-īśvaram sahitam sarva-bhūtānām jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(yah jagad-īśvaram cit-svarūpam iti ākāśa-vyāpinam iti sarva-bhūta-sahītam ity api jānāti sah jīvan-mukta ucyate.)

'The person who understands and actually sees the supreme lord of all the world as being spirit itself which pervades all space and therefore as being given along with all creatures, the centres of activity, is one who is said to be liberated in life.'

Those that believe in the supreme lord of the universe may not know that the lord is the underlying principle of all; and in spite of

their belief in a lord they may see things apart from the lord. They are therefore devoid of the knowledge of the self. But the case of the knower of the self is different. For him the reality of all, that is, lord of all, is jagad-īśvara. He actually sees that it is this reality that is actually presented to him in every case of experience but not the object of ordinary perception.

Kena-Upaniṣad presents Brahman as 'That which is presented in every case of experience or knowledge in general.' (104) The same Upaniṣad points out 'Know thou that alone to be Brahman the lord of all, but not that which is commonly supposed to be Brahman.' (105) Bhagavad-Gītā says 'He who sees me in all and sees all in me, to him I do not cease to exist and to me he does not cease to exist.' (106)

The expression 'as being given along with all creatures', sahitain in this passage does not mean duality, because duality is sublated by the very context defined by the state of being liberated while alive. So this word must be taken to mean the absolute reality. A similar expression is found in the Bhagavad-Gītā: 'Those who know me to be along with the elements that are in my control and the divinities that are in my control are those that have the mind resulting from the practice of spiritual discipline, meditation and so on.' (107)

IIX

The next stage of the growth of discipline is:—

anādi-varti bhūtānām jīvah sivo na hanyate nir-vairah sarva-bhūteṣu jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(serveşu bhūtesu yah anādih jīvaḥ saḥ śiva eva. ata eva saḥ na hanyate. ataḥ sarveṣu bhūteṣu mr-vairo yaḥ jīvan-mukta ucyate.)

'The jīva of the creatures that exist from the beginningless time is siva himself. Jīva therefore is never destroyed. Having known this truth the person who bears no hatred to any creature is said to be liberated in life'

Hitherto, the behaviour of a person within himself in relation to himself that makes him liberated in life was expounded. In the present passage his relation to other creatures which makes him liberated in life is stated. For the reason that jīva is śiva himself, Śruti holds 'The body is the temple of the Lord.' [108] For this reason Kathopanişad says: 'Jīva does not kill others nor is it killed by others.' [109]

XIII

Lord Dattatreya teaches the two-fold relation of the self:-

ātmā guruh tvam viśvam ca cid-ākāśo na-lipyate gatāgatam dvayoh nāsti jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(yah guruh ātmā sah tvam eva. sa eva nir-liptah cid-ākāśah. tad eva sarvam ata eva tasya gatāgatam gatam āgatam āgatam gatam vā na vidyate. evam yah ātmānam sah jīvan-mukta ity ucyate.)

'The self is the preceptor. It is yourself It is all this. It is the all-pervading spirit. It is never affected by anything. Jīva and śiva are hus the same. For both therefore there is no subtraction and no addition. The person who knows this truth is said to be the liberated in life'

The Sanskrit expression gatāgata also means forward and backward movement of the self from one world to the other world. When it is realised that Atman is all, there is no meaning in attributing this movement to it Further, gatāgatam dvayam nāsti is a possible reading of the passage. This means both gata and āgata, going and coming, are absent in the self.

XIV

Does this self continue to be individual? Lord Dattatreya says 'No.'

garbha-dhyānena paśyantı jnāninām mana ucyate soham mano vilīyante jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(garbha-dhyānena antar-dhyānena ity arthah, etādrśa-dhyānena jnāninah yat paśyanti tad eva jnāninām mana ucyate idam eva soham-manah etādrśa-mano-viśistāh jnāninah cid-ākāśa ity anuvartate tatra vilīyante, te tatra vilayam yāntīty arthah, evam sthitasya ātma-tattvasya jnānī ity anuvartate saḥ jīvan-mukta ity ucyate)

'By means of internal introspection that which the wise see is their mind. The same is the mind 'He I am' soham. This mind with the meditation 'He I am' is the all-pervading siva itself. He who knows this truth is said to be liberated in life.'

This passage explains how the duality of the subjective and the objective aspects of the mind of the wise is overcome. At the stage

of the meditation, 'He I am', the mind is not directed towards something which is different from it. It is rather directed towards itself. It finds itself. It is the person of this mind that disappears in the ultimate truth. The same is taught by Śruti. Advayatāraka-Upaniṣad says 'The person who always meditates on himself as being in essence spirit itself... by means of introspection seeing the highest Brahman becomes Brahman itself.'(110) Hamsa-Upaniṣad explains how the mind of 'He I am' soham-manas disappears in the ultimate and how finally there shines the all-auspicious as 'One ought to meditate on the self characterised as 'I am He' . . . one becomes the highest Brahman . . . It is herein the all auspicious shines.'(111) So the expression, destruction of mind, actually indicates the shining, prakāšana of the all-auspicious.

XV

ūrdhva-dhyānena paśyanti vijnānam mana ucyate śunyam layam ca vilayam jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(jnāninaḥ ūrdhva-dhyānena samādhinā yat paśyanti tat vijnānam. tat teṣām mana ucyate. tadeva śūnyam layam. tadeva vijnānam. tathā ātma-jnāni atmānam jānāti yaḥ saḥ jīvanmukta ucyate.)

'By means of meditation that which the wise see is the spirit. The same is called mind, the mind of the wise. The same is called void, destruction and wholesale destruction. The knower of this truth is said to be the liberated in life.'

This passage illustrates how spirit, mind and so on stand for consciousness, and how void, \dot{sunya} , destruction, laya, and wholesale destruction, vilaya, have in view the truth of consciousness, cit. This shows how this position is profoundly different from nihilism, the state of nothing.

XVI

abhyāse ramate nityam mano dhyāna-layam gatam bandha-mokṣa-dvayam nāsti jīvan-muktaḥ sa ucyate

(yasya jnāninaḥ manaḥ nityam abhyāse śravana-manananididhyāsanākhya-tapasi ramate krīdati. yasya manaḥ dhyānalayam dhyane layam gatam; yasya bandha-mokṣa-dvayam bandha-mokṣākhya-dvandvam nāsti saḥ jīvan-mukta ucyate.) 'He is said to be the liberated in life whose mind delights always in understanding, reflecting and meditating and is absorbed in meditation and who is free from the relative ideas of bondage and liberation'

The ideas of bondage and liberation are relative to each other. They are therefore of the character of bondage. The wise man is free from them.

The idea expounded by this passage is the position established by the *Upaniṣads* and studied by the *Smrtis Svetāśvatara-Upaniṣad* says 'The form of this truth does not belong to the world of experience by clear conception. Nor does any one see it by means of the eyes. Those who by means of mind understand this truth which underlies mind as such, become immortal '(112) Katha-Upaniṣad says 'It ought to be realised only by means of mind. There is absolutely no distinction in it '(113). The Bhagavad-Gītā substantiates the same idea in many passages such as 'The spiritual aspirant having his mind fixed realises the truth of mine which is peace itself being the highest stage of liberation '(114)

XVII

ekākī ramate nityam svabhāva-guna-varjitam brahma-jnāna-rasāsvādī jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(yasya jnäninah-manah ity anuvartate nityam svabhāva-gunavarjitam prakrti-gunātītam, sah jnānī ekākī ramate ātmany eva krīdati brahma-jnāna-rasāsvādī brahmākhya-jnāna-rasāsvādī sah jīvan mukta ity ucyate)

'That wise (person) whose mind has transcended the properties o' the root matter is absorbed in joy within himself. He who is absorbed in spirit, the essence of knowledge which is Brahman Itself is said to be liberated in life.'

This is the position expounded by such passages of the *Upanisads* as 'One who knows Brahman becomes Brahman itself' (115) Katha-Upanisad making a reference to those that know Brahman observes 'Happiness occurs only to those but not to others Peace occurs only to those but not to others '(116)

XVIII

Hrdi dhyānena pasyanti prakāsam kriyate manah soham hamseti pasyanti jīvan-muktaḥ sa ucyate.

(ye jnāninaḥ hṛdi dhyānena prakāśam paśyanti taiḥ manaḥ kriyate—teṣām manaḥ abhivyaktam bhavati iti yāvat. tadā te 'soham' 'hamsaḥ' iti paśyanti. evam ātma-tattvam paśyan jīvan-mukta ity ucyate.)

'Those wise men who by means of meditation see the illumination within themselves are those by whom mind is achieved. They then see reality as 'He I am'. He who understands the self in this manner is said to be liberated in life.'

The Hamsa-Upanisad distinguishes between two forms: the individual being all, hamsa and the transcendent being, paramahamsa. With reference to this knowledge it says "He I am, hamsa is the seer of this hymn, and the transcendent "I am he", parama-hamsa is the truth approached by means of this knowledge." By this the individual is required to transcend individuality.

XIX

siva-sakti-samātmānam piņda-brahmāņdam eva ca cid-ākāsam hrdam moham jīvan-muktah sa ucvate

(jnāninaḥ śiva-śakti-samātmānam śiva-śakti-samaḥ yaḥ ātmā tam ātmānam mahātmānam. Pinḍaḥ sárīram, tena sahitam brahmāṇḍam hrdam hṛtstham bandhakam moham ca cid-ākāśam iti caitanyam eva paśyanti, yaḥ evam ātma-tattva-jnānī saḥ jīvan-mukta ity ucyate.)

'The wise see that the great self which is the same as the power of the auspicious, siva, the whole universe including the body and the delusion that pertains to his mind i.e., heart are nothing but spirit. The person who understands this truth is said to be liberated in life.'

The concept of the auspicious power, śiva-śakti, is the underlying principle of several ideas that are in vogue such as Kālidāsa's praise of pārvatī and parameśvara, 'With a view to understanding the nature of word and its meaning I offer my salutations to pārvatī

and parameśvara, the parents of the world so intimately related to each other even as word and its meaning (are related to each other).'(118), and the concepts, lakshmī-nārāyana, umā-maheśvara, ardha-nāriśvara, paśupati and so on. All these ideas refer to the esoteric meaning of the knowledge 'He I am', hanisavidyā.

XX

jāgrat-svapna-suşuptim ca turīyāvasthitam sadā soham mano vilīyeta jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(yasya jnäninah soham manah soham iti dhyänaika-param manah jägrat-svapna-susuptim atītya sadā turīyāvasthītam sat cid-ākāša-paramātmanī viliyeta sah jnānī jīvan-mukta ity ucyate.)

'The wise man whose mind constantly meditating on 'He I am', soliam is fixed in the state of transcendence having transcended waking, dream and dreamless sleep and becomes dissolved in the all absorbing spirit is said to be the liberated in life'

Kawalya-Upaniṣad expounds the same position: 'The same jīva being deluded by power, that is, illusion, māyā, obtains body and does all. At the state of waking the same jīva has satisfaction by having different pleasures, women, food, drinks, etc. The same jīva enjoys in dream, pleasure and pain in a world by themselves posited by its own power, māyā. At the time of sleep all become quiescent, jīva is obscured by darkness, tamas, and it comes to have the disposition of joy... the basis of all this experience is the spirit, cartanya which is partless and is of the nature of bliss; and in this spirit all the three states disappear... I am that Brahman that is secondless.' This passage explains how the individual experiences misery in the three states and the manner of overcoming it in the state of transcendence is to attain to the outlook of 'He I am', soham-bhāva.

XXI

soham sthitam jinanam idam sütreşu maniyat param soham brahma nirākāram jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(ídam soham sthitam jnanam sütreşu manivat cid-ākāše sthitam ity anvayah soham param brahma nirākāram evam ātma-jnānī yah sah jīvan-mukta ity ucyate.)

'This knowledge i.e., manas defined as 'He I am', soham, is in spirit, caitanya, even as the bead is in the string. The reality presented as 'He I am' is the highest Brahman itself. It is formless. One who knows this truth is said to be liberated in life, jīvan-mukta.'

The string (Brahman) connects the beads, (individuals) of a neck-lace, through 'He I am', soham. So it is the bead or seed which links us with the transcendent. The attitude of 'He I am' is our affirmation of union with the transcendent.

XXII

Lord Dattātreya observes that at this stage of discipline there is nothing that may cause bondage.

mana eva manusyānām bhedābhedasya kāraṇam vikalpanaiva samkalpam jīvan-muktaḥ sa ucyate

(Vikalpanā idam ittham evetyādi tattva-viruddhā kalpanā sa eva samkalpah iti prasiddaḥ tad eva mano-rūpam sat manusyāṇām aham mametyādi bhedābheda-vyavahāra-kāraṇam. Evam yo jānāti jnāna phalam ca samkalpa-rāhityam tathā ca yah sarvathā samkalpa-rahitaḥ. saḥ jīvan-mukta ity ucyate.)

'The notion of this and thus and so on is a fiction opposed to truth and that is what is commonly known as volition. It is this mental activity that is the cause in ordinary life of the distinctions of I and mine. He who is aware of this as the result of the knowledge and lack of self will become entirely free of volitional activity. Such an one is spoken of as the unfettered soul though embodied.'

This passage clearly explains how to be impressed with distinctions and differences is due to a warped outlook. It is a miasma of the mind. To yield to it is not a sign of wisdom. It is a mischief that our mind plays with us. To be aware and conscious of this mental tendency is to prevent oneself from succumbing to it. He who knows that the eternal spirit within us transcends all these transformations is a liberated soul. Mundaka-Upanişad illustrates this point by the story of the two birds one of which looks on as a spectator while the other eats of the fruit of suffering. (120)

XXIII

Lord Dattatreya points out to a stage in spiritual discipline at which the promise and potency of liberation will begin to fructify.

mana eva viduh prājnāh siddha-siddhānta eva ca sadā dṛdham tadā mokṣa jīvan-muktah sa ucyate

(yat prājnāh jnāninah viduh kimiti) yadā manah sadā drdham bhavati tadaiva mokṣah iti. Sa eva ca siddha-siddhāntah. ya evam siddhāntam veda sah jīvan-mukta ucyate.)

'What is that which the wise and the knowing have known? It is that liberation which is the condition where the mind becomes steadfast. That is the established truth. He who is aware of this truth is the unfettered soul though embodied.'

Siddha-siddhanta—Dattatreya is a siddha. He has so far taught the steps to reach siddhi, perfection. This is his established position and this is therefore called siddha-siddhanta.

VIXX

Lord Dattatreya concludes by declaring thus:-

yogābhyāsī manaś-śresthah antas-tyāgī bahir-jaḍah antas-tyāgī bahis-tyāgī jīvan-muktassa ucyate

(yo yo yogābhyāsī yogam abhyasati sa so manaś-śresthahmanasā śresthah evam vidhah ayam antas-tyāgī antas-tham sarvam api māyā-sambhūtam tyajati iti antas-tyāgī Ata eva saḥ bahiḥ jada-vat ācarati. evamca saḥ antas-tyāgī bahis-tyāgī ca. sa eva jīvan-mukta ity ucyate.)

'Whoever practises yoga is one who has attained mental excellence. One of this type is a person of inner renunciation, called so on account of the fact that he has renounced all illusory objects. That is the reason why outwardly he conducts himself as absolutely inert. He is thus the two-fold renouncer, both within and without. He is spoken of as the unfettered soul though embodied.'

Patanjali-Sutra says 'Yoga is complete cessation of the mental functions '(121)

Lord Dattatreya the teacher of this Gītā is himself siva. He is himself the liberated in life. He has attained this state by means of the practice of spiritual discipline. He is of supreme mind. He has transcended all that is inside and all that is outside himself. He is thus an aspirant. He is compassionate to his devotees. He is all-witnessing. He is served by the accomplished ones. He is therefore

the best teacher of the spiritual discipline that makes one liberated both in this life and beyond.

Casting off false conceptions, internal ($\bar{a}ntara$) and external ($b\bar{a}hya$), by truthful and sincere efforts we can attain to the highest that we are capable of.

Iti vedānta-kesariņā śrī Dattātreyeņa viracitā jīvanmukta-gītā samāptā.

'Thus ends the song on jivanmukta composed by Śrī Dattātreya, the Lion of Vedānta.'

Iti Śrī Jayacāmarājendra-viracitā jīvanmukta gītā-vyākhyā samāptā.

'Thus ends the commentary on jīvan-mukta gītā by Śrī Jayachamarajendra Wadiyar.'

2. DATTATREYA: THE INCARNATION

It may be appropriate here to consider the conception of the incarnation of Dattātreya. It is said in the *Upaniṣad* that Dattātreya was the gift of Lord *maheśvara* to the sage Atri as a result of his being pleased with his austere penance. For the reason that he was given to Atri by *maheśvara* he was called Dattātreya. Dattātreya, it further says, is the Lord *maheśvara* who was born as Atri's son.

In the puranic version the story is slightly different. There it is said that Atri went to perform a sacrifice, yajña, leaving his dear wife Anasūyā to be in charge of his hermitage, āśrama. Anasūyā who is a chaste and devoted wife begs Atri, her Lord, to give her permission to keep the sacred water (tīrtha) after washing his feet. With his consent she agrees to look after the guests and conduct the daily ritual. Her fame was so wide and well known that Nārada, the celestial musician and divine sage taunts the wives of the trinity of Gods, brahmā, viṣṇu and rudra that even they cannot hold a candle against Anasūyā's devotion to her husband. The three spouses of the trinity of Gods grow jealous and prevail on their husbands to go and besmirch the fair name of Anasūyā. Accordingly the three Gods, brahmā, viṣṇu and maheśvara go there as three brahmins and ask to be entertained. When the offering of food is placed before them they refuse to eat it. Anasūyā is worried lest her piety towards guests be in question. So she entreats them to say what they want. They reply by saying that unless she serves their food without any garment on herself, they will not eat their fill. Anasūyā having thought over it

for a minute agrees to do so and taking the holy water that had washed her husband's feet, sprinkles it on the three guests who are turned into babies. They are fondly suckled by her and given their food. In the meantime Nārada tells Atri that his wife has been unfaithful, and has three babies. The sage Atri returns home in a rage, but on learning the truth is much pleased with Anasūyā's devotion and fidelity.

After the lapse of a long time, the three wives of the trinity get upset because their husbands have not yet returned from their errands and ask Nārada for information. Nārada tells them what has happened. The wives ask Anasūyā for the return of their husbands and they are restored to them. All of them being satisfied with the penance of Atri and the devotion of his wife, grant them boons Whereupon Atri and his wife ask that the three Gods be born as their sons. The wish is readily granted and so resulting in the birth of soma with brahmā's blessings, durvāsa with śiva's blessings and Dattātreya with vismi's blessings, but Dattātreya incorporates within himself all the attributes of the Trinity. So it came about that Dattātreya was the special incarnation of the three Gods in recognition of the penance of Atri and chastity of Anasūyā.* The date of the incarnation is said to be Wednesday, the fourteenth day of the full moon in the month of mārgašīrsa.

Thus Śrī Dattātreya occupies a very special position in Indian mythology. He is not merely a symbol but a great living and ever auspicious presence. He is known as a devoted son to his parents, as an exemplary ascetic, a great teacher of śākta and śaiva doctrines as evidenced in Tripurā-Rahasya, Devī Bhāgavata, the Avadhūta-Gītā and Śiva-Rahasya. He is the preceptor of Paraśurāma and Sāmkrti. He is one of those that were liberated though living. He is known as 'Dattātreya the highest and beyond all measure,'1122)

In the Tripurā-Rahasya Dattātreya is depicted as the one who is outwardly fond of wine and women. It is said that it is a cloak to hide his greatness, because he was tired of being harassed by people of all sorts for the highest truth. Nobody knew better than the Lord that no one who was not an adhikārin, responsible person, should be initiated into the secret of the science of Brahman. So he repelled all those not worth teaching, from coming to him. It was only the true

^{*}There is a temple in Travancore State built where the trinity of Gods revealed themselves to Atri and his wife—at the place called Sucindram. In passing it may be noted that, within that temple there is a shrine dedicated to Sri Dattatreya.

aspirant, the disciple with real devotion that would see, through his spiritual power, and thus would be ready to receive his grace and blessing. Such a great devotee of Śrī Dattātreya was Paraśurāma. After testing his sincerity, Dattātreya initiated him into the secret of *Tripurā*. Paraśurāma owed his inspiration to worship at the feet of the Lord Dattātreya to the sage sanivarta.

Dattātreya was also the teacher and guide of the legendary hero Kārtavīryārjuna, the great *kṣatriya* king. It was Kārtavīryārjuna whom Paraśurāma defeated and destroyed in his attempt to rid the world of all *kṣatriya* kings. Both these great men, great heroes and great ascetics, *sādhakas*, were the disciples of Lord Dattātreya. So much for the greatness of Dattātreya as a preceptor, *guru*.

There is a belief widely held that Dattatreya also took the form of Śrīpāda-vallabha and Śrī Narasimha-sarasvati, two great spiritual guides whose disciples exist even today. Their many sportive activities (lilas) and miraculous doings excite the admiration and enhance the devotion in many of the Lord's devotees, as well as help to enhance the Lord's radiance and glory further. In both these incarnations Dattatreya is always the philosopher completely detached from the worldly things, the embodiment of renunciation, true wisdom, universal kindness and enormous spiritual and miraculous powers. These great souls were ever bent on giving succour to suffering humanity, always ready and willing to help sincere men in their ordinary existence into a higher plane of spiritual and moral grandeur, to enable them to achieve the highest end or goal of life, the state of being liberated in life, jivanmuktatva, through the enjoyment of the nectar of identity, advaitamrta, as the result of the grace of God, *īśvarānugraha*, helping to develop the individual disposition, samskāra, into complete fruition by the development of the intuitive faculty, pratibhā-śaktı. Thus were the great preceptors, Śrī Śripāda-vallabha and Śrī Narasımha-sarasvati, the incarnations of the Lord Dattatreya, the embodiments of all that is highest in Indian thought and culture. They were the Lord in human form, the preceptors who illumined the dark world of dreariness and unwholesomeness by their wisdom, piety and truth. They were no other than Brahman characterised as truth, wisdom and the ever present, that is, the eternal presence.

Dattātreya is a sacred name and a symbol of the sacred 'I'. He is the vital principle we call by various names, siva, viṣṇu, rudra, brahmā, vāyu, pṛthvī, indra and so on. He is all of them; he is in every

particle of thought, action and belief. He is the preceptor who confers the nectar of unity, advartāmṛta by his mere glance.

To him, Lord Dattatreya, all his devotees turn for protection and guidance. And his presence is felt by all who have that faith and love. May he watch over us, and bless us with the realisation of identity which is the grace of God. As Puspadanta says of the Lord, his grace is enough to give the pupil the boon of the nectar of identity. All paths lead to him alone.

'If the praise of thee by one who is ignorant of the extent of thy greatness be unbecoming, then the praises of even Brahmā and others are inadequate for thee. And if all remain unblameable by praising thee according to their intellectual powers, then even this attempt on my part to compose a hymn is free from any blemish '(123)

- 'O Dattatreyal O harrl O krsnal
- O the Giver of the Highest Bliss!
- O the Form covering all the directions of space!
- O the child of Atri
- (O the product of the men of wisdom!)
- O the demon!
- O the Ocean of Knowledge!'(124)

Aum śäntih śäntih śäntih

CONCLUSION

By way of concluding this part of the work, the significant ideas that were so far expounded may be recapitulated so as to present to our mind a coherent view of the reality, Dattātreya, with a view to understanding the truth of identity more readily.

Identity is the truth that underlies life as such. To understand it presupposes a disposition This disposition is the gift of the Lord. Without it life is embodied in misery and is meaningless. With it it is joy itself and meaningful. It results in the realisation of identity. This is the state of release from bondage, the state of pristine freedom. The outlook of identity gives a purpose to life. It integrates it with the transcendent.

The process of realisation involves a systematic spiritual development which is accelerated at each stage by the spontaneous grace of God The flowering and the fruition of this process of growth consist in the acquisition of Advaita bhava or the Advaita attitude. This frame of mind comes to permeate every phase of a person's activity and enables him to steer clear of illusions and delusions, passions and prejudices. It enables him to overcome adverse circumstances of all kinds. It secures for him a serenity of spirit, an equanimity of mind, and an egalitarian outlook (samadṛṣṭi) which is the outward expression of an inner tranquillity, realisation and poise.

In the language of Sāndilya-Upaniṣad, identity, Advaita, is the implication of the Dattātreya concept. The concept of Dattātreya is so complete and comprehensive that it is not the object of study and knowledge. It is the very principle of study and knowledge. For this reason it is meditated upon as 'Siva I am' and 'Dattātreya I am'. It is thus the preceptor of all. It is spirit. It is illumination. It is self-revealed, self-understood and self-established. In this process it happens to be the preceptor of liberation in life and the philosophy of equipoise. As identical with daksiṇāmūrti it is the source of an unbroken stream of teachers and teaching (gurusampradāya pravāha). It is the oneness of upāsana and upāsya—both the process of meditation and the object meditated upon.

The things that appear contrary to this identity have their source in nescience and illusion. They are instances of witchery created by Dattātreya, the master magician. Dattātreya creates circumstances that make practice of meditation a necessity. Meditation implies one who meditates. The meditator in his effort to become one with the meditated is chastened and purged of all taint of evil and imperfection. The process of meditation culminates in the direct realisation of that which is meditated. This is the consummation devoutly to be wished for, the realisation in the most intense way of a unity in the midst of diversity. The truth that is thus realised is the monistic truth expounded by the scriptures. This is in short the subject matter of the present work.

In the first chapter of this book we attempted to understand identity by means of several definitions offered by men of wisdom. Further, in the light of Sānḍilya-Upaniṣad we noted that the truth of identity is the origin and the aim of the whole creation. To indicate the rich content of this truth it was signified as Dattātreya by this Upaniṣad.

In the second chapter of this work we studied the concept of Dattatreya as presented by the same *Upaniṣad*. The several attributes like śāntam, the peaceful and śivam, the good ascribed to Dattatreya should not be taken as in any manner giving a description

of it. Description presupposes a familiarity with a thing and its features. This cannot be said of our knowledge of ultimate reality. It baffles description and definition. One can arrive at a theoretical understanding of it (sabdabrahma) by a process of disciplined thinking But this is only preliminary to realisation. This holds good of the truths revealed in the Veda. The attributes mentioned are however so bound together that each of them is logically integrated with the others. They are interrelated and interlocking and find their solidarity and transcendence in the absolute. The principle that all determination is negation was adverted to in this part of the work.

At this stage of our study the question of interpretation becomes very important. This may be illustrated by taking for example Dattatreya as identity. As identity, Dattatreya was said to be truth, sat, knowledge, cit and bliss, ānanda, Brahman, Atman and mahesvara. This signifies that whenever the concept of Dattatreya comes to our mind, we must see that in the same concept all that is signified by these Upanisadic words must be truly represented. These words are also used in common parlance. They are supposed to have a definite meaning according to ordinary usage. But the Upanisadic use of these words is profoundly different. A reference to the Upanisadic literature shows that each word is applied with great care to a definite position at which the Upanisadic seer has arrived after deep meditation under the personal guidance of an expert teacher. A reference to the Taitiriya-Upanisad illustrates this point further

Bhrguralli, the concluding part of this Upanisad opens 'Bhrgu the celebrated son of Varuna came to Varuna, his father and requested him 'O Revered Sirl Teach me Brahman'. He taught him the following: (Brahman is) food, vital breath, eye, ear, mind and speech He further taught him, 'from which these creatures are born, by which those that are born are supported, into which they enter and become united, enquire into it. That is Brahman'. He meditated upon it. Having meditated upon it, he understood that food is Brahman. From food these creatures are born. By food those that are born are supported. They enter into food and become united with it. Having understood this, he again came to Varuna, his father and said to him. 'O Revered Sirl teach me Brahman'. He taught him, 'Enquire into that from which all things have emanated, by which all things are sustained and into which all things return'. (125)

We are herein told that under the guidance and direction of Varuna, the father and teacher, the pupil and son Bhrgu was led by gradual stages of reflection to the comprehension of Brahman. The process of meditation which led to this is essentially enquiry and reflection culminating in the harmonisation of all the vedic texts and ideas in such a way that they receive invincible philosophical justification.

In order to understand an *Upaniṣadic* idea or a word, the relevant *Vedic* passages must be scrutinised and the meanings have to be fixed. Any other method of interpreting it does not do justice to it. The problems connected with this aspect of interpretation are the subject matter of the *Vedānta-Sūtras* of Bādarāyaṇa.

In the present work an attempt is made to interpret the attributes of *Dattātreya* in terms of the *Vedic* ideas. For the sake of clearness an attempt is made in the following pages to show how these various terms point to the same truth and how each idea is implied in all other ideas. This may now be briefly indicated.

As it is already suggested, Dattatreya is the Absolute and the one and emphatically non-dual. This is the implication of the Upanisadic definition of Dattatreya as truth, sat, knowledge, cit, bliss, ananda, Brahman, Atman and maheśvara. Duality is only a phase in this process of understanding. By deep austerity and meditation it disappears. The annulment of duality characterises the Advaitic experience. This is the experience of the holy (sivam). It is the 'numinous' experience as Professor Rudolf Otto puts it. The holy is the tranquil (santam). It is a peace that passeth understanding. The holy and the tranquil is the harmonious and harmony evokes the aesthetic experience of the beautiful (sundaram). 'Indranīlasamaprabha', 'luminous like a sapphire', signifies the soundarya aspect. 'Dvaita' or the duality of the experiencer and the experienced has 'advaita' implicit in it. It is a necessary stepping-stone to Advaitic experience. The manifested and the manifold universe is the effervescence of the inherent bliss of the Absolute (Ananda). The Upanisad says that from bliss everything emanates and into bliss every thing returns. The effervescing of ananda in the manifested universe is termed the creative play (Līla) of the Divine spirit. Dualism therefore presupposes identity. It is therefore not ultimate. The ultimate is identity. Dattatreya transcending duality of all kinds is the one source of all that is verily defined as omnipotent. The extension of its omnipotence is so great that its creative power knows no bounds. It is a system-maker as well as a great magician. In both cases its creation is a spontaneity. It is its sport. It is the overflow

of its bliss. It is in this sense intent on unravelling its power. It is thus the author, of both bondage and release. Its creatorship involves no duality. Everything emanates from it and finally enters into it. It is in this sense the shining principle of all.

Truth is like a many-sided gem, the particular facets revealed being parts of the gem. Likewise we can say that truth is like a hill which is visible to view. Only a part of it can be glimpsed. This does not mean that what is not known does not exist or that what is grasped is the whole hill. What is grasped by each perspective is part of the hill, and the other unseen parts are mentally fitted up by us by a process of what a psychologist has termed 'noetic synthesis'. Similarly it is the case with the highest truth. The transcendent is implied though only a part of it is being discovered by us. The ordinarily comprehensible portion of it consists of those attributes by which we term Dattātreya as auspicious, peaceful and so on But the whole reality of Dattātreya transcends all that. It is self-illuminating, self-illuminated and self-illumination. This is what Deva as applied to Dattātreya implies. 'Deva' is derived from 'div' which etymologically means 'to shine'

The real truth of Dattātreya cannot be revealed by word of mouth nor is there anything in the light of which it can be grasped. It is presupposed in all knowledge and is svaprakāśa or self-evident. It is the teacher itself. The only way by which it can be known is by a process of immediate knowledge or direct realisation (śaksātkāra). It is then that it reveals itself as the one and one only (advaita). It is avadhūta in the sense that it stands unveiled in its unwrapped spotless purity (digambara). It is the very perfection of all spiritual discipline.

It therefore needs no protection. It is its own protection. It is for this reason characterised as having the cardinal directions as its garment. This word signifies absolute absence of protection. At the same time it implies that it is the one protection of all. It is not only that which has all directions as its garment but it is the garment of all directions.

All this illustrates how Dattātreya is all that is holy and all that is denoted by energy. It is holy energy (śwa-śaku), the white heat energy that scorches all evil to ashes with which the body beautiful of Dattātreya is smeared. This is what is signified as bhasmoddhūlita-sarrāngam (Ashes) 'Bhasma' is the language of auspicious power. (Ashes) Bhasma also signifies the truth of identity. Brhajjābāla-Upamisad says 'Swa in the upsurge aspect is śakti itself. The up-

surging śakti is śiva himself. There is thus nothing in the world that is not permeated by śiva-śakti. Again and again this world is burnt to ashes by agni. They call the ashes bhasma, the power of agni. So is bhasma the power of agni. That is what they say.'(126) Bhasma signifies the truth of Advaita wherein all that is diverse is forged into a unity, all that is evil is scorched to ashes and all that is existent is fused into a single seamless reality apart from which nothing else exists.

Dattatreva is thus all that is knowledge, reflection, meditation. all that is austerity, all that is spiritual and all that is discipline. This explains how it is most supreme. For this reason It is described as 'having the lock of hair reposing high on its head', 'Jaṭājūṭadhara' as an apellation of Dattatreya must now receive our attention. The top-knot of braided hair (jatājūta) is indicative of penance and austerity of discipline. It is also indicative of the tangled world of existence braided together reaching an apex of a pyramidal reality. It is the inner meaning and special significance that entitle this literature to be regarded as a pramāņa or authentic source of knowledge of ultimate reality. We have to understand its special significance and circumstance on the strength of which alone it is considered to be the only source of knowledge of the ultimate truth, Dattatreya. The truth of all these ideas can be appreciated only by those that have the eye of knowledge, the inner eye. Arjuna was vouchsafed with this 'divya caksus', the divine eye, with which he was able to see the vision of the cosmic form of the lord. It gave him the vision of the cosmic form. For this reason Dattatreya is defined as having absolute purity, omnipresence, all-pervadingness, the source of all manifestations of might or power (vibhūti).

Dattatreya is thus the repository of all power. Power implies a personality charged with it. It is an embodiment and medium of expression. It is this embodied manifestation of power that is characterised by words like 'caturbāhu' and 'udārānga'. The expansiveness and all inclusiveness of this personality that is indicated by the description 'four-armed'. The words indicate strength and beauty. The efflorescene of this beauty is indicated by the figure of a fully-blossomed lotus. The whole idea is that truth and beauty go together. To separate them gives no meaning. Philosophy and aesthetics together give life a purpose and meaning. Divorced from each other they degenerate into sophistry or vulgarity. Therefore our ancients laid stress on the interlinking connection between

the meaning and purpose of philosophy and aesthetics. This explains how personality illustrates the absolute truth, clarifying how the whole (sakala) and the part are aspects (vikala) of the one Reality. This ontological unity is the essential nature of Dattatreya.

Dattatreya is both perfection and the discipline leading to perfection It is the treasure-house of knowledge and discipline, the rich embodiment of jnana and yoga. This is the expression of the unity of all. This is the identity of truth, beauty and goodness This is equally the implication of the identity of the all-inclusive and all-exclusive aspects of Reality

It is the truth that can be the source of knowledge from which everything else is derived. Dattatreya is the light that illumines all, that is present in all. It is therefore the preceptor of all. It is the one reality to which all sacredness belongs. It is the one aim of all discipline both as an ideal aspect of discipline, and as the ideal to be realised by means of the discipline. For this reason it is the beloved of all classes of aspirants. Without this ideal no discipline is possible. Discipline without this ideal is only a waste of energy and time.

To attain to this stage of thought is not exclusively a matter of personal endeavour. Even the impulse to achieve this is to be ascribed to the almighty Dattatreya. Nothing else can be postulated as an agency to effect this transformation. This would be tantamount to lapsing to dualism which militates against the Absoluteness (Advarta) of Dattatreya. Dualism would mean setting over some reality against identity, that is, Dattatreya. It is therefore to negate Dattatreya. But Dattatreya is the real It is the principle of negation also. Hence man has no power against it. Having a power in addition to it and along with it is to modify and deny it. Hence the power in question is the work of Dattatreya. Its work is its grace. It is therefore defined as being compassionate to its devotees. This signifies devotion to it. The devotees and all the circumstances connected with them are equally its work and that is the nature of grace or compassion.

What is called the grace of the Lord at the opening passage of this work is indeed the grace of Dattātreya. It is not attained by any prayer. Before grace there is no knowledge of Dattātreya. Under this condition to whom are we to pray? Our prayer prior to the knowledge of it is aimless and therefore meaningless. After knowledge there is no place for prayer because knowledge itself takes the place of prayer. Knowledge in its true sense is meditation itself and meditation that is not knowledge is meaningless. So grace is not the work of the

devotee or student. It is the work of the Lord Dattātreya. The individual self is not in a position to know what it is, when it comes and what its work is. It is Dattātreya of its own accord that gives it. It knows best all about it. For this reason it is called the all-witnessing principle. The individual self at the point of receiving grace is endowed with a preparedness. This preparedness consists in being entirely free from pre-possessions, the true meaning of detachment. At this state, the individual self has nothing else to serve. It has in fact nothing else to serve throughout the course of discipline whatever realisations or perfection it may come to have. Its only object of service or devotion is Dattātreya. Dattātreya for this reason is served by men who are the accomplished ones.

The meditation on Dattātreya which is thus purely intellectual in character concludes with a re-affirmation that this meditation on the highest of all that is divine, a meditation absolutely without break is the expression of the untainted purity of the aspirant who as a result of this attains the *summum bonum* of existence, that is, Dattātreya. This is the essence of the *Upaniṣadic* teaching as such and thereby indicates that this can be understood only in the light of *Vedic* teaching.

The interpretation of the attributes of Dattatreya is by no means exhaustive. The whole attempt indicates only a possible method of interpretation. Further in the course of this text certain details connected with the meditation of Dattatreya are considered. Meditation is the outcome of the implication of the definition of Dattatreya. Each attribute of Dattatreya does no doubt define Dattatreya, the undefined. The passages from the Tripurā-Rahasya quoted in Chapter I of this work showed how the real wisdom of knowing the unknown required insight and intuition. Dattatreya is no other than tripurā. It is the unknown and the unknowable. Philosophically to attain this conclusion is the aim of this humble work. Wisdom is required to realise the unknown as unknown. Not to know Dattatreya is not to know it as unknown. To know it as unknown is knowledge. To do this, philosophy is required. To overcome this seeming contradiction is what is expected from the study of philosophy, Brahma-Vidyā. It is the process of understanding the Upanisadic statement 'To him to whom it is not known to him alone it is known.'(127) Further, Dattatreya is a great magician! It is more than a magician. A Magician requires something as the basis for his magic, but Dattatreya requires nothing. Its power is so great that it is everything. It becomes everything. It is all inclusive. It is all exclusive It is all. It is the God, absolute. It is at the same time, a person, a man. It is the teacher of its own truth. It is the gift that Atri and Anasūyā received. It is the gift because it is the all-auspicious. It is invoked as the doer of everything in the chain formula. Apart from it there is nothing in the world that does anything It is the five-faced Lord of all. It is the repository of all-power and as such it is the subject matter of the whole Veda.

The essence of everything in the world is Dattatreya. Nothing is therefore greater or smaller. The entities that are consistent with the attributes of Dattatreya are the contents of the world. To reflect about them and repose on them takes us to the highest truth, Dattatreya. Reflect on the auspicious. You arrive at Dattatreya as the only auspicious verity. Who can teach this truth? Dattatreya itself. What it teaches has immediate effect on the person who understands it. It is therefore the teacher of Jīvanmukta-Gītā. It is the discipline as well as the one who practises the discipline. It is the philosopher, one who has realised himself.

'Kind, harmless, the most enduring of all beings, of the essence of truth, of a sinless disposition, unaffected, doing good to all. This is the character of the philosopher, avadhina. '(128)

The spiritual, ethical and social importance of the concept of the philosopher, avadhūta, cannot be overestimated.

AUM

CHAPTER IV

DATTĀTREYA: THE PHILOSOPHER (Avadhūta):

I. THE PRESUPPOSITION OF DATTĀDVAITA: THE PHILOSOPHY
OF THE AVADHUTA-GITĀ

HE Avadhāta-Gītā presupposes a knowledge of the Veda and the Upaniṣads. It is in fact a faithful exposition of the Vedic and Upaniṣadic thought. It is therefore most advanced in the disposition of its philosophical enquiry, metaphysical views and spiritual meanings. The words in it may appear to be simple at first sight. The ideas may appear to be trite or didactic. But serious thought about the background of the Vedic and Upaniṣadic teachings leads us on to the knowledge that the words of this great work are not only symbols of profound ideas but of hallowed experiences which echo down the ages the very essence of Vedic and Upaniṣadic thought. The following few thoughts therefore are just an attempt in the direction of illustrating this truth.

The Avadūta-Gītā in its composition resembles the Bhagavad-Gītā. In its character it is an exposition of Brahma-Vidyā in the same manner as the Bhagavad-Gītā. As the author of the Bhagavad-Gītā is Lord Krsua, the author of the Avadhūta-Gītā is Lord Datlātreya. Both these works are signified as Gītā or song. They are celestial songs communicating comfort and wisdom to suffering humanity. The subject matter of one is Bhagavān and of the other avadhūta. In the light of the foregoing considerations these two expressions are inter-changeable. One means the other. One is the explanation of the other. Bhaga (in bhagavān) means omnipotence, aiśvarya. Avadhūla explains how it is omnipotence. Both envisage all-power, sarva-śakli. Hence all-power or sarva-śakti, omnipotence is the source of both the works. Both are expositions of the Vedic truth called Brahman. An attempt is made to show how this work is in spirit identical with Sruti and Smrti and how its chief contribution lies in its explication of sarva-śakti (omnipotence) and pūrņatva (fullness) concepts in the

light of the concept of Dattātreya. Under the sketch of Dattātreya we have already studied how Dattātreya is Brahman itself as expounded by Śruti, śrutyukta. The concept of Brahman as expounded by Śruti implies the recognition of two ideas; (1) that Śruti alone is the source of knowledge of the ultimate principle of all; and (2) that the ultimate principal of all, Brahman can be only that which is expounded by Śruti, that is, Veda. Without recognising these two ideas no aspect of Indian thought can be understood correctly. This recognition is particularly indispensable in following Dattātreya. The fact that it is the very presupposition of his teaching is not only amply illustrated in the Avadhūta-Gītā, but it is the very theme of his instruction This is illustrated by the Tripurā-Rahasya... Veda

is the highest of all the sources of knowledge. With regard to the things that are above sense perception, the source of their knowledge is Veda Therefore Veda is the teaching of the Omniscient Being and for this reason it gives rise to the immediate apprehension of all, that is, of the Truth that is All. Only the God that is expounded therein existed before the creation of the world Assisted by nothing else he created the whole world. From this it follows that He is the God of Gods, complete, defectless and independent.'(129) (The idea is this—He is the God of Gods; Therefore He is complete; therefore He is defectless. For the same reason He is the independent principle of all). To keep this firmly in mind helps towards appreciation of what follows:—

A study of the first five verses of the Avadhūta-Gītā presents to us the spirit of the teaching which is essential in understanding the philosophy that underlies this work. The substance of these verses may be stated and the concepts they employ may be studied in brief.

The monistic disposition springs up in those who are inwardly stirred, (vipra) solely by the grace of God and saves them from a great fear. This is the opening passage of the work. It opens in the name of the Lord, Iśvara. Iśvara means all-power Śruti defines Isvara thus. He presides over all branches of knowledge and is the lord of all creatures, Veda and the gods. May He, Śwa, the All-Holy bless me The ever-holy Aum!!'(121) Therefore, Iśvara is the Lord of all knowledge. It is the all-auspicious, sadāšīva of the form of aum. It is bhagavān, the all-power. Aum, pranava means the same. Pranava is therefore the origin of all life. It is therefore the highest principle. Śruti defines it as Brahman expounded by Śruti. The same is Dattātreya as the theme of the present work illustrates.

The Lord presents himself to us as Dattātreya, His grace is nothing but his desire. It is the one source of all reality. The Māndūkya says: 'The Lord's creation is but the expression of his wish.' (132) Reality is in its essence the state of freedom, freedom from bondage. It is the state of union with Brahman. This union is a matter of realisation. The particular disposition leading to this realisation is the disposition of identity. It is the gift of the Lord as the Bhagavad-Gītā says 'Through my grace you transcend bondage.' (133)

The one to whom the grace occurs is defined as man, puman, and the man of discrimination, vipra. The literal meaning of pumān is a person. In the present context the person is specified by the grace of the Lord and the disposition of identity. The *Upanisads* call a person specified similarly, the one who is undaunted and undefied. dhīra. He is undaunted. He bravely faces every situation however adverse it may appear to be. He is undefied. Nothing in the world. however exceptional is able to defy him. Katha says 'Having understood the all-including the all-pervading self, a person becomes undaunted and undefied, dhīra and transcends misery.'(134) So man, pumān, in the present context signifies the Upanişadic undaunted and undefied dhīra. Vipra signifies discrimination, medhā, the state of being a medhāvin, one who has all the attainments of intellect. 'Vipra' in the vedic sense means the 'inwardly stirred'. He is the seer, who knows from within, the wise and the sagacious. His wisdom is prior to advaita vāsanā, the fragrance of non-duality. In the words of the Mundaka-Upanisad it consists 'in having the equanimity of the mind, self-control, endurance, peace, the realisation of the selfestablishing nature of self, in having examined and concluded that the whole world is the work of action, karman, in being one who is devoted to Brahman, having detachment from all things that are worldly and in going to a spiritual preceptor in order to receive knowledge.'(135) 'Tranquil, subdued, patient, inwardly turned, he sees the Atman in himself, perceiving the world-process as the result of deeds and devoting himself to Brahman.' Indeed the person who has these qualities is the man of discrimination, and he is a person specified as undefied, implying that others are persons only in name, that is, they are not undefied and they do not transcend misery.

In the light of the context quoted above it is evident that the attainment of these qualities is the result of the Lord's grace. This is to say that the whole circumstance of the man of discrimination having the disposition of identity is the result of Dattatreya's grace.

With the disposition of identity the person transcends bondage which is nothing but misery and pain, life and death, birth and rebirth. The state of transcendence is liberation. It is the state of spiritual bliss. It is freedom.

What is identity, the disposition of which brings about liberation? Dattatreya answers 'That by which all this is filled up is the Self. By the same self it (all this) is created and in the same it exists. How indeed can I pay my salutation to that which is formless, non-dual, auspicious and inexhaustible?'(136) As the origin and goal of all, the Lord defined as self in the present passage is complete. It is therefore self-established. To give it a form is to limit it. But it is beyond limit. It is therefore formless Nothing is outside it. It is all-identity. There is therefore nothing to modify or destroy it. It is imperishable Being evidently free from all limitations, pain and evil of every kind, it is the auspicious. It is beyond worship, because there is no worshipper outside it. Union is an experience, complete and final.

What is the status of the world which appears differently from the self? Dattatreya says in answer

'The whole universe is nothing but the five elements. It is similar to the water superimposed on the rays of the sun. To whom indeed shall I pay my salutation? I am the only being that is defectless.' (137) The world is of the earth, fire, water, air and ether. It is only an appearance like the water in a mirage. It is not a reality. What forms the self of an individual being is therefore reality. Reality is the Lord, the Self or Dattatreya. It is defectless identity. There is therefore nothing other than itself as the object of its worship. From the fact that truth is defectless identity it follows that the world as being different from the self and as being defective is superimposed even as the water on the rays of the sun.

The significance of defining the Lord as self is profound. It removes everything relative from the truth. Difference, non-difference, existence and non-existence are all relative. Each implies the other. They never represent the truth completely. So even to present identity as non-duality and so on as has been done in the course of the present exposition is only a way of expression. It is never intended to minimise the absolute character of the truth defined as identity. An appreciation of this is observed by the author to result in wonder.

In all these contexts the author is illustrating the profound significance of Vedic ideas. The Katha-Upanisad observes 'He who expounds this truth is a wonder by himself.' (138) After this Upanisad

the Bhagavad-Gītā says 'A person who has realised the truth sees it as wonder.' (130) Knowledge of the truth becomes wonder and wonder is the absorbing character of bliss. This is a circumstance in which both the realiser and the realised become wonder. The whole idea signifies that the knowledge of the truth must be a case of bliss. It can be bliss in the sense of transcendent reality only if it results in wonder. This is how knowledge of truth results in liberation which the author defines as equipose, the quintessence of harmony.

II. THE DEFECTS OF EMPIRICAL PHILOSOPHY

In this context a word about the method adopted in developing the philosophy of Avadhūta-Gītā may be mentioned. In the modern way of thinking we are not expected to lay emphasis on verbal testimony, śabda-pramāna. But to do full justice to the subject on hand we have all along been faithfully following the Indian mode of thinking. A note on the whole course of the Darśana literature in its development does not fail to give us an idea of how verbal testimony as a source of correct knowledge gains more and more importance. We may distinguish four stages in the growth of this importance. In the first stage verbal testimony is recognised to be one of the sources. This is the Nyāya stage of thought. In the second stage particular aspects of verbal testimony are considered to be more decisive than the other sources of knowledge, perception and so on. This is the Sānkhya stage of thought. The third is the Mīmāmsā stage. In this stage particular aspect of verbal testimony is recognised to sublate all other sources of knowledge including other aspects of verbal testimony. The exclusive importance given to verbal testimony finds its culmination at the final stage of Vedānta thought and in this stage only an aspect of verbal testimony becomes the only source of correct knowledge. A careful analysis of verbal testimony has led the Vedānta thinkers to accept the conception of a case of verbal testimony which is identified as impersonal that, having no person as its origin and defined for this reason as Veda.

In India from the very commencement of philosophical thought it is Veda that has given rise to the philosophical problems and it is the interpretation of Veda that has solved those problems. So from the Indian point of view philosophy without verbal testimony in the form of Veda is inconceivable. Even though Veda is not recognised in philosophies such as the $c\bar{a}rv\bar{a}ka$ system, the mere rejection of the

Veda has occupied much space and the verbal exposition of this rejection is taken to be the highest source of knowledge. This is in a sense an indirect recognition of the importance of Veda. From this point of view it may be said that the whole of Indian thought is primarily devoted to the establishment of Veda and its importance against all that is empirical.

Further, even including cārvāka, all philosophical systems of India are called darŝanas. Darŝana means vision. In calling a philosophical system vision, the idea implied is that the immediate apprehension of the truth that has occurred to the originator of the system in question is the origin of the exposition of the system This exposition can only take place through verbal testimony. This realisation led thinkers to the evaluation of verbal testimony and the veracity of its speaker. This gave rise to the concept of a reliable teacher (āpta), of the truth.

So there are two senses in which the expression, verbal testimony, is used in Indian thought—the expression as impersonal and the expression coming from a reliable person. Both are indispensable as we are to understand the unseen with the help of a preceptor. The addition or recognition of verbal testimony as an important source of knowledge has given to Indian thought a special disposition. If this is in any manner ignored, then justice to Indian thought is not done at all.

So the circumstance that applies to modern thought does not apply to Indian thought and the demands of Indian thought, if at all they can be satisfied, are satisfied only through the Indian method of interpreting our own ideas. So in the following exposition emphasis is laid on the traditional way of expounding Indian philosophy.

Further, the field of experience examined in Indian thought is very extensive. In modern thought we are familiar with the consideration of two states of an individual life, the waking and the dreaming. The state of deep sleep which is common to all living beings and the transcendent state called turiyāvasthā which is the special privilege of some spiritually gifted persons have not received the recognition they deserve in modern psychology. At times there is in modern thought the semblance of these enquiries. But it only shows how imperative the examination of these states is. Indian thought gives equal attention to all these states A study of these states therefore demands exclusive attention at some stage or the other to all facts connected with these states. Answering this demand

is possible only if our philosophic enquiry follows the Indian tradition. Further, reference to all these ideas gives altogether a different trend to philosophy. For this reason it becomes very difficult to deal with modern thought along with traditional lines and in the case of philosophies not being *en rapport* it is not possible for one to be compared and contrasted with the other. The considerations that we have weighed at the opening pages of this work only show the all-pervading and indispensable character of the Indian mode of thinking.

With these preliminary remarks we may continue the study of the Avadhūta-Gītā. We have so far made ourselves familiar with the position expounded by the first four verses of this work.

At this stage it is necessary seriously to reflect on the foregoing ideas because the concepts made use of are beyond the scope of ordinary human understanding. The grace of God, for instance, is not a matter of common experience. Similarly, the concepts of identity, self and so on are not gained in experience like the entities presented by the different sense organs. If a person whose life is confined to sense-experience were to make pronouncements about these great concepts, he is making statements about things which he himself does not know. If he happens to be a philosopher, however sincere and serious he may be, however scholarly he is known to be, he is after all saying things about something he has not directly experienced. These concepts and the words that represent them may mean something to a person who knows these truths personally. But to the philosopher in question they mean practically nothing. If these concepts and words are correctly understood they may no doubt be taken to mean a reference to things beyond the empirical. But with all our assertions do they take us beyond? It is evident that no one is really able to say 'yes' in reply.

If we can appreciate the significance of these ideas, it becomes evident to our mind that all philosophies which have their support in what we call normal experience suffer from the same defect. Some of them may appear to be great and exceptional. This is because of their careful analysis of some conditions which go by the name 'spiritual'. In this respect they resemble physical sciences and they deserve the respect that must be given to the sciences. But their main purpose is not this. They intend to give clear ideas about the concepts transcendental in character. But because of their empirical disposition they are unable to do this. They are unable even to comprehend

what transcendence is. At any rate what the Indian method of thinking presents as transcendence is beyond their reach. Illustration of this truth is not rare in this work.

Further these empirical philosophies have no right even to make use of the concepts which they somehow call transcendent. In dealing with these concepts they must either assert their reality or negate them. But to do both they have no basis. There is as much responsibility involved in negating them as there is in asserting their reality. There must be sufficient justification to do either. When their method is empirical through and through and they cannot speak of the transcendent on legitimate grounds, how can they assert or deny it. How can they even conclude that they must remain silent? Any decision in answering these queries is after all a decision pertaining to the subject matter transcendent in character and the philosophies in question have no right to entertain it.

To speak of these defects of empirical philosophies is not to say that philosophy has no reference to life. Life in this connection is not intended to mean the empirical—laukika—character of life. To help this life no philosophy is needed. Empirically successful people are no philosophers and the so called philosophers are generally taken to be day-dreamers. There is the common saying 'philosophy bakes no bread.'

When it is said that philosophy must have a reference to life, the intended meaning of the word life is spiritual life. Philosophy must lead to the elevation of life. The empirical conditions of life must be transcended. What life cannot achieve with all the empirical equipment must be achieved without fail with the help of philosophy. This philosophy is therefore something totally different from all that is empirical. One sure test of the correctness of philosophy is this. Supposing that philosophy is absent, what does man lose? 'Nothing' is the only answer, because this philosophy teaches nothing new. But if the philosophy that deals with the truth of life and existence is really possible, then it is evidently indispensable, because without it life is blind and existence is in danger. Without it life is like a machine driven by a man who is entirely ignorant of it. So in the light of these considerations we have arrived at a definition of philosophy. A legitimate philosophy is that which has a reference to life and which deals with the truth of life and existence. Shorn of its relation to well-being and truth of life, philosophy becomes sophistry. The spirit of this discussion is not unknown to modern

thought. A reference to the history of European philosophy reveals the fact that from the time of Parmenides down to the present time empiricism of all kinds is condemned and inner vision to reveal Truth is sought for. The nous of the Greek philosophy and intuition of modern thought indicate how there is a consistent effort to transcend the empirical level throughout the history of thinking of the western world.

III. TRUTH IS TRANSCENDENT

The truth of life and existence is necessarily transcendent. The process of understanding it is what may be called the philosophy of this truth. It has been already indicated that the scope of empirical philosophy is limited. A philosophy which has its origin in wonder and curiosity ceases to grow with the satisfaction of wonder. A philosophy having its origin in religion ceases to exist with the satisfaction of the religious dogma. A philosophy which has its origin in science loses itself in science. In none of these cases does it enjoy freedom. Instead of governing the whole of life it is itself governed by the creation of artificial compartments in a full life. How can such a philosophy deal with the truth of life or truth of existence? A philosophy that deals with this eternal truth about the spring of eternal life, is highly transcendent in its disposition.

The truth of life is God. It is what governs the whole of life, in all its phases, the life, past, present and future, life with its conditions, presuppositions and aims; the life of all creatures and all worlds. What may be called God in the modern language is called *Isvara* in Indian thought. It must be not only all comprehensive but also much more than all that put together. No human mind is able to grasp it. All that is said about it or that can be said about it is drawn from empirical conditions so that the total output is nothing beyond the empirical. If in one philosophy it is asserted there is already another philosophy to negate it. Even in India if the philosophy of Nyāya holds that God must be accepted as the author of the world, Carvaka readily points out that the world is self-sufficient and it needs no author. All these are empirical considerations. There is thus no finality in empirical conclusions. Even to observe it is nothing more than being empirical. This is how empirical conclusions are contradictions in terms.

The idea of truth, the idea of God, call it an intellectual necessity or a figment of the imagination, is what makes life a riddle. With all the comforts that man enjoys, his failure to overcome this riddle is painful to him. By reason of this pain he becomes a sceptic, an agnostic, a theist or a mystic. But to be all this is not to get rid of the idea of truth. In the attempt of going away from it, it becomes more confirmed. No determination and no philosophy against it is successful. Against them the riddle becomes more pronounced and the activities against truth negate themselves. The riddle is so persisting that no man is bound by his own conclusions. This is the cause of duality in life. If in any man consistency is found, it is forced on him With great difficulty it is practised. Nobody knows when he will turn his back on it. To say that philosophy has its origin in wonder, religion or in science is only a particular mode of reacting to this riddle. Man is unhappy without solving this riddle. He tries to find out some solution. If he is a man of influence others sheepishly follow him. This accounts for the popularity of philosophies. But no reaction is able to remove the riddle. To satisfy a particular demand of mind does not bring solace to life. Others may follow some one else's philosophy, because they are thoughtless. But it is very difficult to say that the philosopher himself follows his own philosophy, because his mind is ever growing. If others adore him he sees more and more the insufficiency of his own thinking. This is how the riddle of life is never solved by empirical thinking. Empirical thinking is therefore no solution of this perennial problem. It finally makes the philosopher doubt everything including himself. It leads on to show that with or without philosophy the world is the same and the truth of life is never touched.

IV. RELIGION AND PHILOSOPHY

At this juncture, consciously or unconsciously seeing the uselessness of philosophy, man has recourse to faith. What he loses in intellect, he thinks, he gains in faith. Truth cannot be reasoned out and it cannot be understood. But man can have faith in it. He may have faith in all that the knowledge of that truth promises. This attitude of man is called religion. But has man gained what he lost in philosophy? The man of faith simply says 'yes', but the philosopher says 'no'. This seems to be an eternal conflict. Philosophy and faith do not go together. The attempt to bring the two together is neither philosophy nor faith. This is the defect of mediaeval philosophy in the west. It is also the defect of all those who uphold religion in the name of philosophy.

In this circumstance the language made use of by philosophy is borrowed by religion. For this reason both appear to be similar. They appear to be interchangeable. They are considered to be companions and complementary to each other. This companionship seems to be indispensable. But their distinction is ignored. One is mistaken for the other. An attempt to uphold either gives rise to conflict. Philosophy means effort; and religion no effort. Religion therefore becomes popular. It commands support. Consequently philosophy suffers. The result is that there is all religion and no philosophy. The history of civilisation of the whole of humanity is marked by these different stages of interaction and strife of religion and philosophy.

Religion in this sense is the worst possible enemy of philosophy. To introduce religion into philosophy is to negate philosophy. This is made evident by the history of every country. Politically wars are not fought so much for material possessions as for ideas and ideals. Protection of law and order, dharma-sanisthāpana, is the keynote of every war. Viewed from this point of view history is nothing but the outcome of the interaction of religion with philosophy or in other words of the status that philosophy enjoys. It is obvious that every idea of possession is governed by an outlook and an ideal.

The difference between philosophy and religion as has been so far defined is this. While philosophy is possible religion is the failure of thought. Philosophy which deals with the truth of life and existence may not be readily conceived. But there is in thought everything that helps the formation of that philosophy. So philosophy does not presuppose the negation of thought. Making use of the available elements in thought philosophy proceeds on and as it proceeds it enriches the thought process.

But the case of religion is different. One has no right in believing in a thing which one is expected to understand. To believe in a thing is to arrest the progress of thought. Thus it negates thought. It is just the recognition of failure of thought. Understand a thing. There is scope for further understanding of the same. Believe in a thing. It breeds further beliefs. Further to understand a thing is to recognise the reality of the same. But belief has nothing to do with the reality of the thing believed. If understanding takes place there is no room

for belief. But belief is taken to be a necessity when the thing does not come to the purview of understanding. All cases of belief are therefore of the character of super-imposition. Even the belief in great and exceptional things such as God does not command truth. To believe in something does not mean the existence of that thing. To attribute great and exceptional qualities to it does not mean that it is made substantial. To believe in it, to adore it, to worship it, and to depend upon it, may seem to give some comfort and solace. But it does not ensure the reality of the object. As he goes on depending upon it. man is soon assailed by doubts which he cannot quieten by means of belief In this circumstance all his religion is gone. Disappointment is the result and it becomes an addition to the already existing misery. Thus while religion binds, philosophy liberates Sruti says: 'Of those aspirants only he who has understood the Truth in the manner in which it is expounded by Sruti becomes immortal. To attain to immortality there is no other method."(140)

Sometimes an Upanisadic word śraddhā is translated as faith. But the sense in which śraddhā is used in the Upanizads is different. In this sense it is distinguished from the faith we have so far considered. As distinguished from this faith, śraddhā means unalloyed devotion to knowledge or devotion to truth. It may express itself at the first stage, in rejecting all that is empirical, all that is meaningless and all that is unintelligible. At a higher stage it may express itself in making efforts to find out that philosophy which deals with the truth of life and existence. Having fully recognised this significance of śraddhā, devotion, it may even be translated as faith and faith in this condition may be termed religion. Further if such a philosophy is found to adopt effectively the truth it expounds and to practise it accordingly, it may then be termed religion. But it must not be forgotten that in both these cases faith, in other words, religion is intellectualised. It is now something to which the language of the Upanisadic śraddhā may be applied. It is in this circumstance no longer hostile to philosophy but is an aspect of understanding itself. As religion in this sense is rare, its imitation is very easy. Instead of religion becoming the output of profound thought, it becomes the result of intellectual indolence. The tendency to imitate must be checked only by systematic education. It may however be noted that religion in this healthy sense has a significant place in the growth of philosophy. It is then the practical aspect of philosophy. Without it philosophy is barren, but with it, it is fruitful.

V. IN WHAT ENVIRONMENT IS THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE TRANSCENDENT POSSIBLE?

Is the philosophy of the truth of life and existence possible? This is the main question we must seek to answer. In answering this question we may start with the definition of philosophy. Philosophy is the science of being as being. It is the knowledge of the causes and laws of all phenomena. Its equivalent in Indian thought is tattva-śāstra. It is the science of 'the truth' of life and existence in the circumstance in which life as life, and being as being, are fixed. To understand this truth is also to understand the cause and law of life and existence. To know the truth of life and existence is to see life and existence in their entirety with all their presuppositions. The empirical life is only an appearance. It is not the life of reality. That it is only an appearance is evidenced by the fact that in every individual, philosopher or no philosopher, there is a serious attempt to transcend what is immediately given. It is therefore something to be rejected and something to be dispensed with. Even in the happiest moments man is never at rest. He never wants to confine himself to what is given. At every stage he is anxious to see further and to see what lies outside the present. To give a simile, one enjoys delicious food. But soon it is swallowed. To enjoy it again, further quantities of food are required. Empirical conditions therefore give no rest to man. It is for this reason that empirical life is characterised as bondage, samsāra, and misery, dulkha, by Indian thinkers. The critics of Indian thought call the Indian attitude pessimistic. But pessimism implies the temper that emphasises misery and ignores happiness. It does not apply to Indian thought. This thought only shows that the so called happiness is in reality nothing but misery as it is the outcome as well as the seed of misery. It shows how reality is something superimposed as nescience, māyā, and its origin is illusion, bhrānti.

The real is therefore transcendent. It is the truth of life and existence. Can we understand it as it is? Can we see it in its entirety? Is the philosophy of this truth possible? We are out to discover answers to these questions. All these are the questions pertaining to the problem of knowledge. So they take us to the question of the source of that knowledge. If there is a real source, then this knowledge is possible and not otherwise.

At the empirical level the field of human knowledge is confined to the knowledge caused by the five sense organs and manas which

15 commonly translated as mind. On the basis of this knowledge inference is constructed and communication by means of knowledge is effected. The normal and abnormal activities of these sources of knowledge are distinguished and right and wrong cases of knowledge are determined. None of these sources is able to present the truth that lies beyond the normal Some of the Indian thinkers hold that it is possible to manage the knowledge of the truth by means of the sources that are already stated. The Buddha speaks of the immediate apprehension of reality. The Jina upholds immediate apprehension revealing reality, pāramārthika-pratyaksa. The Nyāva-vaiśesika instals immediate apprehension resulting from an extraordinary condition of the subject such as the practice of yoga, yaugikapralyakşa Sānkhya and Yoga maintain that the apprehension of the truth is effected by the practice of yoga consisting of eight limbs, angas. Pūrva-mīmāmsā asserts that what may be called the inference proceeding on the strength of analogy, sāmānyato-drstānumāna, is able to give us a general knowledge of the things that are transcendent in character. Whatever truth may lie in these positions, they have only a certain value, but not the higher and public value. One is welcome to think that he actually sees God. But it is very cruel on one's part to expect that others should respect him for it even though they have no hope of seeing God; and it is more cruel on one's part to appear as the saviour of mankind and by creating an illusion of gifts to make men forget all about the Ultimate Truth and its omnipotence. To speak of the extraordinary conditions of the individual seer of God does not carry us far, because so long as others are not able to have the same condition, one's seeing God is of no avail to them. These positions are therefore highly subjective Even inference by analogy, sāmānyato-dṛstānumāna, is after all an attempt to reduce the transcendent to the level of the empirical as it considers the former on the analogy of the latter. It has therefore all the defects which beset subjectivism. Similarly to speak of a mystic experience is nothing more than stating a mystery which nobody understands. What we need is an objective source which is universal in application even as the eye, the source of the knowledge of colour, is. The eye is not of one capacity in one and of another capacity in another. It is equal in all. It is indispensable if the knowledge of a colour is desired. Even though one desires it, it does not produce the knowledge of odour. Can we point to a similar source of the knowledge of truth?

In arriving at an answer to this we shall re-examine the field of the source of knowledge. It is a wrong procedure to assume something as the source of a particular case of knowledge because we want to have this knowledge. To assume that someone, having himself obtained the knowledge of the divine, is able to teach us that knowledge also belongs to this procedure. The correct procedure is to recognise knowledge because there is the source of knowledge which cannot in any manner be dispensed with. We recognise the knowledge of colour because the eye cognises it. Similarly is there any source of the knowledge of the divine which makes the recognition of this knowledge inevitable?

Of the three sources of knowledge, perception, inference and verbal testimony, normally man follows the dictates of the first two. But with regard to verbal testimony he exercises a certain amount of high-handedness and unwillingness too. He has not accepted verbal testimony, to make use of the Sanskrit word, śabda, as a source of knowledge, out of his free will. He recognises \$abda, because he is helpless against it. He may determine not to know a thing. But when a śabda relevant to the thing occurs to him in spite of himself, he has the knowledge of the thing. Such is the power of a source of knowledge, that is, pramana on the mind of man. After all man does not direct the mind, but the mind directs him. What is called control of mind, mano-nigraha, or control of mental states, citta-vrtti-nirodha and so on is not the negation of mind. But mind is always there directing man so that ultimately what may be called man's life is his mind itself. It is said 'Mind alone is what leads to bondage or release of men.'(141) As leading to bondage mind itself may be taken to be bondage. This character of mind is overcome by the study of the sources of knowledge, pramānas. So the study of pramāna is nothing but the study of the direction of the mind. With this study, the unreal and unnatural directions superimposed on the mind owing to man's illegitimate contacts become removed and finally there shines the mind in its pristine purity and fullness. With regard to the divine agency which is highly transcendent, to think that someone is able to teach us, someone is capable of seeing it is too much to assume. It is laying on the mind a very great burden which it cannot bear. It is to negate one's own mind, the divine gift, and to posit in its place an imaginary mind. It is practising unreality, anrta, which is consistently denounced by genuine Indian thought from the very beginning. The greatness of Dattatreya, the avadhūta, consists essentially in boldly facing this false situation and asserting the greatness of the mind and its pristine spontaneity against it. He repeatedly observes in proper contexts the havor done by imaginary means supposed to give us knowledge. He says 'If the mind and speech are not capable of expounding anything, where is there the possibility of having instruction, with reference to it, from a preceptor?' (142)

A student of this literature is required to see that this is a very sweeping remark, as sweeping as it could be but one must have the capacity to comprehend it.

So what are commonly known as instruction and so on are only different methods of taking away from one the operation of verbal testimony on the mind. By such methods one is not helped. One rather becomes blind to the truth. Allow the eye to have its function. What happens? The knowledge of colour happens. Similarly allow verbal testimony to have its function. What happens? It gives the knowledge of the thing for which it stands without any interruption.

VI. INDISPENSABILITY OF VERBAL TESTIMONY, SABDA IN THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE TRANSCENDENT

How shall we allow verbal testimony to speak for itself without making it subjective is a question that demands serious consideration. This method has negative and positive aspects. We have at the outset to distinguish between two cases of verbal testimony. One is the case of the verbal testimony which has an absolute or complete meaning and which in order to give that meaning is completely furnished in itself. It must have therefore nothing derived in it. We may call this case of verbal testimony absolute. The word Samskrta primarily applies to this case of verbal testimony. The modification in the meaning and the corresponding changes in the formation of the testimony give rise to the language which may be called derived. In this circumstance what we defined as Sainskria becomes the basic language, because the latter case of language has its origin in the modification in the meaning of this language. The derived languages are many. They are the work of human agency at some stage or the other. Different modifications governed by the subjective and objective conditions give rise to different languages. What we commonly call Samskria as one among many languages is not above modification in the meaning as well as in the psychological conditions behind its formation. Hence it also comes under derived languages.

Any word that occurs in Veda serves as an illustration of this point. Take for example the word Iśvara. It is a Vedic word occurring in such passages as *Iśvaralı sarva-bhūtānāni*. The same word is used very commonly and it is taken to mean a master having something under him and something opposed to him. Even that which is under him is not under his complete control. So he is a master in a very limited sense. The limitation superimposed on the meaning of the word is the work of human agency. But the Vedic word iśvara means master in its complete sense. To this master there is evidently nothing opposed. and that which is under him is completely dependent on him having the very existence derived from him. Further, unlike the human master he is master at all times and in all circumstances. So the meaning of the Vedic *Iśvara* is complete; and the meaning of this word in the common usage is as incomplete as it could be. Hence the circumstance and the psychological background of the Vedic usage are totally different from those of the common use. The Vedic word being apauruseya, impersonal, is basic and the word of the common usage being pauruseya, coming from an agent, is derived. Convention is the origin of the derived languages, and convention is governed by human convenience. A thorough study of the languages spoken by human beings belonging to all places and to all periods of time is bound to reveal that after all, however well defined, they are derived; and a systematic study of this subject is bound to take us on to a language which we call Samskrta, in the sense that it is the basic language. Man may exist in any part of the world. But from the point of view of language he is still though unconsciously in communion with man at large. Language is not individual. It is social in its implication. As an experiment we may leave a child to itself, cutting it off from the rest of society. Either it becomes dumb or it develops certain sounds to signify things which it experiences, if there is an occasion for it to do this. But the very occasion brings to it a social connection which never remains passive without introducing its own language to the individual that is so far cut off from the rest of the world. If in this circumstance there occurs an inter-relation between the society and the child, society does not learn from the child, but the child corrects itself according to the needs of society. All this indicates that language is communal and universal in character. It is essentially human, covering the whole world without exception. If men are not brought together in any other respect, in language they are brought together. This brings to light the identity

of the purpose of human life to commune and talk to one another through language. This is why philosophy is the property of all without exception Unlike other sciences, it pertains to the very self of man per se. It is for this reason called, in Sanskrit, adhyātma.

To arrive at the language which is really basic is by no means easy. It presupposes a clear understanding of the nature and function of verbal testimony, the distinction between inarticulate and articulate sounds, the question of the relation between the word and its meaning, the question of organisation of sounds into words and sentences and so on. A brief study of these various ideas may be made. Whether it is articulate or marticulate to convey its meaning, it forms per se the very disposition of the essence or character of sound. Nobody is an author of this disposition. You may take it to mean something which it does not naturally mean and something in which you are interested. You may even force the hearer to mean by the sound only that thing which you want to emphasise by means of dictionary or grammar. Even then the primary function of sound is first to give the idea of that thing which it naturally means You may employ the sound produced by smiling to mean mourning. But to the hearer the natural meaning of the sound of smiling comes first to the mind. What applies to simple sounds also applies to words and sentences as well. You may employ the word 'good' to mean 'evil'. But to the hearer the natural meaning of the word 'good' comes first to mind. The relation between sound and its meaning is thus spontaneous. A technical meaning may be attributed to it. But even then the same sound is not deprived of its spontaneous meaning. If the word 'God' is taken by a devotee to mean only the instrument to realise his selfish ends, it does not mean that for a philosopher the word ceases to mean the protective principle of all. If the meaning of a word is spontaneous, then the formation of words and sentences out of simple, articulate sounds must also be spontaneous. If anybody is the author of this formation, then the words can never have spontaneous meaning, as the author of the formation becomes the author of the meaning also.

There is an idea prevalent among philosophers that the world of meaning means much more than the world of sounds. An analogy from common experience gives colour to this idea. Often all of us have the experience that the complex idea of our mind cannot be expressed by words. On the strength of this analogy it is considered that even though we attain to a language which is truly basic, we cannot hold

that it has a spontaneous relation to its meaning, because this meaning is reality itself as it exists. To solve this difficulty it is assumed that language fails to comprehend reality. Reality is a matter of immediate experience and it is only suggested or pointed to by language, but it is never denoted or connoted by it. There is even the theory that bare language is not enough to touch reality. but the total effect of a word gives us the idea of reality. Against all these ideas, one essential point may be noted. Supposing all this is true and language by itself is unable to grasp reality and the knowledge is actually obtained, is the fact that knowledge is actually obtained clear to knowledge itself or not? If it is not, then it is no knowledge. If it is, then it must necessarily be capable of being expressed. In this case if the expression does not take place, then it is the defect of the environment, but it is never the defect of language itself. In case it is expressed in whichever manner, then the expression itself serves as language. It is in fact this aspect of language that can be truly called basic.

VII. THE IMPERSONAL, APAURUŞEYA CHARACTER OF SOUND OR VERBAL TESTIMONY, ŚABDA AND ITS IMPLICATIONS

Having attained to this language it is easy to see that it is not conditioned either in its formation or in its capacity to mean something by any external agency. It is self-moulded and self-formed. In this sense it is called impersonal, apauruseya. As it is impersonal, its validity is not conditioned by anything external. In the case of ordinary language, its validity depends on the person who happens to be its author. If the author is supposed to have understood what he wants to communicate and reports it correctly, or in other words, if the author is an apta as he is called in Sanskrit, then his expression is supposed to be valid. In Indian philosophy the author of each system is taken to be a person of this type, apta, and on the strength of this assumption the teaching of each is relied on. Whatever value may be attached to this mode of thinking we must not fail to see that what we rely on in such cases is one man's thought. Its merit may be anything, but so far as we who are in need of some sure knowledge are concerned, it has only a private value and there is nothing public or universal in it. If the author is really able we may miss his ability. If what he says is correct we may fail to understand the correct meaning of his statement. Even though what he says is wrong there may be the danger of attributing a correct meaning to it. Even though he is not serious, sincere and meaningful, because of the love for the man and the subject we may attribute to him all seriousness, sincerity and meaning. But the case of the impersonal, apauruseya is different. Its validity is self-established.

We have so far arrived at this conclusion. Truth is transcendent. Empirical sources of knowledge, pramānas, are not enough to reveal it. If there is a source, pramāņa, to reveal it, then that source, pramāna ought to be impersonal, apauruseya. Which is this impersonal source of knowledge? It is in the first place not that of which the author is given. Even supposing the truth is revealed to any person, his exposition is not revealed to him. While the truth is revealed, the exposition is his. Any sacred literature of the world may be taken as an example. It is the work of an author. The author is the person to whom truth is said to have been revealed. In this search we come across one case of literature which is handed down to us as being authorless, aprasiddha-kartrka. It is what is called Veda consisting of four divisions, mantra, brāhmaņa, āraņyaka and upanisad. From the beginning it is considered to be impersonal, apauruseya, and there is no circumstance that tells us that it is composed by any author. From the beginning, in spite of the changes the world is undergoing, its purity is preserved and it has been handed down to us from mouth to mouth. By the best of thinkers such as Sankara, Rāmānuja and Madhva, it is respected as impersonal, apauruseya, its thought is expounded against all that is personal, pauruseya (coming from authors) and is established.

After introducing Veda as impersonal, apauruseya, the thinkers did not rest there They applied all tests of validity to it until they were completely satisfied. Originality in thinking, novelty of the subject matter, non-sublatedness of the position taught, endless continuity of spiritual growth and the disposition of the knowledge to effect eternal peace and immortality in the possessor of this knowledge are some of the main tests of validity in this context.

Originality in thinking is the characteristic of the impersonal verbal testimony, apauruseya. If Veda were a revelation it could not be original. In every case of revelation the truth is revealed and it is given out by the person to whom it is revealed. In this circumstance his act of grasping the truth has originality. But his giving it out has already lost novelty because it is after all imitating what is once grasped. It is here that the defect caused by authorship becomes

evident. All cases of revelation suffer from this defect. Veda being impersonal, apauruseya, is original in the sense that it presupposes no mind behind it. It is therefore no revelation.

What is presented by the impersonal *Veda* is novel in every aspect. It is such that it is presented by nothing else. There is therefore nothing by which to measure the impersonal *Veda*. As its subject matter is given by nothing else, by nothing else can it be substituted. To lose it is to lose everything worth possessing. It is for this reason that the subject matter of the impersonal *Veda* is characterised as understood only by means of *Veda*, *vedaika-samadhigamya*.

Non-sublatedness of the position expounded is another characteristic of the impersonal *Veda*. With reference to every position arrived at, it is necessary to see that it is not sublated by any other case of knowledge. This is an implication of the idea that the subject matter of the impersonal *Veda* is not apprehended by the other sources of knowledge. If they are incapable of apprehending it, then they are incapable of denying it. It is here that an exhaustive study of the relative merits of philosophies of all grades becomes a necessity so as to show how the non-vedic philosophies of all grades are incapable of teaching the Truth absolute. This accounts for the highly philosophic character of the study of the *Vedas*.

Effecting endless continuity of spiritual growth adhyātmavidyādhigama is another characteristic of the impersonal Veda, apauruseya. Empirical knowledge does not indicate the growth of the self. It is in fact a burden which the innermost desire wants to shake off. It is entertained to gain an advantage. If there is the realisation of the advantage or if it is known that it cannot be realised owing to some condition, there is immediately an attempt to forget all about this knowledge. The knowledge obtained by the study of arts and sciences belongs to this class. With reference to it to say 'love for knowledge' or 'knowledge for the sake of knowledge', is more a fashion than the statement of a truth. But the knowledge obtained by the Vedic study marks the growth of the self. With the increase of study and knowledge, man achieves a finer outlook and deeper wisdom. Judging from this point of view there is very little to support non-Vedic philosophy, i.e., the philosophy that has its origin in empirical conditions of life. More often this philosophy comes as a justification for what has been already constructed in the mind of the philosopher. By whims and fancies man gets a certain idea and subsequently he finds some philosophical justification for what he has subjectively constructed. For this reason he himself has no faith in the philosophy attributed to him. If occasion demands it he changes his view and develops something else. Men may mistake it for originality and honesty. But it never helps the growth of the philosopher's outlook. Thus in the case of empirical philosophy, because philosophy here has nothing to do with real life, there is no hope of spiritual growth. But this is not the case with Vedic philosophy. To follow it is to assimilate it. It is to lead the life of it. It is to mould the life that follows in its direction. It is not to find, in philosophy, the justification for an activity of life. But rather it is to find the justification of philosophy in one's own activities of life. This is how Vedic philosophy ensures endless continuity of spiritual growth.

To give the philosopher eternal peace and immortality is another characteristic of Vedic philosophy. In every case of human misery the cause is wrong knowledge. Correct knowledge is always the mark of peace. Peace is in the Vedic language, ananda It is spiritual integrity. It is the realisation of the completeness and self-sufficiency of the self. With this realisation man does not depend upon anything external to him. This is a state of transcendence, turīya. No other state is marked by this realisation and the resultant peace. The other states, avasthas of man are empirical. They are waking, dream and dreamless sleep. In each of these states man is made to depend on things external to him. In the waking state he is drawn by his own senses to the external world. There appears to be gratification of the senses, indrivas. This seems to be caused by external objects. To gratify the senses is to exhaust himself. To own the gratification caused is to acknowledge his dependence on things external to him. To depend on them is to be disappointed soon Nothing is stable in the external world. What is seen is not there the next moment. The sense that seems to cause gratification wears out the next moment. Within himself man wants a change. He flies from pleasure to pleasure and becomes restless. Soon he is exhausted. At this point the waking state is over. Sleep overcomes him. But dreams stand in the way. He realises that waking is a misery, and dream is a misery. He has recourse to sleep. Soon sleep becomes a case of misery. He becomes exhausted by the repetition of these states. He becomes old and death puts an end to him and no one knows what happens to him. All this is the play of empirical existence. Every item of this knowledge lands man in a fresh misery. The theories about after-life

of man, that is, life after death may be constructed in terms of heaven and hell, svarga and naraka. Even this thought becomes a source of misery. In every case he is drawn away from the truth of himself. This is how empirical knowledge, empirical construction and empirical philosophy do not help us towards peace. They are the places of mortality. Misery and mortality are the marks of wrong knowledge. Empirical life is therefore the life of wrong knowledge. All that is personal, pauruseya, is constructed by man. It is the source of wrong knowledge. The source of right knowledge is therefore impersonal, apauruseya. It deals with the inner truth of man. While by means of the empirical sources of knowledge, man is drawn outwardly, by means of the impersonal Veda, he understands the truth underlying his individuality. He appreciates the pervasion of this truth seeing that it is the underlying principle of all individuality. He has thus realised the oneness of all beings. This oneness is specified by the Isa Upanisad: 'All this which is called the world is permeated by the Lord. Be satisfied with what he gives you, that is, find your peace in renunciation. Do not desire for the appropriation of what belongs to others.'(143) This is the realisation of the alldoership of the Lord without misappropriating it in any sense. With this realisation he has all, because he lives in the very truth which is all. This is the attainment of spiritual integrity. This is the state which admits of no change, no old age and no death. It is a state of bliss, ananda and immortality, amrtatva.

VIII. VEDA AND THE PROBLEM OF ITS INTERPRETATION

We have so far outlined the broad features of Veda. To appreciate its distinction from non-Veda is a matter of deep study of a serious nature. Ordinarily Veda is taken as one among the many literary works of the world. Some distinguish between the earlier and the later stages of Vedic thought, the latter falsifying the former. Some partially or totally deny the truth of Veda. This has given rise to the orthodox āstika and heterodox nāstika schools of thought in Indian philosophy. The orthodox thinking is marked by the recognition of Veda and the heterodox by the rejection of it. Whatever the disposition of the several conclusions may be, one thing becomes certain that thinkers of all centuries have been profoundly attracted by Veda and they have reacted to this attraction according to their predispositions.

It may however be noted that the circumstance in which Veda becomes a necessity for the man who is really after truth brings all branches of Veda together so that none of them is less or more important. Either it stands as a whole or not at all Even to see and appreciate this is a matter of study, reflection and assimilation, fravana, manana and nididhyāsana under the guidance of an expert preceptor, guru. The desire to do this must have an inner urge which the Kaṭha Upanisad calls vicikisā. As has been indicated in the opening pages of this work, to see the truth of all this requires intuition, pratibhā. Without it no amount of education can help. In whatever way intuition, pratibhā works itself, merely to posit it is to become aware of the danger involved in upholding any empirical ideas; and there it becomes necessary to support at least indirectly the need for recognising Veda. To recognise Veda as Veda is to see that it is the indispensable expression of universal philosophy.

In the process of the attainment of Veda itself, the method of its interpretation is suggested. The student who is after the truth of life and existence arrives at the conception of Veda with great responsibility of thinking. Unlike those who regard Veda as merely a part of Indian literature he cannot consider Veda in a light manner. If the whole Veda is one in bringing out the knowledge of truth, then the teaching of the whole Veda must be present in every item of its teaching. A recognition of this demands an interpretation of Veda equal to it. This is by no means easy. The words that constitute Veda are generally used by various authors according to their own convenience and in the sense that is relevant to their convenience. These usages have come down to common parlance. So even before we know that there is such a thing as Veda we are already familiar with the words, at least most of them, made use of by Veda. This circumstance makes a correct approach to Veda very difficult. Even granting that the spirit of approach is correct, the common meaning given to the words unconsciously interferes with the interpretation of Veda.

In this circumstance when the common meaning is carried on to Veda, Veda itself becomes lost. So far as the knowledge of truth is considered we remain where we are in spite of our study of Veda; because by the Vedic word we do not understand anything new but only what we already know. Further with the common meaning attached to Veda, Veda becomes a collection of contradictory statements and to a discerning eye each statement becomes a contradiction in terms. One statement, 'From Atman came ether' is in conflict.

with another statement 'From which all these elements come . . . that is Brahman.' (146) Whether Atman is Brahman or it is different from Brahman we do not know. The same affirmations cannot be made of the two. Further 'I am the Atman and Brahman' (146) is another statement. When I know nothing about creation how can I accept that I am Atman, the creator and Brahman, the source of all? Moreover, Brahman is given as all this by the statement 'All this is indeed Brahman' (147) Still less can I therefore identify myself with Brahman. Therefore arises the need for the determination of the meaning of Veda and this determination is called Vedānta.

IX. THE RISE OF VEDĀNTA

At this juncture, an entirely novel method of *Vedic* interpretation becomes a necessity and without it nothing is got out of *Veda*. Any attempt at removing the contradiction results in a greater contradiction. Some portions of *Veda* appear as dealing with action, *karma*. The other portion appears to deal with knowledge, *jnāna*. We have to reject one or the other if we are to accept either. The reason for this difficulty is that we do not know the whole *Veda* and its entire meaning. All our attempt at interpretation is very much limited in scope. Therefore our attempt, however serious and scholarly it may appear to be, does not apply to all the circumstances of Veda. Further, someone's satisfaction with regard to a meaning may not satisfy the meaning attached to it by the *Vedic* demand.

In answer to all these difficulties a student may, by chance (iśvarānugraha) come across a Vedic tradition (sampradāya) which alone can be of advantage to him. The student who is born and moulded in Indian life is naturally introduced to this tradition. The tradition is this—Veda is impersonal, apauruṣeya. It therefore emanates from the creative principle itself. This is stated by Veda as 'he who made Brahmā, the creator of all at the beginning of creation gives him all the Vedas.' This is how Veda is handed down from mouth to mouth. Owing to a degeneration in the outlook of its later followers Veda ceased to function well. Moreover its profundity and vastness made it difficult to comprehend. The same creative principle appeared as the giver of the Veda, having divided it into suitable divisions so that it might be more readily understood. For this reason the giver of Veda becomes known as Vedavyāsa. Further, to help the interpretation of Veda the same Vedavyāsa

showed the way by following which the one meaning of Veda can be found out. This is the work which is celebrated all over the world and called Brahma-Sūtras. Because it decides the meaning of Veda, it is called Vedānta.

Vedānia, that is, Brahma-Sūtras, consists of four chapters, adhyāyas. They are called in order, samanvayādhyāya, avirodhādhyāya, sādhanādhyāya and phalādhyāya. In the first chapter the unity of the whole Veda is brought out so that the one meaning of the whole Veda with all its details can be easily understood. In the second, all oppositions including the opposition of philosophical systems of all types are removed. In the third is shown how the correct understanding of Vedanta is the one discipline that is truly spiritual in character. In the fourth it is shown how attainment of the whole knowledge is a spiritual joy and results in giving eternal joy, ananda, which is immortality itself. The first five aphorisms introduce the subject matter so thoroughly that the student understands the very essence of the whole teaching beginning with 'Next therefore, enquiry into Brahman, the subject matter of Veda, ought to be conducted.'(149) With the first aphorism, enquiry into the Brahman starts. Enquiry in this connection means understanding, reflection and assimilation. What is Brahman? This is answered by the second aphorism 'Brahman is that from which birth etc. of all this (world) come.'(150) What is the source of knowledge of this Brahman? This question is answered by the third aphorism, 'Because sastra, that is, Veda is the source of knowledge. (151) If it is possible to interpret śāstra, that is Veda in favour of something else, how can then śāstra, be the source of knowledge? This is answered by the fourth aphorism, 'Only Brahman is the meaning of the whole sastra, because the Vedic words being understood in the light of the whole Veda give only that meaning. (182) Can Brahman be understood? Can it be expounded? This question is answered by the fifth aphorism, 'It (Brahman) is the subject matter of sastra and it is therefore not expounded by sabda, that is Veda.'(1831) This means that Brahman is understood in so far as it is considered to be above understanding and exposition.

On the basis of these ideas the Brahma-Sūtras show that Veda and Veda alone is truly the one case of the science of Brahman, Brahma-Vidyā. Śruti and so on are other names of Veda. Vedavyāsa has composed several other works expounding and illustrating certain important details of this science of Brahman. These go by the names, Purāna, Itihāsa and so on. These are called Smṛtis.

They cannot be appreciated without a correct understanding of Vedānta.

The outlook of samanvaya, that is, interpreting the whole field of Sruti and Smrti in terms of the whole Veda, in favour of Brahman is by no means a burden imposed on these works; nor is it a device invented by the later thinkers of the country to meet certain oppositions. This method of interpretation, samanvaya, is demanded by Veda itself and the Smrtis are composed to illustrate how this method of interpretation governs the whole Vedic thought. This point may be briefly indicated: Mandakya-Upanisad says, 'Aum, Veda, that is, the truth taught by Veda, is the imperishable one. It is indeed all this. In its extension it is the past, present and future and all is only the truth taught by Veda. That which is beyond the threefold aspect of time also is nothing but the truth taught by Veda. All this is Brahman. This is itself, Atman. That this self has four aspects... the fourth one has no syllable mātrā (to present it) and it is therefore inexpressible. It is the state in which the manifold world has disappeared. It is auspicious. It is identity. Thus this truth is self itself. Thus he attains self itself taught by Veda by self who understands the truth in this manner: who understands the truth in this manner. (154)

In this passage the whole reality is reduced to aum, that is, the truth taught by Veda. It is the imperishable principle of all. It is the origin of the whole Veda: and the whole Veda is in its turn reduced to aum, the mother of Veda. This explains how the said method of Vedic interpretation consisting in seeing in each Vedic word the teaching of the whole Veda is indispensable if anything can be understood out of the Veda. The same point may be further illustrated.

Chāndogya says: 'That which is the truth taught by Veda, awin is imperishable. It ought to be meditated on as being the highest truth. It is indeed sung as the highest principle of all. Its extension is (all this).'(155)

Taittirīya says: 'The truth taught by Veda, aum is Brahman. It, aum is all this.' (156)

Mundaka says: 'That this (self) moves within the heart of every being born in various ways. Meditate upon self as the truth taught by the Veda, auni. You have done the best. It is beyond darkness. It is the only means for transcending bondage.'(167)

In the light of these ideas it is clear that the whole of Veda is an

extension of aum and the truth taught by Veda, aum is Brahman. The Upanisadic word aum is taken to mean the truth by Veda. This requires an explanation.

The word aum stands for a sound. Brahman is an entity, artha. Therefore the identity of the two requires justification. To overcome this difficulty in the course of the history of philosophy several solutions have been suggested. The Vedānta solution is that the Upanisadic expression, 'aum is Brahma' is equivalent to 'That which is signified as aum is Brahman.' The expression, aum iti means 'That which is signified as aum'. Aum constitutes the letters a, u and m. A is Brahman. Sruti says 'that which is signified as a is Brahman'. Brahman is the imperishable, aksara. It is the imperishable principle of all that exists For this reason it is called u. U means ucca, the highest. As the origin of all, it is all. It is the nature of knowledge. It is therefore called m. The root man means that which measures. Knowledge measures all Thus Brahman is satya, the source of the reality of all, and jnāna, the knowledge as the explanation of all. As satya and jnāna, Brahman is complete, pūrna, that is, ananta.

So to understand the meaning of the *Veda* is to see that *Brahman*, that is, aum is its one meaning. This means the reduction of the whole *Veda* into one single truth as its meaning. The outlook and equipment behind this is the method of interpretation called *samanvaya*.

Vedānta is thus not a system founded on the basis of Veda. It is one with Veda. To distinguish it from Veda is to deny it. It is the process of Vedic thinking. Vedic thinking is no other than Veda To see the identity of the two is to have Veda To have Veda is to have Vedānta. This is the truth divine posed in its true character. It marks the greatness of Indian thought. Sankara, Rāmānuja, Madhva and a number of other great philosophers of the country are great because of their realisation of the oneness of Veda and Vedānta. As bringing out this great truth by way of commenting on Vedas, that is as bhāṣya-kāras they have done great service to humanity.

Lord Dattātreya as the author of the Avadhūta-Gītā appears as a great defender of Vedānta. He says at the very commencement of this work—'What forms the quintessence of Vedānta, what is knowledge or specialised knowledge is 'I am self, Atman, formless and all-permeating by my very nature"." The idea is this 'I' is the name of the self, Atman. Atman is formless because it is infinite. As it is formless and infinite it is all-pervading. That it is all-pervading in this specified sense means that it is the source of the reality of all.

This makes it clear that what he is expounding in the shape of the Avadhūta-Gītā is the quintessence of Vedānta, Vedānta-sāra-sarvasva. He repeatedly points out that Vedānta is no other than Veda by illustrating that what he teaches is identical with what the Sruti says. 'It is well established that all this is Brahman. Śruti expounds this truth in various manners.'(159) He says further 'All Śrutis are one in teaching (Brahman) as attributeless, pure and imperishable.'(160) With reference to the world 'The Sruti "neither this nor that" shows that the world of the five-fold element is unreal.'(161) Throughout in his teaching he insists on the spirit of samanvaya which he presents as samarasa, the outlook of equipoise, the art of interpreting an expression of Sruti in terms of the whole Veda. He presents himself as samarasa-magna, one who has dived deep in the essence sama, the essence of samanvaya. This means that he is the expounder and upholder of Vedānta. He clearly points out that without samanvaya. Śruti is of no use, that is, it does not give knowledge. He says 'Without samarasa, the outlook of samanvaya, the hymn called Veda, that is, chandas, never helps (the student).'(162) He confirms the same idea in several other connections.

X. VEDA AND VEDĀNTA ARE THE GIFTS OF THE LORD ĪSVARA TO SUFFERING HUMANITY

Lord Dattatreya in the very opening passage of Avadhāta-Gītā notes that the disposition of identity, advaita-vāsanā is the presupposition of the knowledge the source of which is so far defined. Without this disposition in spite of the source of this knowledge, knowledge does not occur. What the Lord notes comes with conviction, so what he says becomes established. This means that it has every reason to establish it. Having thus established that the disposition of identity is the origin of the whole process of the spiritual realisation consisting of knowledge and through it liberation, the Lord mentions that the one origin of the disposition of identity is the grace of the Lord. This grace is itself the gift of the Lord. Being the origin of the disposition, the Lord is the origin of the whole spiritual life of the aspirant.

How does the grace of the Lord come and with what presupposition? An answer to this question is absolutely necessary. Without a satisfactory answer to this question if one simply believes that one has every realisation through the grace of the Lord, there is the danger of one becoming irresponsible. With this belief there is the possibility of losing one's faith in moral life itself. Moral life in the ordinary sense is the result of the recognition of one's responsibility for one's action. Believing loosely that the Lord does things for one, one may develop within one's self irresponsibility and thereby immorality. Hence as the concept of grace is great, great is the effort required to understand the concept. The concepts. Iśvara, anugraha, advasta, vāsanā and so on belong to the science of Brahman. We must therefore find the answer to the question in this science itself.

'O agni[†] For our sake you come in contact with good Similarly for the sake of destroying our evil you become prepared. With regard to both these cases an example is given, namely, "just as for the sake of the son the father himself becomes prepared, in the same manner" '(163) The idea is this The father is good to his son out of his own will; the son need not make any special effort to prepare the father. Similarly the Lord, agm, in the present context is invoked to be good to the devotees of his own accord. This is how, in this light of this passage, the gift of the Lord takes place.

The same idea is further studied in the Katha Upanisad. Having come to Yama, Naciketas prays for the knowledge of Brahman, To test the pupil Yama tries to distract him. Naciketas becomes more confirmed in his desire to know Brahman and insists upon obtaining knowledge. Yama is pleased with the pupil. He explains that to understand Brahman is very difficult because Brahman is 'something that cannot be discussed as it is very subtle.'(1161) He continues further 'O Dear! This knowledge (of Brahman) cannot be had by means of reasoning. That which is taught by another person, the preceptor, is capable of producing knowledge." Further, in continuation, Yama says, 'This Atman (Brahman) is not attained by study, nor by intellect, nor by much learning. Whom it chooses as its own, by him it is attained. To him it reveals its forms, that is, its essence.'1165) Does Alman choose any one it pleases? 'No' says Yama 'He who has not retired from evil actions, he who does not maintain peace, he who is distracted, he who has peaceless mind, does not attain to this truth by means of knowledge."(167) The idea is that he does not obtain knowledge because of his inherent inability, evil action and so on. This observation means that the gift of the Lord is not indiscriminate. He to whom it occurs is fitted to have it. Fittedness is the disposition of identity in the language of the Avadhūta

Gītā. It is therefore the Lord's Grace itself. Reflection upon this circumstance makes us understand how the grace of the Lord is the crowning principle of moral and spiritual discipline.

The Bhagavad-Gītā explains further how exactly the grace of the Lord takes place. The statements of this work are self-explanatory. Lord Kṛṣṇa says: 'Whenever there is the destruction of dharma (right knowledge in this context) O Bhārata! then in order to put an end to adharma (wrong knowledge) I create myself (as a teacher).'(168) 'I teach this, the most secret truth to you who are devoid of envy.'(160) 'I take care of those who are devoted to me without break.'(170)

Arjuna says (Arjuna is the pupil whom Krsna is teaching) 'In order to do me good this secret science pertaining to Atman is taught to me by you. By this my illusions are removed.' In this passage Arjuna acknowledges that the instruction he is receiving is the gift of Krsna. He continues:

'O Lord! If you think it is possible for me to see the Lord of Yoga, show me yourself the imperishable.' (172) This means that the Lord's gift is not indiscriminate.

The Lord says: 'By me who is pleased with you, O Arjuna, the highest form of me is revealed to you, the reason being nothing but my power.'(173) So it is not Arjuna that makes the revelation of the divine form a necessity, but it is purely the divine will, the grace of the Lord.

The Lord insists that the grace, anugraha, is the only means because it covers all: 'Not by means of Veda, sacrifice, study, nor by charities, nor even by action, nor by severe austerities, can this form be seen in the world of men except by you, O the bravest of Kurus.' (174) The expression that 'it cannot be seen except by you' does not mean that there is something great in Arjuna himself, but it necessarily means in this context that which is great in him is nothing but the grace of the Lord. This is made clear by: 'Further hear (understand and practise) the best instruction of mine revealing the best of all secrets. As you are beloved of me I tell you that which is good for you.' (1715)

Arjuna could never conceive what this is; nor could he demand it. So to teach what is good for him is purely divine grace, because he has been already endowed by the Lord with a divine disposition which alone is the light that guides.

'Do not feel dejected. You are born with the divine disposition, O Pāndaval'(176) The Lord is the unconditioned creative principle of all. So it is not correct to think that he selected Arjuna because of

this element in him. In fact with the Lord's grace Arjuna is at this moment actually born with this divine element.

The indication of the grace is implied by the Lord's statement: 'Therefore śāstra is the source of knowledge to you with regard to the discrimination between what is right and what is wrong. Having therefore understood what is ordained by śāstra, that is, Veda you become qualified to do the right.'

The Lord states the effect of this science: 'Having your mind fixed on me, you transcend through my grace all miseries that are impossible to transcend.' To fix the mind on the Lord is only the result of the study of this science. Therefore having given this science to Arjuna the Lord asks him: 'Be one whose mind is fixed on me. Be devoted to me. Be one who observes sacrifies because of me. Offer your salutations to me. You come to me, indeed. I assure you, you are beloved of me.' So all that religion appears to give or promises to give has come to the philosopher. Such is the grace of the Lord. That is what science means.

The Avadhūta-Gītā echoes the same in a more explicit manner...
'To a person who has received this discourse from a preceptor and who has practised the truth taught, reality which is indeed no other than equipoise, reveals itself.' This discourse is the science in the sense defined in the course of the foregoing exposition. To practise it is to understand and realise the truth it contains. It is the gift of the preceptor. The subject of realisation is the truth of equipoise, sama. It reveals itself but not on account of the discipline that one undergoes. The discipline is rather the forerunner of the divine grace. One who does not understand this truth does not have knowledge in spite of Veda. The Lord says:

'With regard to which there is indeed no knowledge, with regard to the same there is indeed no Veda.'(181) So it is insight, pratibhā, that helps one to attain to Veda and through it, knowledge of the truth. If a person is devoid of insight, with regard to him Veda has lost its significance, and with this loss even the possibility of knowledge is denied.

The preceptor who does this favour to his pupil is nothing short of madhāta, the philosopher. The Lord says: 'The Philosopher is compassionate... and the benefactor of the whole world '11521

So the Lord concludes: 'By the gift of knowledge from a preceptor, whether one is called a fool or a learned man, if only he understands reality, then he is liberated from the ocean of bondage.'

The gift of knowledge is what is called the grace of the Lord at the opening passage of the Avadhūta-Gītā. The disposition on the part of the student that is the forerunner of knowledge is the disposition of identity. With this, one is a man, and a man of discrimination. It is he that transcends all fear, i.e., bondage.

This knowledge, that is, the science giving rise to this knowledge is expounded in the following section.

2. DATTĀDVAITA: EPISTEMOLOGY, ONTOLOGY AND THE THEORY OF LIBERATION

The Avadhūta-Gītā is philosophical in its disposition.

Through the employment of a simple and melodious style, Śrī Dattātreya in his Avadhūta-Gītā develops a system of thought which has an individuality all its own. In its truth-value it is no less than any Vedānta system usually known to us. In fact there are throughout this work glimpses which tell us that the exposition enumerates those fundamental principles of thought on which the Vedānta outlook itself is based.

The exposition of the Avadhūta-Gītā appears to be deductive in its apparent setting. But a closer study of the expressions reveals the fact that it is no less inductive. Take any expression, for example:— 'To whom shall I offer salutation? I am identity. I am defectless.'(184) Defectless identity is the identity that admits of no duality. When this is the truth, how can there be an object of salutation? To have that object is to uphold duality and negate identity. How is identity established? The proof is given in the former half of the verse. 'The world consists of the interaction of the five elements—earth, water, fire, air and ether; and it resembles the water superimposed on the rays of the sun.'(185) The world is therefore inert, because it is nonspiritual. The spiritual is identity which admits of no duality. The non-spiritual is therefore sublated by the spiritual principle of all. In the previous verse, the statement 'That by which all this is pervaded'(186) signifies that the world has no individuality of its own. It is not a second to the truth. It is only the creation of the truth characterised as self, Atman, in recognition of its spiritual character and of the fact that the world is made by Self to exhibit its omnipotence which is no other than the overflow of its auspicious nature, the blissful character. Omnipotence is auspicious and the auspicious is bliss, in its completeness. Overflowing with complete bliss is there-

fore an indispensable aspect of omnipotence. These ideas illustrate how the whole composition of the present work is full of implied philosophy and how it is through and through inductive in its method of approach to reality. The details of all the problems that are to be discussed may not be expected in it. But there is enough indication that a detailed discussion of those problems is a necessary presupposition of the whole discourse. As an exposition of the Vedic and the Upanişadic truth the work has maintained the Vedic and Upanisadic style. This truth is not conveyed to a novice but to an adept who is well prepared to receive the instruction in all its completeness as best as he can possibly comprehend. So what is called Vedic or Upanisadic style is not a fashion; and it is inevitable and indispensable in an exposition to follow in which, insight, pratibhā more than learning is required. Each word, each context and each circumstance in which a concept is used in the present work brings to our mind the fact that the author is aware of the whole implication and responsibility of what he teaches.

In the light of the discipline given by all the foregoing ideas a philosophical study of the Avadhūta-Gītā may be briefly indicated. The soundness of a philosophical system depends upon the importance given to the theory of knowledge. Epistemology must lead on to ontology. If the position is reversed, then philosophy becomes fallacious as in this case the theory of being becomes only an assertion and the theory of knowledge is based on a false idea. Every philosophy that bases itself on subjectivism is hable to be wrongly founded.

If one looks at the Lord's statements, the fact that his treatment is in its entirety objective is revealed. He analyses with great care and insight the whole field of knowledge. He handles all problems connected with the truth of knowledge so clearly, definitely and effectively that his exposition goes home to the mind of the student and finally he has no alternative but to practise the life of the truth he has learned from the Lord's teaching. The Lord arrives at his philosophical conclusions with exceptional ability. His conclusions as a rule give no room for doubt. They are invariably the ontological implications of his theory of knowledge. His teaching is thus not barren. It is not mere speculation. It is practical in its very setting. To understand and appreciate it is to embrace a new life which is otherwise impossible. The scope of this new life or new outlook is freedom resulting in uniqueness, oneness or identity.

So the Avadhūta-Gītā may be studied with profit under three heads—Epistemology, Ontology and the Theory of Liberation.

1. Epistemology: The Theory of Knowledge

The author distinguishes between two types of knowledge: (i) knowledge in its essence which he calls svabhāva-bhāva and (ii) knowledge caused by philosophy. He calls this knowledge śāstra-samvitti.

(i) Knowledge in its essence: svabhāva-bhāva

Svabhāva-bhāva means self in its essence. This is a case of knowledge. This is presented as the basis of the instruction as: 'What is stated here is based on svabhāva-bhāva.' (187) It is possible to understand by this expression 'natural existence'. But in this sense it cannot be the basis of instruction. What is demanded in this context is the source of knowledge that is the source of instruction. There is no point in saying that natural existence is the source of instruction. But the knowledge of the natural existence can be the source of instruction. So svabhāva-bhāva is a case of knowledge. In support of this meaning the following may be noted. In this connection what exactly the author means by svabhāva-bhāva also becomes clear.

'Knowledge is not loose thinking, nor is it yoga in the sense of meditation, mental arrest; nor is it conditioned by space and time; nor is it the instruction given by an upholder of a dogma. It is svabhāva-samvitti. It is what is called "I". It is reality. It is space-like. It is spontaneity. It is all-enduring.'(188) All these attributes qualify the knowledge called svabhāva-samvitti. The statement that it is not reasoning and so on not only defines it, but points out that it presupposes the negation of all those cases—loose thinking, mental arrest and so on. As it is clear from the foregoing considerations, to negate loose thinking and mental arrest and so on is to posit philosophy in their place. So the implication of the whole negation as the presupposition of svabhāva-samvitti is that the knowledge caused by philosophy, that is, śāstra-sanivitti is the presupposition of svabhāvasamvitti. The same idea is concluded: 'The truth is expounded on the basis of knowledge in its essence shaped by the knowledge of philosophy.'(189)

The fact that 'knowledge in its essence', svabhāva-bhāva is a case of knowledge is further supported by the expression 'instruction of knowledge forming the essence of self', ātmasamvitti-upadeśa

concluding each chapter. Every chapter is therefore an instruction of the knowledge that forms the essence of the self, ātma-sanvitti. This knowledge is further defined as: 'It is not that which meditates. Nor is it that which is meditated. It is not a case of instruction; nor is it of the nature of demanding action from me. It is knowledge. That is its nature. How can I define it? It is the very essence of freedom. It is defectless. It is "I".'(190)

It is further added: 'For the sake of this spirit, which is all and partless for the sake of this spirit which is all and absolutely all enduring, for the sake of this spirit which is beyond the reach of the creatures having two legs and such other beings, why do you bother within your mind? Equipoise is all that exists.'(191)

The same concept of this knowledge is further developed: 'Verily, it is the mind that is all-permeating without obstruction. It indeed transcends all concepts—extended, non-extended and neither. Verily it is mind that is all auspicious without exception. How can it be apprehended by manas (in the ordinary sense of a sense organ, an indriya?) How can it be expounded by speech?' (1921) In this passage the Sanskrit word manas is used in two senses, (i) the knowledge that forms the essence of self and (ii) a sense organ inside the body helping the generation of the knowledge of external objects. Svabhāva-bhāva is thus distinguished from manas as a sense organ. In the same context its all-pervading character is brought out so as to show that it is all auspicious.

'For the reason that which is expounded and that which is not expounded are not real; for the reason that which is known and that which is not known are unreal indeed; if the Truth is one, partless and all-auspicious, how then can there be objects, organs, intellects and minds?'(1923)

The knowledge that forms the essence of the self is therefore self itself and it is knowledge in essence. The author distinguishes it further from all that is relative and it is shown to be the highest truth.

In the circumstances, secular in character, how can one understand reality? With reference to it how can there be the discussion about the ideas of form and no form? The highest truth is of the form of space. With reference to it where is the possibility of making it an object?"

This passage explains how it is the very essence of the subject, the knowing principle and thereby illustrates how it is pure identity giving no room for duality in any sense.

So, knowledge in essence is what is called svabhāva-bhāva, ātma-samvitti. The sanskrit word samvitti means knowledge. Ātma-samvitti means that self, Ātman, is the same as Samvitti. Hence, self is knowledge. The same is the meaning of svabhāva-bhāva. Svabhāva means self as essence. Bhāva indicates its absolute character. This word further indicates that it is a case of spontaneity. In order to show that this knowledge is absolute knowledge, it is defined as manas, samvit and so on and it is in the same context distinguished from all that is relative.

Śrī Dattātreya shows throughout how knowledge in essence is self-established and all else is of a derived nature. For him truth and reality are one and the same. All along the discourse he expounds the *Upaniṣadic* truth, 'Brahman is truth, knowledge and infinite'. It is the one subject matter of his exposition. Brahman as truth signifies that it is the source of all reality. Brahman as knowledge signifies that the truth is essentially spiritual. In bringing together truth and knowledge the *Upaniṣadic* position brings about the absolute character of the reality which it shows to be completely unlimited. Defined thus is Brahman. This is the reason why the author presents this knowledge in a negative fashion as 'the highest truth which is marked by the absence of knowledge and non-knowledge.' (105)

That which is commonly called knowledge is essentially relative. It is relative to non-knowledge. It has a beginning and an end. It is therefore preceded and followed by non-knowledge. In itself it is limited by the subjective and objective conditions. Therefore it falls short of 'knowledge in essence' which is *Brahman* itself. While knowledge in the ordinary sense is opposed to and therefore relative to non-knowledge, 'knowledge in essence' is the basis of both knowledge and non-knowledge. The relative knowledge as well as non-knowledge forms the stuff or matter or content of what is called the universe. 'Knowledge in essence' is the truth underlying the universe. It is the basis as well as the origin of the universe. This is the reason why it is signified as the highest reality transcending both knowledge and non-knowledge. The realisation of this truth is 'knowledge in essence' itself. That is the highest accomplishment. That is liberation.

'Knowledge in essence' is the same as spirit, cartanya. It is all-comprising as well as all transcending. The whole world is essentially 'knowledge in essence' itself. It is therefore the state of equipoise,

samarasa. In illustrating this point, the author calls it 'knowledge immortal, jnānāmria'. This is the self underlying all.

- (ii) Knowledge in the normal sense and knowledge as philosophy, sāstra-saniwitt.
- (A) Knowledge other than the knowledge in essence, ātma-samvitti

Other cases of knowledge are also recognised by the author and they are the different items of what is commonly called knowledge. He points out by way of implication in different connections that great care must be taken not to confuse any aspect of this knowledge with 'knowledge in essence'. This type of knowledge or any aspect of it however great and exceptional it may appear to be is just that which knowledge in essence is not

The evaluation of the ideas connected with the several items of this knowledge shows that the author has a definite analysis of this knowledge in his mind in chalking out the programme of the work. He distinguishes between two kinds of this knowledge with a view to showing finally that after all these two kinds are the same in the scheme of Reality and in their essence. These kinds may respectively be called, correct and incorrect.

The distinction of these two cases of knowledge, correct and incorrect, is purely relative in importance Each is of the same content and therefore what applies to one in the general sense applies to the other. Each has a reference to its object. But their distinction consists in this. Correct knowledge presents something actual, but what is presented by incorrect knowledge is not existent. To employ the technique of expression of the Darśanas this explanation of wrong knowledge is a variety of anyathā-khyāti. Accordingly wrong knowledge is that knowledge which presents an object that is not given. On the whole the work maintains, in so far as the empirical reality is concerned, a realistic view of knowledge, because it holds that each case of knowledge is relative to its object. But ultimately it upholds idealism as it points out that there is only one reality which it calls 'knowledge in essence'.

In arriving at this conclusion this is the method followed. Wrong knowledge is illustrated by the example of a mirage. This instance shows that wrongness consists in presenting that which is not existent as existent. Right knowledge is compared to wrong knowledge in point of the final unreality of its object. It is repeatedly shown to be

similar to a mirage. Both are thus dispensed with. Their relative character is taken as the basis of showing their non-absolute nature. It is to point out that the real is the absolute and the non-absolute is not real. The absolute is thereby conceived as the meaning of the several texts of *Śruti*, 'That thou art' and so on. This is 'knowledge in essence' ātma-sanivitti.

At the same time the author takes up the question of the relation or reference of 'knowledge in essence' to the normal case of knowledge. The problem is this. If all that is, is 'knowledge in essence', how then is there normal knowledge? How is there this unreal thing against the one reality, 'knowledge in essence?' In answer to this question, the state of equipoise of all is emphasised in the teaching and the corresponding outlook is expected from the student.

From this it follows that with reference to normal knowledge two aspects may be distinguished. Either this knowledge may be taken to be consistent with 'knowledge in essence' or inconsistent with it. If it is inconsistent then even though it is practically sound, it is sublated, that is, negated by 'knowledge in essence'. For it is relative and is therefore not self-establishing. It is inconsistent with 'knowledge in essence' and 'knowledge in essence' is complete and secondless. It is therefore impossible against the latter. To one who has realised 'knowledge in essence', it is no longer there. If on the other hand what is called normal knowledge is consistent with 'knowledge in essence' then it no longer maintains its individuality against the latter. Being consistent with it, it is reduced to the latter so that there finally shines only one truth, 'knowledge in essence'. Further, to be consistent with 'knowledge in essence' is to show not only the absolute character of 'knowledge in essence', but also to present itself as something completely derived, having nothing of its own. This is to show itself and all else connected with it and even the inconsistent and wrong knowledge related to it to be the work of 'knowledge in essence' each item of its work illustrating its wonderful capacities. Thus there is shown one operating power. It is identical in all. There is nothing outside it. It is the state of equipoise in all. It is immortal. It is all identity. There is no individual against it. It is all pervading like space. That is 'knowledge in essence'.

(B) Right Knowledge

Right knowledge is that knowledge which presents an object that is actually given as it is. The definition of right knowledge in this

form is the necessary implication of the contrast of right knowledge with wrong knowledge and then showing its similarity to the latter. The author takes up the illusion of a mirage for an instance. By this very illustration he has distinguished the illusion from right knowledge. Further with great skill he points out that after all the so called right knowledge is nothing but an illusion even as the illusion of mirage.

Even as wrong knowledge, right knowledge in the presence of the operation of the causal conditions comes into being and after its function is over it goes out of it. The proximate causes of right knowledge are what is ordinarily called mind, manas and sense organs, reasoning and verbal testimony.

Perception: perception is the knowledge caused by the sense organs in co-operation with the mind, manas or mind independently of the sense organs. The sense organs in co-operation with mind cause the knowledge of external objects. Mind by itself causes the knowledge of external objects. Mind by itself causes the knowledge of pleasure, pain and so on. Of the five sense organs that exist on the outward surface of the human body, eyes, ears and nose, the organ that apprehends taste and the organ of touch, only eyes and ears are mentioned in the work. The trend of the exposition however implies that other organs also are recognised by the author. Mind, manas, is the abode of all mental activities—perception and non-perception. Perception is immediate and non-perception is mediate.

Perception has two stages, indetermined and determined (1960) A sense organ presents its object in an undetermined form and mind contributes determination. Mind has therefore the power to posit things which are imaginary and not real.

The discipline of yoga is recognised as capable of giving rise to knowledge. This may be treated as a variety of perception corresponding to yogi-pratyakṣa of other systems. Yoga is said to have six limbs, breath-control, posture, giving inward direction to the external senses, meditation with interval, continuous meditation, and absorption. In this discourse a subtle distinction is made between perception and immediate apprehension. The latter is called aparokṣa (1971) Though the latter is generally identified with the former it is more often in other systems of thought used in connection with the apprehension of the highest truth.

The psychological approach too is found in the Avadhūta-Gītā. The account of perception is closely based on psychology. The

knowing agent is considered to have a body with five motor organs of speech, hand, foot, the organ of excretion and the organ of generation, and the five sensory organs. Inside the body there is a sense organ called manas and this word is translated as mind in the foregoing pages. With this the other sensory organs are in contact in order to give rise to the knowledge of their objects. Independently of them mind is the origin of feelings of pleasure and pain, imagination and other mental constructions. The knower, doer and enjoyer is called jīva, an individual self. By the control of these several organs an individual self is considered to have special powers. Through the practice of it the individual is supposed to have gained vogic powers, the culminating point of which is absorption, samādhi. All these operations however characterise the waking state. Empirical life consists of two other states, dream and dreamless sleep. In dream there are no activities of the external organs and sleep is marked by the absence of even the mental activities. But throughout these states, knowledge in essence operates. It is this shining principle which by its own merits gives rise to all the states and their several functions. The realisation of this principle is called the transcendent state. It is the state of beatific bliss, kaivalya.

Inference: Inference is the knowledge caused by reason. One has by reason of the knowledge of smoke, the knowledge of fire. On the part of the person who obtains this knowledge, the obtainment of this knowledge presupposes the knowledge that smoke implies fire and without fire there is no smoke. Inference is of the character of enquiry, vicāra. In this work several items of enquiry are mentioned as the enquiry into dimensions, short and long, the enquiry into difference and identity, bhinna-vibhinna-vicāra, the enquiry into the relationship of the external and internal, bahir-antara sandhi vicāra, the enquiry into the distinction between the moving and non-moving entities, carācara-bheda vicāra, and so on. (198) These enquiries indicate that a regular enquiry into all the problems of philosophy is indispensable. Nothing is too sacred for enquiry. Inference is called tarka. Its opposite is called vitarka. Inference gives rise to correct knowledge. Vitarka is defective reasoning. It does not give rise to right knowledge. In this connection the distinction between the correct and incorrect inferences also is presupposed.

Verbal Testimony: Like a sense organ and inference, verbal testimony also gives rise to knowledge. Under verbal testimony three aspects are distinguished. (1) Understanding something from what

others have said. The highest aspect of this understanding is stated 'The men of wisdom say that reality is one only'. The yogins understand all this,' (200) and so on. (2) 'Instruction given by a teacher. This instruction is called gurūpadeša.' (201) (3) The teaching of Śruti. This is illustrated by such passages as 'Thus by means of Śrutis' 'That thou art' and so on is established the truth with reference to self. That you are. You are all equipoise, being above all conditions.' (202)

(in) The Relative Value of these three Sources of Knowledge

With regard to the relative value of these three sources of knowledge the following may be noted. Perception is limited to the given in space and time only. Inference is based on perception. If it were to give the knowledge of things that are beyond what is given, it becomes either false or subject to doubt. A thing that is beyond what is given, if at all it is apprehended, is apprehended only by means of verbal testimony. As we have already noted, three cases of verbal testimony are distinguished. Of them the information given by others may be complete in itself. But unless the person to whom the information is given is prepared to follow what is said it is not fully useful. Instruction from a preceptor becomes therefore inevitable. Even with regard to this certain details are to be noted. There are preceptors and preceptors. In most cases the instructions given by them may not touch the core of truth. The preceptor in question may not have attained that eminence which is required for imparting the truth. Especially with regard to the highest truth, if it is understood that there is nothing empirical in it, there should naturally be nothing empirical in the instruction given. Throughout the present work, instruction with an empirical import is shown to be of no avail to one, who has the thirst for knowledge of the highest truth which is beyond all that is empirical. The author asks 'How can there indeed be any instruction of a preceptor?' Passages like this tell us that the instructions of empirical import are irrelevant to truth.

If the full implication of all this is properly appreciated then the conception of a real preceptor, guru, is not difficult to grasp. The author distinguishes the teacher of the truth as one who makes the pupil absorbed in the teaching and to this pupil he says, reality, that is, equipoise shines indeed. (2001) Verily this preceptor is Dattatreya himself. He is the preceptor of preceptors

How this preceptor is possible is the real problem. The answer is found in the concept of *Sruti*. The real preceptor is he who does not pose himself as the knower of the truth and its teacher. He is really only the shadow of *Sruti*. His thought is moulded by *Sruti*. For him to teach the truth is to expound the teaching of *Sruti*. To expound the teaching of *Sruti* is not to take something from *Sruti* and discuss it with great elaboration independently of *Sruti*. This is to confuse the non-empirical with the empirical. The principal idea may be taken from *Sruti* but the elaboration at some stage or other becomes mixed with empirical ideas. To get rid of this difficulty one must give up the habit of explaining things having kept *Sruti* out of sight. But the one who ever keeps *Sruti* in view is as a rule expounding *Sruti* itself.

Lord Dattatreya at every stage of the development of thought makes it clear that what he says is nothing but what Sruti teaches. He says, 'Sruti teaches adopting various methods, that all this is Brahman.'(204) He ever sees unity of teaching in Sruti. He says, 'All that forms the quintessence of the final teaching of Veda, knowledge and specialised knowledge is this. I am self, formless, all-pervading by nature.'(205) He indicates the subject matter of his exposition as: 'By the statement such as "That thou art" the real self is expounded.'(200) 'The Sruti "neither this nor that" illustrates that that which is made of the five-fold element is unreal'(207), and so on. He recognises how it is very difficult to arrive at unity of thought in Sruti. In illustrating this idea he says, 'Srutis expound the truth in various manners' (208) and 'Srutis in various ways. (209) He comes to the conclusion that without Veda truth is lost. He says 'That which is defined as aum'(210), that is, as only that which is expounded by Veda. These passages indicate how the present work is nothing but the exposition of Sruti.

The question naturally rises, how then are we to understand the import of Śruti? This is the real problem. The demand for solution is made more imperative by the way in which the highest truth is delineated throughout this work. The third chapter underlines with a special emphasis the negative way of presenting Brahman following the tradition of Śruti as expressed in 'not this, not this' neti neti of the Brhad-Āranyaka-Upaniṣad. The negative way of presenting Brahman is concluded in the third chapter as 'It is neither of the nature of void nor of the nature of non-void. It is neither of the nature of purity nor of the nature of impurity. Form or no form does

not find a place in it. Nothing but equipoise is the reality, absolute '(211)

Ponder on this deeply. It makes one highly reflective. For one who does not readily appreciate the highly secret nature of truth, Veda is after all a statement like all other statements. In this circumstance one is likely to give it just that meaning which one would give to any other statement. But the composition and evaluation of this meaning can never hope to transcend the empirical level, however exceptional the value given to it may be. Under this condition a person may think that what he knows is really Vedic. But in fact it is not. It does not stop merely with this negative idea. To believe in a wrong meaning of Veda is to lose Veda itself, because in the presence of this belief there is no possibility of correction. At this juncture Lord Dattatreya warns the student and points out that truth is beyond Veda. He says, 'There is no Veda . . . no preceptor'(212) and so on The expression, 'No Veda' does not dismiss Veda just as the expression 'no preceptor' does not dismiss preceptor. Just as a false preceptor is dismissed by 'no preceptor', so also Veda with false meaning, that is, the false meaning of Veda is dismissed. The author makes this warning really significant when he concludes a number of chapters with the expression, 'There is indeed no necessity for Veda with reference to truth." The reason he gives for this in the same context is that 'one never knows anything of the truth'. It is necessary to understand the implication of this reason. This reason after all characterises the truth. So it is a case of knowledge. What is the source of this knowledge? If it is anything other than Sruti, then the position becomes empirical To hold that the mind of Dattatreya is such that it breathes truth is after all a position beset with all difficulties to which an empirical position gives rise. The name Dattatreya does not help the situation as the name may be made use of for all sorts of purposes. So to bring in the conclusion of Dattatreya as a solution begs the question, as it is the assumption of the very truth that is expounded.

The key towards the solution of this difficulty is given by the author himself.

'The character of avadhūta must be understood by means of these letters by those who are the most holy, who know the truth contained in the meaning of the Vedic letters and who expound Veda and Vedānta.' What is the meaning of these two words, Veda and Vedānta and what is the relation of the two? By Vedānta is at times

understood *Upaniṣads*. Can we accept this meaning in the present connection? No. By *Veda* or *Sruti* the author cites the passages, 'That thou art' and so on. This means that by the words *Sruti* or *Veda* he understands *Upaniṣads* also. Hence *Vedānta* must mean something else. What is this something? The clue towards the solution is given by the expression, 'by those that understand the real heart of the meaning of the letters that constitute *Veda'*. This gives us an idea how all those meanings that claim to be *Vedic* are not really *Vedic*. The true *Vedic* meaning is attained by the reflection of those of a very sacred disposition, *bhāgavatottamās*. This verse is evidently a restatement of the discipline given by the very first verse of the work: 'The disposition of identity, that is, equipoise, occurs only through the grace of the Lord'. This point is further discussed under the head 'Discipline leading to liberation' in later pages.

The capacity to fix the heart of the Vedic meaning is a mark of great spirituality. It is not the property of all. Fixing is determining. Determining is the process of evaluation. Evaluation is the process of enquiry into the sound and unsound ideas in their distinction. The same is called enquiry into Brahman, Brahma-jijnāsā. Vedānta, the process of fixing the meaning of Veda. It is not mere Veda-vāda that is enough to understand truth. It must be coupled with Vedānta-vāda. The indispensable unity of these two is expressed as 'By those that are absorbed in the process of thinking consisting of Veda and Vedānta.' Lord Dattātreya clearly indicates the greatness or the complexity of this thinking at the very outset. He declares that what he is expounding is the quintessence of Vedānta in all its details. It is thus the truth, what one is supposed to possess after the correct understanding and appreciation of the very essence of Vedānta. What he teaches is not one view of the several views that may be based upon the essence of *Vedānta*. It is rather the whole view without giving room for any other possibility. It is all that is to be realised, sarvasva.

The theory of knowledge underlying this teaching thus gives the aspirant that truth which is all-inclusive, having nothing beyond itself. This is how it establishes the truth of equipoise, samarasa.

11. Ontology: The Theory of Being

The Ontology of Avadhūta-Gītā may be studied under two heads: the empirical and the absolute.

- 1. The Empirical Reality: The world consisting of manifold aspects, spirits, that is, the individual selves and matter consisting of all that is non-spirit, is the subject matter of this study. To decide the subject matter and to define it is of very great significance in philosophical thinking. The present discourse presupposes that the world with all its different aspects, irreducible in character, presents an organised and unitary system following its own law and order. The recognition of the world as an orderly system is the one significance of the expressions like 'Know that the whole world is . . .'(215), 'The whole world is presented to be . . .'(216), 'The whole world is of the five-fold element'(217), and so on In those passages an emphasis is laid on the concept of the whole. It is an expression of the recognition of the world as an orderly system.
- (1) The World of Material Objects. The material objects are reduced to five elements. earth, water, fire, air and ether. (218) They are characterised by attributes like sound and so on. (219) But this does not mean that this position is similar to that of Vaisesika Consistently with the other ideas connected with the material world, the ideas like prairti and mahat, the expression sound and so on must be taken to be the language of subtle elements, tanmātrās. Along with the tanmatras the eleven organs, indrivas, five motor, five sensory and mind, manas, may be taken up consistently with the spirit of the outlook that governs the whole thought. All these entities have their origin in what is called ahamkara-tattva which in its turn has its origin in mahat-tattva. This is indicated by the author's statement. 'The world beginning with mahat', (220) and so on. The spirit of this statement makes it clear that the world consisting of these items is the object of common experience, because it is this world that is said not to appear in the case of the philosopher, avadhūta. He says, 'Nothing of the world beginning with mahat and so on appears to me.'(221) This world has its origin in the root matter called prakrit. The root matter consists of three factors, satva, rajas and tamas The theory of causal relation that governs the whole thought is evidently what may be called existent-product-theory, sat-kāryatāda, upholding at the same time God's wonderful creatorship. The world beginning with mahat-tattva is the object of experience. It is therefore manifested, vyakta. The non-manifested, avyakta is its cause. It is the root matter, prakrti. God is the origin of both the root matter, prakrti and its evolution. God is the maintainer and the destroyer of all. This is clear from the very expressions such as 'The

whole world beginning with mahat-tattva'(222); 'The manifested is the whole world and the non-manifested is the root matter, prakrti'(223); 'All this is made by the self'(224); 'By the mere fact of the Lord all this of moving and non-moving entities is produced, it exists and is destroyed.(225)

The whole world consisting of these various elements exists in space and time. Space is extension. It gives extendedness to the things existing in it. Time is duration. It gives duration to the things existing in it. Of the two, time and space, time is taken to be the origin of space. The author says, 'Without time space does not exist'. (226) The process of the world is beginningless and endless to a superficial mind. But to a man of wisdom everything however enduring it may appear to be has a definite beginning and end. This is the implication of the passages such as, 'There are neither the *Vedas*, nor the world, nor the Gods . . . *Brahman* alone is the only Reality', (227) and 'The surface is illusion. The middle is correct knowledge. The truth ought to be understood as being in the heart of the middle'. (228)

(ii) The Individual Self: An individual self is called in Sanskrit, jīva. It is essentially a knower. It is in a body. If it is taken to be confined to the body in which it is housed, it is considered to be an individual having other individual selves on the one hand and the whole of the material world on the other set over against it. Its body is made of the five elements. (220) It is signified as 'I'. It is supposed to be the doer and enjoyer of the results of its doing. The narrow outlook of the individual self causes distinction between different items of action. In these circumstances some action is identified as auspicious and some other inauspicious. In this connection 'doership' and 'enjoyership' are superimposed on the individual. Each of its actions is traced to some past action and it is supposed to have a reference to future action. To explain this chain of action, past, present and future continuity of the world is posited. This is implied in the following passage in which the author points out that it is a wrong view.

'Of the action, past, present and future, I am not the doer. Nor am I the enjoyer. This is my realisation giving no room for doubt.'(230) The man being ignorant of truth finally assumes that action is beginningless and endless. The whole cause of life is thus made an assumption and a super-imposition. All about reality is forgotten. Appearance is mistaken for reality. Reality that transcends appearance is denied. The egotistic tendency in the individual self finds no bound. The whole life has thus become an aggregate of

baseless imaginations and illusions. Under this condition different theories of the individual self and its enduring character are expounded and they are made popular and thus unconsciously or consciously an attempt is made to keep away the whole of humanity from truth.

Illusion is suffering. Life is nothing but illusion. Illusion is not passive. It breeds further illusion. There is finally the illusion that mistakes misery for happiness. With it different items of miseries are selected and encouraged. It is thought that there is nothing higher than what man can do. Even the possibility of real happiness is completely forgotten.

Placed in this predicament an individual self is supposed to go from one body to another. Receiving a new body is birth. Leaving it is death. Thus a secondary meaning is attributed to birth and death and its enduring character is emphasised. It is supposed that it is by its own merit constantly engaged in ceaseless movements. The Lord in condemnation of a view like this says 'O Mind! Why do you, being deluded, run away like a ghost?' (231) An individual self is thus in endless bondage. It falsely hopes to get release by means of certain other delusions which only bind it further without the question of releasing it. The Lord explains this point in all its details in the course of his teaching.

(m) The Individual Self and Society: This world and the other worlds. The discourse of the Avadhūta-Gītā refers to a definite social life which is highly evolved with all the developments of cultural and spiritual institutions. An individual begins his life in a family from a father and in the womb of a mother. He has the environment in which he can lead a life according to the satisfaction of his desire. In childhood he is engaged in innocent activities. In youth he is after worldly pleasures. In adult life he has his own way of doing things having all the comforts of a house, servants and so on. He has a party to serve and a party to defeat. He has the partnership of a wife to enrich life and for posterity. He belongs to a caste and community or he is casteless. He belongs to a definite order of life and he follows a definite discipline (varna and āśrama). He has his own relatives to serve and enemies to destroy. He has the power to inflict injury on others or to give protection and comfort. He is engaged in sacrifice. The Gods are pleased with it. There is the Veda to give him this knowledge. He has the capacity to interpret things to suit his convenience. He has the good, purusārthas, to realise in the form of duty, wealth,

desire and liberation (dharma, artha, kāma and mokṣa). To realise each of these he has definite institutions. There is a definite code of good and bad actions. Good actions give rise to merit, punya, in the doer. The bad ones cause demerit, pāpa. Merit takes the individual after death to the world of happiness, and demerit to the world of misery. Accordingly the paths are defined. The path of smoke, dhāma-mārga takes one to hell; and the path of light, dīpti-mārga, to heaven, svarga. (232) Everything is thus in its place. Law and order govern everything. One can safely depend upon things around one with a sense of security. There is the system of preceptor and student and education to help knowledge. Above all there is wealth to give every comfort. (233) Added to this the mind is capable of creating happiness and a happy environment. (234) What more do we expect from civilisation? What need is there to bother about ideas that are away from this world of here and now?

(iv) From asat to sat (Lead me from the unreal to the real). From the empirical to the transcendent: from appearance to reality: from the individual to the universal.

Lord Dattātreya effectively opposes a view like the above with regard to the reality of life. 'Having left the immortal self which is no other than the state of equipoise, the imperishable freedom, this crow-like man has gone astray preparing himself to go to hell.' (235)

He points out how foolish it is to think that life here is all that exists. He illustrates how it is fallacious to hold that the highest organisations can bring us happiness. He shows how the very idea of the beginning of life and its direction has nothing commendable in it. By way of illustrating this idea he says: 'That, such as excretion, is the fearful hell. The secret organ is made of the same stuff. Why do you not see? O mind! Why do you run into it?'(236) He asks the man of the world to reflect a little if he can really convert misery into happiness.

He notes a fundamental defect in the empirical view of things however promising it may appear to be. He says 'Beginning with duty and ending with liberation we have absolutely no desire to attain to anything. How can the men of wisdom imagine things on the basis of attachment and detachment?'(237) His idea is that all empirical organisations are utterly irrelevant to a person who is indifferent to them. But can a man of the world be indifferent to the truth? Can his illusion give him real solace in life?

The Lord at the same time illustrates how all the high concepts made use of by a man of empirical interest are hollow and are far removed from truth. He says: 'It is not the same as release. It is not the same as bondage. It is not the same as merit. It is not the same as demerit. It is not the same as fullness. It is not the same as poverty. It is all equipoise. Why do you bother in mind about its nature?' (238)

So he asks the student to find out and understand the truth, the absolute state of equipoise, sarvasama.

(v) The World of Reality Is knowledge of Reality possible? This question is inevitable. Everything that we know is unreal. The previous considerations have led us to this conclusion. All we know is unreal. Our knowledge is unreal. We ourselves are unreal Everything connected with us is unreal. All our reflections are therefore unreal and our conclusions are unreal. How is then the knowledge of reality possible? How can the knowledge which is unreal in itself present the real? If all that is is unreal, then to whom should the knowledge present the real? What is the status of knowledge in this circumstance? Considerations like these are involved in the original question. Is knowledge of reality possible?

The Lord's answer to this question is found in the two concluding verses of the first chapter. He notes there that there is no source of the knowledge of reality except the proper preceptor. The real preceptor is he who is absorbed in the state of equipoise, sama-rasa-magna, purified by meditation, bhāvita-pūta, and philosopher, avadhūta. In the light of Brhad-āranyaka-Upanisad, the state of equipoise, sama, is the highest truth. Equipoise is the essence of Reality. The preceptor is one who is merged in this essence. Because of this circumstance his whole life is holy. He has nothing worldly in him. He is a philosopher. Instruction pertaining to the nature of reality must come from him

The Lord defines the nature of this instruction as being based on 'knowledge in essence' brought to light by philosophy. This knowledge is a case of spontaneity. It is conditioned by nothing empirical. It is ever there. It shines all in all when the empirical life ceases to operate. Philosophy is its presupposition. In the light of the present teaching knowledge stands for two ideas. (1) Knowledge behind philosophy and (2) Knowledge resulting from the study of philosophy. The identity of these two cases of knowledge is signified by the whole expression of these two ideas. 'Knowledge in its essence' is thus the basis of philosophy though it is said to be manifest from the latter.

So the whole cause of knowledge is 'knowledge in its essence'. How is then this knowledge different from ordinary knowledge?

The Lord's answer is that it is different. Though it is said to have its origin in philosophy, it is spontaneity. For this reason philosophy partakes of its nature. Nothing empirical can be identical with this. The implication of this position is very great. Without philosophy human reason appears to solve all problems. Some aspect of this attempt is generally called philosophy. Whatever the depth of this philosophy be, from the standpoint of philosophy recognised by the Lord, it goes counter to the latter. Its origin is individual experience with all its limitations and relative character. It can never approach reality. But yet there is the talk of reality in it. Most of the attributes of reality are considered by it. But it still remains a mere talk. It consists in the end of plain words as the meaning of a word and in this circumstance is necessarily bound to have only an empirical significance. If a person who serves a master to attain selfish ends and who has become expert in this direction, happens to think of God, he thinks of Him only in terms of his experience, that is, as one who helps him to achieve his selfish ends. The real idea of God never occurs to his mind. It is in fact meaningless to him, as he is seriously attached to what he thinks.

With reference to his thought the word God is borrowed but the meaning is his own. Somebody may tell him the meaning, but what is said is nothing but a word and he has his own meaning for it. It is indeed difficult to escape from this web. Explanation borrows words and words are taken to have only the meaning that never goes beyond individual limits. Take the case of happiness for example. To a man of commonsense, happiness is material. If he were to hear that liberation gives happiness he may use the same expression, better than the teacher, but the happiness he attributes to it is nothing beyond the empirical.

In the light of these considerations it is possible to see that in all the philosophy that we generally talk of there is nothing but the process of learning new words for old ideas and in some cases an imaginary extension of the ideas. For instance we are familiar with a human ruler. On this basis the world ruler is imagined and he is called God. This is nothing but the extension of the ideas connected with ruling. On the analogy of a human ruler God is imagined. He is therefore similar to a human ruler. God as absolute ruler is never understood.

In what we call scientific learning there is the increase of the objective items, but no corresponding increase of knowledge. In such cases what is learnt is the same, that is, the law, the principle and the application of knowledge are the same and the objective contents are different. So even though the knowledge of science is supposed to grow, man is not developed. He is the same. From the point of view of knowledge he has never gone far from the starting point.

Similarly in other cases of knowledge he has only developed his imagination but not knowledge. At best he knows only how to please others with his fine imagination, expression and experiment. But he has no peace within himself. His different faculties are constantly at war with themselves. He does not accept what he believes. His imagination may be appreciated by others, but is a burden to him. He prescribes things for others but he never follows them. He is a teacher, but his teaching is of no avail to him. No peace comes out of it. To be of a civilisation or not of it makes no difference in this circumstance, because materially all civilisations are the same, because as they are they do not transcend empirical import.

Empirical knowledge is in truth no knowledge. Knowledge in the real sense is the identity of philosophy and 'knowledge in its essence'. The latter without the former is uncontrolled and the former without the latter is myopic. The identity of the two is life itself. It is the gift of knowledge. In the case of empirical knowledge there is no relation between the two. One does not lead to the other. Empirical life is therefore of no knowledge and of no reality. All this is illustrated in the course of this teaching in greater detail.

Knowledge: Of the two limbs of knowledge, philosophy and 'knowledge in essence', in understanding the nature of knowledge the latter must not be made the starting point. To start from 'knowledge in its essence' ends in subjectivism as it gives no room for the objective test. One therefore ought to start from philosophy and arrive at 'knowledge in its essence' in its light. This is the significance of the Lord's insistence on the point that 'knowledge in its essence' ought to be manifested by philosophy. This is not to make the former dependent on the latter. But it is to remove possible errors that may be committed in the individual interests. 'Knowledge in its essence' being the same in all circumstances the disciplined approach to it is more appropriate than the undisciplined one. Further without discipline which is no other than philosophy 'knowledge in its essence' can never be conceived. Philosophy is therefore indispensable.

What is philosophy? To begin with it must transcend all that is empirical consisting in their broad sense of the fields of perception, inference and verbal testimony called instruction, upadeśa. Its subject matter must be that one reality underlying all reality without exception. It must have no other interest.

Of the three sources of knowledge, perception and inference are governed by our likes and dislikes, but the case of verbal testimony is different. In empirical life its meaning is conditioned by empirical ways of thinking and in this circumstance the verbal testimony is not left to itself. If a person were to put to himself the question—What I understand to be the meaning of the word in question is attributed by me to the word; if so what is the real meaning of the word? Then the person has mentally entered into the field of the non-empirical, To put this question effectively the person must have studied the whole field of human language and reduced it to a system with the governing principle of the whole system. He must at the same time see that the relation between the word and its meaning is spontaneous being subject to nobody's will. If he is able to attain to this position, then only is he able to appreciate the function of verbal testimony in the scheme of knowledge. This frame of mind is sure proof that this is a case of realisation. It is the ability to recognise Veda as Veda.

Veda is not limited by experience. It is therefore the one source of the knowledge of the Ultimate. To be the source of this knowledge it needs to be recognised as Veda. This is the recognition of the distinctive feature of Veda. Veda is impersonal. It comes from no author. It is self-established. For there is no author to give it validity. It presupposes nothing and is followed by nothing. Hence it is eternal and indispensable. It is for this reason signified in Sanskrit as apauruṣeya, impersonal, svataḥ-pramāna, self-valid, and nitya, eternal and indispensable.

The term Veda is not something that can be applied to any piece of literature. It cannot be applied to an instruction, a revelation or a revealed scripture, because all these presuppose experience. Without experience or the knowledge of the taught there is no instruction. Further a revelation needs to be intelligible. This is to admit that the meaning of revelation is already familiar to the person to whom revelation occurs. Veda is therefore profoundly different from revelation. Veda comes from the root vid to discover. It is therefore something the meaning of which is to be found out. The process of

finding out the meaning is called Vedanta. Veda and Vedanta are therefore one in purpose. Without Veda, Vedanta has no occasion. But without Vedānta, Veda has no purpose to serve. This means that Veda and Vedanta must exist in a single mind. The absence of the one means the absence of the other. If they are, then they are together. Otherwise they are not. Learning Veda without Vedanta is not to have Veda. It is the negation of Veda. Learning Vedanta without Veda is irrelevant. It is therefore the negation of Vedānta. Both are thus together or they are together absent. The popular idea that one knows Veda and the other Vedanta and so on is not based on truth because it creates a gulf between Veda and Vedanta. Sound knowledge requires a careful study of both by the same person. To find out the meaning of Veda is to see harmony in the whole of Veda with reference to a single meaning. The meaning of a Vedic word therefore must be such that it must be completely in harmony with the rest of the Vedic teaching.

From this point of view, even perception and inference which were once supposed to go against Veda come to have a fresh significance and with this significance they become one with Veda The observation that experience consisting of perception and inference is limited refers only to that circumstance which does not give room for Veda. But in the presence of Veda these two sources of knowledge gain fresh significance and with this, life becomes completely spiritualised. In this circumstance what is presented by perception and inference is an aspect of Truth and it is no longer second to truth. The same body perceived at the empirical level is something opposed to Truth. Against Truth it demands selfishness. The same body perceived at the spiritual level amplifies truth, because then it illustrates omniscience and the omnipotence of Truth Similarly a position reasoned out at the empirical level is not higher than imagination and it has reference to a relative idea. Take for instance the God inferred as the author of the world. Though it is called God on the empirical level it is in no way better than a pot-maker having all his limitations. But God as the author of the world on the spiritual level is purely transcendent and beyond limitation.

So Vedanta is the language of harmony in the Vedic teaching. With regard to finding out harmony apparently two standpoints offer themselves — non-duality, advaita and duality, dvaita. Lord Dattatreya accepts neither. He rather asks us not to bother about them He seriously asks how can one be free from duality and non-

duality if one continually engages one's self in knowledge and non-knowledge? (239)

Against these view-points he emphasises the outlook of equipoise. In the light of *Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka Upaniṣad* this view-point is free from all prejudice. Duality and non-duality find in it equal recognition. Neither is sacrificed for the sake of the other. Neither is over emphasised. The state of equipoise is the truth that pervades through all of the grades of reality, including duality and non-duality. The author defines the man of wisdom, the philosopher, as absorbed in the essence of truth, that is, equipoise.

Viewed from the point of view of the state of equipoise *Veda* with reference to verbal meaning without insight needs to be transcended. It is for this reason in the concluding verses of several chapters the Lord reminds the student of the insufficiency of *Veda* and in this connection he commends the importance of teaching of the philosopher who is *avadhūta*, the outcome of 'knowledge in its essence' based on philosophy.

The present work Avadūta-Gītā is an example of this. It does not replace Veda. It rather emphasises the importance and indispensability of Veda and Vedānta. All this is the necessary implication of basing 'knowledge in its essence' on philosophy. This implication is further justified by the fact that the Lord calls the Truth he expounds Brahman as it is clear from passages like 'It is established that all this is Brahman. Śruti teaches the same truth in various ways.' (240)

2. The Absolute: Brahman

Lord Dattātreya brings out the significance of the concept of Brahma by various expressions such as equipoise, sama, equipoise-essence, sama-rasa, knowledge immortal, jnānāmṛta, self, Ātman, I, aham, the highest, paramam, the Reality, tattvam, the auspicious, śiva, and so on. Each of these words nas its individual significance. It shines absolutely in its own context. Each idea he makes use of is an exposition of the relevant Vedic position. His exposition of Brahman or of Vedic thought tolerates no dualism. At the same time it is far from barren non-duality or identity. His is of the essence of equipoise which is all inclusive and all transcendent. It is the one possible explanation of all that exists in various ways. Nothing in existence is opposed to it and nothing is an addition to it. World or no world it is the same. Apart from it there is nothing. There is nothing with it. Even non-knowledge and illusion are not outside it. Pleasure, pain

and such other qualities as well as relative nature do not fall outside it. It is the essence of equipoise in the most exhaustive sense. It is the only explanation of the universe. It is not auspicious as opposed to the inauspicious. It is complete. It pervades all. Its auspicious character is such that the auspicious and inauspicious are the same to It. It is not the mere creator and sustainer of the universe. It is the essence of the universe. It is devoid of internal and external distinctions As being the essence of equipoise, It is beyond comprehension and beyond exposition. To say that It is 'this' is wrong, because it presupposes distinction of 'that'. To say that It has no distinction is wrong because it presupposes the distinction of that which has distinction. To say that It is the creator is wrong because it presupposes the created apart from It. To say that It is the ruler is wrong because it presupposes the ruled. There is for the same reason no self that knows It, meditates upon It, reflects upon It and realises It It has nothing objective in it because it is the very essence of the subject. It is not something known, meditated upon, reflected on and realised. It is a unity admitting of no division. It is in itself distinctionless. It is partless, akhanda, complete, pūrna and selfestablished, eka, establishing all in the same circumstance. (241)

The world considered to be different from It is unreal. That which modifies It is unreal. That which goes against It is unreal. That which does not recognise It, does not amplify It and make It all in all is unreal. That which goes with It is Itself. It is the all-absorbing Reality. The Lord illustrates this Truth by the example of space. This is only an illustration and it has all the limitations of an illustration. Though the world appears to have its own individuality, it is not without the element in it which finally negates it and in its place installs Brahman, the all-reality. Looked at properly, everything, whatever its disposition may be, is an evidence of this highest truth. (242)

The Lord's study of Brahman can be brought under two heads: the proof and the conclusion.

Proof:—There are in the world all aspects of reality with all shades. Certain entities are apparent and certain others are non-apparent. Some are relative and some appear to be absolute. Some are good and some are evil. Some are auspicious and some are non-auspicious. Some have binding effect and some appear to effect freedom. Some entities are limited and some appear to be unlimited. Some are opposed to truth and some are unopposed. Some appear to be spiritual and some non-spiritual. Some are divine and some are

non-divine. Some are rational and some are irrational. Some are real and some are illusory.

Each of these conflicting elements has its own place in life. Each location is equally significant with others. Each is as indispensable as others. Each is relative to others. Relativity is the law of existence. Even the so called non-relative is relative to the relative. The so-called absolute is relative to the non-absolute. There is nothing that falls outside the system. Even illusion has its own place and it has to fulfil a definite purpose. The removal of it ensures knowledge. Similarly without misery happiness is not promised.

The contents of the world may be relative. But what is the status of the world as a whole? The Lord's answer is that it is equally relative—the relative world being related to the absolute world and vice-versa. Supposing that this absolute is called God, it is easy to see that He is after all relative to the relative world. He is therefore no God. All the same there is this idea of God operating in different minds based purely on imagination. This is also an item of the world. The ideas of worship and so on are the contents of this item. They are all with all their circumstances nothing but imagination.

Thus the contents of the world are relative. The ideas are relative. The world is relative. Unity, identity, non-duality and duality are relative. Even to say that they are relative is relative.

Does the relative exist by itself? Does it establish itself? 'No' says the Lord. The relative existing by itself is a contradiction in terms. The non-relative is therefore the explanation of the relative. The non-relative is God. In the very opening passage of the Avadhūta-Gītā he definitely concludes that all circumstances of an individual self and its realisations are the work of God. The circumstance and realisation of an individual self comprise all that exist because being relative it presupposes all that is relative to it. It is all the work of God. Without God there is neither circumstance nor realisation. God is therefore the explanation of all. This means that the relative exists in the non-relative. The non-relative is necessarily beyond all that is relative. It is God. If anything relative is introduced into it, then it falls short of God and becomes a mere idea like other ideas that are the content of the world. The truth of God is therefore such that it establishes the relative without Itself being relative in any sense.

After expounding the non-relative character of God the Lord takes up the question of the relation of God to the world. Is God related to the world? To hold any relation is to bring down God to the

level of the world. One cannot be blind to the implication of this proposition. If God is brought to a lower plane it simply means that the problem of finding the source of all remains the same. That which is relative in any sense cannot be the source of all. So he discards all relative ideas and points out that God is that by which all this is filled up by means of Itself in Itself. Its non-relative character is such that once you understand It then you do not see anything else It is all identity. It is the self of all. It is all pervading. It is all identity. It is self-existence. It is at the same time the maker of the relative. This is its wonderful power. The relative does not exist as a second to God. It is the mark of the wonderful capacity of God. As such, the relative is the mark of God. If once God is understood then there is no longer the relative, because it is then the world of 'all', that is, God. This is the Truth of Self, Atman. To understand this is to see that all this is God, that is, Brahman. It is the truth of equipoise, Sama.

Conclusion:—The Lord points out that to understand this Truth by the study of philosophy is to see the very essence of Vedānta, vedanta-sara-sarvasva. The essence of Vedanta is the following: Equipoise, sama is the truth complete. It is complete in the sense that It is all and there is nothing outside It. But It does not negate all, because in the face of negation of all there is no occasion to establish It. It is devoid of all the implications of the concepts, duality and identity. (243) Duality negates the complete. Identity makes the complete non-operative. But the Truth is complete As complete, the Truth itself negates duality and identity. The Lord observes 'The imagination of duality and identity is indeed the greatest of the delusions." He says 'How can I say that all this is identity or duality?'(245) and concludes that the Truth is knowledge immortal, the essence of equipoise, comparable to space(246), that is, untainted and the real self in every individual. He characterises this truth as 'That which presupposes nothing and admits of no cause behind it.'(1447) It is for this reason the auspicious of the auspicious.

III THE THEORY OF LIBERATION, MOKŞA

The Lord defines liberation as the unconditioned existence characterised by equipoise, having no beginning and end and transcending knowledge as such. (248) It is beyond everything that is relative. In this state there is neither knowledge nor non-knowledge.

It is a state of all enduring awareness. It is free from all defects. It is auspiciousness itself. It is more than the state of bliss. It is equipoise. It is untainted by relativity in any sense. It is absolutely immediate. It has nothing mediate in it. It is knowledge in its essence, svabhāvabhāva. It is pure existence, being complete in itself. It is the self, Atman. It is Brahman.

THE DISCIPLINE LEADING TO MOKSA

Lord Dattatreya sees no meaning in the conceptions of discipline made popular by various *Darśanas* such as *yoga* with its six limbs, instructions by preceptors and institutional morality. He sees that they are irrelevant to the truth of self, *Ātman* which is pure in itself. He says:—

'That which is pure is not attained by means of the discipline of yoga with its six limbs. Nor is it attained by the destruction of the mind. Not is it attained by the instruction of a preceptor. Verily it is Itself. It is the Reality. It is self-established.'(249) Knowledge does not lead to it. Reasoning does not help it. No meditation, no discipline and no spatial and temporal conditions make it realised. There is no preceptor to teach it. It is knowledge in its essence. It is 'I'. It is Reality. It is untainted even as space is. It is self-established. It is ever enduring. The Lord says:—

'This knowledge is not of the character of human reasoning, nor is it the meditation prescribed by yoga. It does not presuppose particular space and time. It is not obtained by means of the instruction of a preceptor. It is consciousness itself. It is reality. It is like space. It is spontaneity. It is stable.' (250)

In order to understand it one ought to be free from attachment and hatred. To do good to others must be the very disposition of this one. One's knowledge of the Truth must be beyond doubt. The Lord says:—

'The person who is free from attachment and hatred, who is intent upon doing good to all creatures, whose knowledge is stable and who is irresistible attains the highest Truth.'(251)

One must possess wisdom. One must be able to appreciate the Truth beyond conception, beyond relativity, the Truth which shines beyond all and is in itself. The Lord says:—

'Spontaneous, unborn, ununderstandable is the essence. If one realises it and to one's entire satisfaction enjoys it, then one is never tainted

by defects. Merely because this realisation has not occurred even once, one ought not to do any action. This is how a mendicant or a person who practises austerity is not bound."(252)

There is no use of a blind study of even Veda There is no use of practising any religion with great devotion. There is no use in following the instructions of particular teachers. The Truth is beyond all this. The Lord observes:—

There is required no *Veda*, no discipline, no sacrifice connected with removing hair, no teacher, no pupil, no wealth of different kinds and no religious marks. That is the Lord Self, *Atman*, the all-enduring. It he attains to.'(253)

To speak of liberation in terms of bliss betrays one's self-interest. In a sense it is an expression of the narrow individuality. Liberation is therefore beyond bliss.

One must be very careful in following all these ideas. The Truth is equipose. It is beyond all relativity. It transcends even the state of equipose in case it has in its purview anything relative. It is beyond all Gods. The God who stands outside the creation in any sense is no God. The God who is considered to be within the heart of the world may after all be relative to the world. He is therefore no God. The God who is incapable of giving rise to the universe without in any sense being modified by the creation, the God who is incapable of explaining all aspects of the world, good and evil, right and wrong, real and unreal without giving room for duality in any sense is no God. To hold that God is the only reality, to hold that the world is unreal or to hold that God is a mere abstract does not solve the problem of God or of the world. To concentrate on God in an exclusive sense is unwarranted, because God must be all-inclusive and all-explanatory. To deny the world is to deny God, because with the denial of the world the problem of having a God is denied. The consideration of the reality or the unreality of the world for its own sake is not justified unless it has in view the establishment of the truth of equipoise The Lord compares the world to a mirage, only to illustrate the absolute character of the truth of equipose. If this truth is appreciated then the questions of duality and identity lose their significance. Realisation of this truth has a practical importance. With this realisation the common ways of worship, meditation and discipline disappear and in their place contemplation on the truth of equipoise emerges slowly. With more and more of realisation the same pristine and venerable expressions like 'equipoise' and others gain

more and more significance. Thus to a discerning eye there is throughout a systematic growth of spiritual discipline presented by the Lord. The discourse therefore mirrors the spiritual growth of the person in question.

The Truth of equipoise mercilessly demands a way of life consistent with it, a way of life that leads to its realisation, and a way of life by which the person attains to this truth. The Lord notes that the truth is the highest Reality which is not relative even to the relation of the teacher and the taught. (254) He insists thereby that what usually takes place between a teacher and a pupil is irrelevant to truth, because truth is all transcendent.

The Lord has so far indicated the negative aspect of the discipling. What we have to note in this connection is that what is negated by the Lord is not so much the negation of the particular words, knowledge, preceptor and so on, but it is the negation of the thought behind these expressions. With the correct understanding of the truth all these terms become retained with a fresh significance. An appreciation of this aspect of the teaching has a great disciplinary significance. A word by itself is innocent. It is neither the cause of happiness nor of misery. This is the circumstance connected with even those words that figure in the expression of opposites such as happiness and misery; reality and unreality; good and evil and so on. In these cases the real opposition is not between words but between meanings attached to them. It is therefore the meaning that is the cause of different emotions. But commonly the words are made much of and the effect of the meaning is totally ignored. Such is the case with duality, identity and so on. When the Lord says that the truth is not this nor that he is considering the meanings of the words, but never the words themselves. He is prepared even to reject the term 'equipoise', sama, if a lower or relative meaning is attached to it; and he is in the same breath prepared to signify the Truth as identity, advaita or duality, dvaita, provided the correct meaning is apprehended. It must not be forgotten that after all he is out to determine the meaning of Veda having the whole of Veda in view. He readily respects all words provided the meaning is truly Vedic, that is, it is truly the expression of the truth of equipoise, sama, the absolute of the absolutes.

The philosophy of 'equipoise', samarasa, is in no sense forced on the Vedānta thought. As it is already noted, Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka-Upaniṣad expounds this truth as the meaning of the whole Veda.

The same is substantiated in the Atadhūta-Gītā To understand this is to see the unity of thought both in Śruti and in Smrti. Not to see the unity of thought in them is often due to the mistake of trying to draw too close a distinction between duality and identity. Of the two, duality and identity, both are true and both are false The history of Vedānta thought illustrates this point Men of wisdom have from the beginning insisted on the perfect unity of the Vedic thought This is beautifully illustrated by the Yoga-Vāsistha—

'Duality and identity are innate even as a tree is innate in the body of the seed. One who sees the world beginning with cit (the Ultimate Truth) and ending with an atom (an insignificant thing) (with an outlook consistent with this truth) is one who really sees (255)

'Neither duality nor identity neither the seed nor the sprout neither the gross nor the subtle is not non-born, but it is really born '(256)

Neither duality nor non-duality is therefore the truth Each is born Each is the work of the imagination. Each is the negation of truth The philosophy of the Vedas is therefore neither duality nor identity. It is the philosophy of equipoise, sama, as the Brhad-Āranyaka Upaniṣad puts it. The same is the philosophy that Dattātreya has expounded in the Avadhūta-Gītā.

It is with the background of these ideas that the positive side of the Lord's teaching can be appreciated. He says 'Avadhūta' absorbed in the essence of identity and purified by the contemplation over it expounds carefully this highest truth '123". One must realise that this is a serious discourse. In recognition of the seriousness and purity of the exposition, the Lord calls it the highest and purest, pralapana He notes thereby that the usual instructions never help correct understanding. He says—

From the gurn to whom this discourse is given, to him the Reality, equipoise, shines itself '(258). So he distinguishes the real preceptor from the false one. The reason he has already given for this is to be kept ever in the mind

This is expounded on the strength of knowledge in essence' based on philosophy (249)

All this means that without the proper preceptor it is not possible to understand the truth. Mere imagination or system-making

according to individual taste does not help knowledge. To a person who is prepared to receive instruction, the preceptor is ever there and his instruction is ever ready to help him. Both are presented in what is called *Veda* and *Vedānta* together forming a unity of thought.

To approach this aspect of discipline requires purity of heart. This purity is marked by non-attachment to the things of the world including the ideas of the truth. This is detachment of the highest kind. It is the complete absence of the three-fold desire, desire for sons, desire for wealth and desire for woman.

The person who is endowed with detachment must have the devotion to truth, 'equipoise'. The Lord demands: 'Therefore the mind must be protected from all evils. If it is free from worries, then the intellect is able to operate.' (260) To the person who is equipped in this manner the Lord says:

'By the Lord Dattātreya, the philosopher, the embodiment of peace itself is expounded this great truth. Those who study it and appreciate it, to them there is no rebirth.'(261) After the teaching of the Byhad-Āranyaka-Upaniṣad 'O dear, Self must be realised, heard, that is, understood, meditated on and appreciated.'(262) This is the one process of discipline leading to liberation, union with Dattātreya, the essence of equipoise, samarasa.

dattādvaitam param tattvam yathā-mati jayoditam dattasyaivārpitam tat syāt sarvam datta-mayam śivam

'Equipoise which is no other than Datta, that is, Dattātreya, is the highest truth. As inspired by Him it is expounded by Jaya—Sri Jaya Chamarajendra Wadiyar Bahadur. May it be dedicated to Dattātreya Himself. All this is Dattātreya, the Auspicious of the Auspicious.'

O Gods! May we (the seekers) hear with (our ears) the auspicious (truth of Vedānta). May we, the performers (of sacrifice which is no other than meditation) see with our eyes of knowledge the auspicious forms of the truth. May we, who are possessed of organs (drawn away from the external world and fixed) on the subtle truth attain an existence free from defects, of a duration prescribed by Deva (Prajā-pati). May Indra, who is heard of by the ancients contribute towards our welfare. May the omniscient pūsan contribute towards our welfare. May Tārkṣya unhampered in his flight contribute towards our welfare. Auth. Peace, Peace, Peace.

3. THE AVADHUTA-GĪTĀ

Avadhūta-Gītā is another work composed by Śrī Dattātreya. It consists of eight chapters comprising in all 289 ślokas (verses) of different metres. The whole work is devoted to expounding self-knowledge, svātma-samvitti. The fourth chapter is given a special title, the Definition of Essence, svarūpa-nirnaya. The fifth chapter is entitled the exposition of insight into the truth of equipoise, sama-dṛṣti-kathana. The sixth chapter is entitled the determination of liberation, mokṣa, mokṣa-nirnaya.

The work claims to be an exposition of Vedic thought in the light of the teaching, 'That thou art' and so on. Consistently with this teaching it lays special stress on 'equipoise' in the name of sama, samarasa and so on. The tradition has given the name pure identity, śuddhādvaita, to this system of thought. Though the thought seems to be a variety of identity it has its own individuality. This point will be illustrated in a later section.

The style is Vedic or Upanisadic in character. It appears to be simple and commonplace But closer acquaintance and understanding reveal that it is very difficult to grasp the thought behind it. The thought is profound. To understand it presupposes a careful study of Darśana literature. It is apparently nothing but mere repetition of contradictory ideas but in reality it is a consistent growth of a very complex thought, slowly emerging from identical expressions

In the following pages an attempt is made to translate the Sanskrit verses. The translation of each verse of the first two chapters is followed by passages from *Sruti* presenting the same idea. The reason for this is the following. Lord Dattātreya in the course of his teaching repeatedly reminds the pupil that his teaching is nothing but an exposition of *Sruti*. In illustration of this idea it is thought that the statement of passages from *Sruti* may help to show the *Sruti* basis underlying each verse.

The passages of Sruti are taken only from the well-known ten Upanisads and these Upanisads are generally recognised to be purely philosophical in character. Judging from the standpoint of these ten Upanisads the disposition of Dattatreya's teaching may be determined.

In his exposition Dattatreya at times makes use of the identical expressions of *Upanişads*. Sometimes he makes use of similar expressions In particular connections he explains the position of *Sruti*. But in all these circumstances he keeps in view the entire

Śruti teaching so that he presents nothing independently of Śruti and what he teaches is the very essence of Śruti in its entirety, vedānta-sāra-sarvasva. All this will be indicated in particular contexts of the following exposition.

THE AVADHUTA-GĪTĀ

(Translation and the background of Sruti)

CHAPTER I

i. īśvarānugrahād-eva pumsām advaita-vāsanā mahadbhaya-paritrāņāt viprāņām upajāyate.

'By God's grace alone will there arise in men who are inwardly stirred the fragrance of non-duality which will save them from great fear.' Isa Upaniṣad. 1: īšāvāsyam idam sarvam yatkim ca jagatyām jagat. 'All this, whatever exists in this world of becoming, is permeated by the Lord.' The world is based on God. It is the work of God. Without the actual presence of God it does not exist. The Lord's pervasion is thus not passive. It is essentially operative. Against It one cannot have effort. What appears to be effort is an expression of disposition. That is the grace of God.

Māndūkya. 7: icchāmātram prabhoh sṛṣṭiḥ

'The Lord's creation is a fiat of his will.' According to Sankara this is $K\bar{a}nik\bar{a}$ by Gaudapäda and according to Madhva this is $M\bar{a}nd\bar{u}kya$ proper.

Katha (1.2.22): yani evaisa vrņute tena labhyah.

'He is obtained by him whom the Lord elects.'

Katha (1.2.20): pasyati . . . dhātu-prasādāt mahimānani ātmanaḥ 'He sees by means of His (Lord's) grace the all pervasion of Atman.'

2. yenedan püritam sarvam ātmanaivātmanātmani nirākāram katham vande hy abhinnam sivam avyayam.

'That by which all this is filled up is the self, by the same self it is created and in the same self it exists. How indeed can I pay my salutations to that which is formless, non-dual, auspicious and inexhaustible?'

Brhad-Āraņyaka-Upanişad, Santi says: pūrņasya pūrņam ādāya pūrņam eva avasisyate.

'Take away the complete from the complete. It is still complete.'

This truth is brought out as follows.--

Bṛhad-Ārankaya (1.4.17); atmaivedam-agra āsīt eka eva so kāmayate jāyā me syāt . . . ātmaivāsya karma. ātmanā hi karma karoti . . . pāntam idam sarvam yad idam kim ca tad idam sarvam āpnoti. ya evam veda.

There was only self before the creation of this world. It was one only. It desired 'I must have a wife. . . .' The activity of all this is self itself. It indeed takes place by means of self itself. By the same agency one has activity. . . . (By the same) all this is complete with all the accessories five in number. Whatever there is, all that is complete with all the accessories, that is, it is the work of the pervasion of the self. He who knows this obtains all this.'

Katha (2.5.14): arūpam . . . katham nu tad vijānīyām.

'It is formless . . . How indeed can I understand it?'

It is further said, neha nänästi kim ca na

'There is no distinction in it. (Distinction, like one who understands, understanding and the understood).'

Māndūkya 7 šīvam advaitam

'It is auspicious and non-dual.'

3. pancabhütātmakam visvam marīci-jala-sannibham kasyāpy aho namas-kuryām aham eko niranjanah.

The whole universe is nothing but the five elements. It is similar to the water super-imposed on the rays of the sun. To whom indeed shall I pay my salutation? I am the only being that is defectless? Tailliriya (2-1): tasmād vā etasmād ātmana ākāśah sambhūtaḥ. ākāśad vāyuh. vāyor agnih. agner āpaḥ. adbhyah pṛthivī.

From that self which is expounded here, in this text, ether came. From ether came air. From air came fire. From fire came water. From water came earth' So all that is created by self consists of five elements.

Bṛhad-Āranyaka (1.4.1): ātmaivedam agra āsīt. . . . nānyad ātmano apašyat sohain asmīty agra vyāharat tato ahain nāmābhavat.

There was only self before this creation. It saw nothing distinct from itself. At the outset it said 'He I am'. Therefore it became the bearer of the name "I".' Hence 'I' in this context should not be confused with the individual 'I'.

Mundaka (3.1.3) nıranjanah paramam samyam upaitı.

'He who realises it becomes defectless and attains to equipoise, the highest Truth.'

Katha (2.3.17): tam vidyāt sukram amrtam.

'One must understand It (the truth) to be pure and immortal.'
The idea is that the whole world of matter being similar to a mirage
is bondage. It is indeed the work of God. To realise this truth is to
transcend bondage.

4. ätmaiva kevalam sarvam bhedābhedo na vidyate asti nāsti katham brūyām vismayah pratibhāti me.

'All this is nothing but self. There is neither difference, non-difference, nor difference-non-difference. How can I say that it is or is not? I am rapt in wonder.'

Iša. 6: yas tu sarvāņi bhūtāni ātmany evānupašyati. sarva-bhūteşu cātmānam tato na vijugupsate. yasmin sarvāni bhūtāni ātmaivābhūd vijānatah.

'He who sees all things in the $\bar{A}tman$ and the $\bar{A}tman$ in all things is free from all aversion. To one who knows the truth all things become his Self.

Chāndogya (6.2.1): sad eva somyedam agra āsit.

'O, the aspirant of detachment and devotion. There was only existence before the creation of this.'

Taittiriya (3.7): asad vā idam agra āsīt.

'There was only non-existence before the creation of this world.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (1.2.1): naiveha kim ca nāgra āsīt.

'There was nothing before creation.'

To put these ideas together makes it impossible to define Self either as is or as is not. The only conclusion is:—

Katha (1.2.7): āścaryo vaktā . . . āścaryo jnātā.

'The speaker of it is a marvel and the one who knows it is a marvel.'

5. vedānta-sāra-sarvasvam jnānam vijnānam eva ca aham ātmā nirākārah sarvavyāpī svabhāvatah.

'What forms the quintessence of *Vedānta*, what is knowledge or specialised knowledge is of the form "I am Self, formless, all-permeating by my very nature".'

Muṇḍaka (3.2.6): vedānta-vijnāna-suniścitārthāḥ . . . parimucyanti sarve.

'All those to whom the truths of Vedānta have become matters of strong conviction become liberated.'

Chāndogya (4.11.1): soham asmi sa evāhamasmi.

'That I am. That alone I am.'

Brhad-Āraņyaka (4.45). ayam ātmā brahma

'I am self. (I am) Brahman.'

Mundaka: yas sarvajnah sarvavit yasya jnanamayam tapah.

'He who is omniscient and possessor of all is he whose austerity glows with the heat of thought.'

6. yo vai sarvātmako devo niskalo gaganopamah svabhāva-nirmalas suddah sa evāham na samsayah.

'That which is indeed all this, shining, partless, space-like, by nature free from defects and pure, that alone I am This admits of no doubt.' Chândogya (3.3.14): sarvam khalv idam brahma.

'All this is indeed Brahman.'

Īśa (8), sa paryagāt šukram akāyam avranam asnāvīram šuddham apāpa-viddham

'He, the man of wisdom attained to Brahman which is devoid of all impurities, miseries and short-comings, bodiless, unconditioned both from the standpoint of time and from the standpoint of qualities, in which there are no components of body, which is pure and holy, and for the same reason free from all sins and defects'

7 aham evävyayonantah suddha-vijnäna-vigrahah sukham duhkham na jänämi katham kasyäpi vartate

Myself alone is that which is indestructible, infinite and of the form which is pure consciousness. I know neither pleasure nor pain as in any manner occurring to anything.'

Isa (16): yo sav asau purusah soham asmi.

'That which is, is this purusa, the principle purely spiritual in character. It I am'

Chāndogya (4.13.1). ya esa vidyuti puruso dršyate soham asmi sa evāham asmi.

'The same spirit is seen in lightning. That alone I am That, nothing else I am.'

Tailliriya (2.1). satyam manam anantam brahma.

'The real, spiritual and infinite is Brahman'

8. na mānasam karma subhāsubham me na kāyikam karma subhāsubham me na vācikam karma subhāsubham me jnānāmṛtam suddham atīndriyoham.

'To me there is no mental act characterised as auspicious or inauspicious There is no bodily activity which is fair or foul. No speaking activity which is pleasing or unpleasing. I am the ambrosia of knowledge which wholly transcends the senses.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (4.4.23): eṣa-nityo mahimā brāhmaṇasya na vardhate karmanā no kanīyān.

'This is the unfailing greatness of Brāhmaṇa (Brahman) that it does not grow or fade away by means of activity, karma.'

Muṇḍaka (3.1.8): na cakṣuṣā gṛhyate nāpi vācā nānyaiḥ devaiḥ tapasā karmaṇāvā. jnāna-prasādena viśuddha-satvaḥ tatas tu tam paśyate niṣkalam dhyāyamānaḥ.

'Not apprehended by eye, nor by speech, nor by other Gods, nor by austerity, nor by action. By the grace which is no other than knowledge, one whose existence is purified apprehends it which is distinctionless, following the process of meditation.'

9. mano vai gaganākāram mano vai sarvato mukham mana atītam manah sarvam na manah paramārthatah.

'Mind is indeed like space. Mind is indeed in all directions. Mind transcends all. Mind is all. In fact it is not what is commonly called mind. It is the mind in the absolute sense.'

Kena (1.2): manaso manah.

'It is the mind of the mind, that is, Mind is what it is because of It.

yan manasā na manute yenāhur mano matam
tad eva brahma tvam viddhi nedam yad idam upāsate.

'That which one knows by mind, but by which they say that mind itself is made to have its function, understand thou that alone to be *Brahman*; but not this which is meditated on, that is, that which is meditated on as the object of mind.'

Chāndogya (3.18.1): mano brahmety upāsīta.

'Mind ought to be meditated on as being Brahman.'

What is commonly called mind is therefore no mind. Mind in the real sense is *Brahman* itself.

10. aham ekam idam sarvam vyomātītam nirantaram pasyāmi katham ātmānam pratyakṣam vā tirohitam.

'I am one. (I am) all this. I transcend space. I am devoid of distinction. How can I see the self, supposed to have been perceived or beyond perception?'

Kațha-Upanișad: katham nu tadvijāniyām kimu bhāti na bhāti vā. 'How indeed can I know it? It shines and it does not indeed shine.'

Chāndogya-Upanisad (2.1.1): samastasya khalu sāmnā upāsanam. 'There is only one form of meditation on the complete (Brahman). It is equipoise.

11. tvam evam ekam hi katham na buddhyase samam hi sarvesu vimrstam avyayam sadoditosi tvam akhanditah prabho divä ca naktam ca katham hi manyase.

'Thus you are indeed one. Why do you not realise this? You are the state of equipoise in all. You are searched for. You are inexhaustible. In every circumstance it is you that is expounded. No distinction can be introduced into you. O Lord! Where is the distinction to you as day and night?'

Kena (2.4.12) says: pratibodha-viditarii.

'It is revealed in every case of knowledge (as the very source of knowledge).'

Katha (2.5.15) says na tatra sūryo bhāti na candra-tārakam nemā vidyuto bhānti kutoyam agnih, tam eva bhāntam anubhāti sarvam tasya bhāsā sarvam idam vibhāti.

'The sun does not shine there, that is, it does not illumine it (the truth): the moon does not and the star does not: these lightnings do not: how can the fire? All this shines following that ever shining truth. By its shining all this shines, that is, it illumines all, but it is illumined by none.'

12. ātmānam satatam viddhi sarvatraikam nirantaram aham dhyātā param dhyeyam akhandam khandyate katham. 'Understand self as all-enduring, one underlying many and uninterrupted. I am that which meditates and that which is meditated on. How can the indivisible be divided?'

Kena (2.2.10) says: yo nas tad veda tad veda yo na vedeti ca. 'He who thinks he knows does not know it. He who feels that he does not know it, understands it.' This is because the truth is pratibodhatidula, the very source of every case of knowledge. How can distinctions, meditation and so on be introduced into it?

13. na jāto na mrtosi tvam na te dehaḥ kadācana sarvam brahmeti vikhyātam bravīti bahudhā śrutih. 'You are never born and you are never dead. You never have a body. It is well established that 'All this is Brahman'. Scripture (Śruti) expounds this Truth in various manners.'

Katha (1.2.18):

na jāyate mriyate vā kadācit nāyam bhūtvā bhavitā vā na bhūyaḥ ajo nityaḥ śāśvatoyam purāṇaḥ na hanyate hanyamāne śarīre.

'Neither is it born nor does it die. Having become something it does not become something else again. It is beginningless and endless. It is the same though it obtains bodies. It is not destroyed when the body is destroyed.'

Chāndogya (3.13.7): atha yad ataḥ paro divo jyotir dīpyate viśvataḥ pṛṣṭheṣu sarvataḥ pṛṣṭheṣu anuttameṣūttameṣu lokeṣvidaṁ vā va tad yadidaṁ asmınnantaḥ puruṣe jyotiḥ tasyaisā dṛṣṭih . . . sarvaṁ khalv idaṁ brahma.

'Further the light that shines beyond the shining heavens is that which underlies the basis of all, all those entities that are called bases and the worlds higher and lower. It is indeed the light that underlies the self. This is the exposition of this Truth. . . . All this is indeed Brahman.'

sa bāhyābhyantarosi tvam sivah sarvatra sarvadā itas tatah katham bhrāntah pradhāvasi pisācavat.

'That which is outside and inside is yourself. You are auspicious in every circumstance and under all conditions. Why then are you deluded and why do you move hither and thither like a ghost?' Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (2.5.19): tad etat brahma apūrvam anaparam anantaram abāhyam ātmā brahma sarvānubhūh.

'That is this *Brahman*. It has nothing prior, nothing that follows it, nothing that is different from it, nothing that is inside it, nothing that is outside. It is this self that is *Prahman*. It is all knowledge.'

Māṇḍūkya (7): prapancopaśamaṁ śāntaṁ śivaṁ advaitaṁ.

'It is that in which the world has found its rest. It is peace. It is auspicious. It is non-dual, that is, It is the state of equipoise.'

15. samyogaś ca viyogaś ca vartate na ca te na me na tvam nāham jagan nedam sarvam atmaiva kevalam.

'There is neither relation nor separation to you nor to me. You are not yourself. I am not myself. This world is not itself. All is indeed nothing but Self.'

Chāndogya (3.12.8): ayam vā va sa yoyam antar hṛdaya ākāśaḥ tad etat pūrnam apravarti.

'This is indeed that which underlies the inner heart. It is ākāśa, the shining illumination. That is (all) this. It is the highest and complete. It is effortless.'

Katha (2.4.11): neha nänästi kim ca na. 'In it there is no distinction in any sense.'

 śabdadi pancakasyasya naivasi tvam na te punah tvam eva paramam tattvam atah kim paritapyase.

'Of this which consists of five subtle elements (sound, touch, colour, taste and odour) you are not. Nor do they partake of your nature. You are the highest truth. Why then do you bother?'

Kaļha (1.3.15): ašabdam asparšam arūpam avyayam tathārasam nityam agandhavac ca yat

The truth is that which is absolutely devoid of sound, absolutely devoid of touch, absolutely devoid of colour, mexhaustible, absolutely devoid of taste, and absolutely devoid of odour.'

Chāndogya (6.16.3). aitadātmyam idam sarvam.

'All this is of this Self, that is, all this has Self as its essence.'

17 janma mrtyuh na te cittam bandha mokşaś śubhāśubhau katham rodisi re vatsa năma rūpam na te na me.

'Birth and death, mind, bondage, release, good and evil are irrelevant to you O Dear! Why do you grieve? Form and name apply neither to you nor to me '

Mundaka Upanişad (3.2.8) yathā nadyah syandamānāh samudre astam gacchanti nāma-rūpe vihāya—tathā vidvān nāma-rūpād vimuktah parātparam purusam upaiti divyam.

'Even as the flowing rivers disappear in the sea having abandoned name and form, similarly the man of wisdom being absolutely freed from name and form attains to Self, the higher than the highest spirit.'

Chāndogya (7.6.1): dhyānam vā va cittād bhūyah.

'Meditation is greater than mind.'

Katha (2.5.1): vimuktaś ca vimucyate

'He who is already liberated is liberated.'

Brhad-Aranyaka (4.4.22): nainam kṛtākṛte tapatah.

'That which is done and that which is not done do not affect it (Self)' Chândogya (2.14.1): ākāšo ha vai nāma-rupayoḥ nirvahitā.

'It is this absolute illumination that is indeed the sustainer of name and form.'

18. aho citta katham bhrāntah pradhāvasi piśācavat abhimam pasya cātmānam rāga-tyāgāt sukhī bhava.

'O Mind! Being deluded why do you run away like a ghost? Understand the self to be the state of equipoise. Get yourself rid of attachment. Be happy.'

Chandogya (6.2.1): ekain evadvitīyain.

'It is one only and secondless.'

19. tvain eva tattvain hi vikāra-varjitain nişkainpain ekain hi vimokşa-vigrahain . na te ca rāgo hyatha vā virāgaļī kathain hi santapyasi kāma-kāmataļī.

'You alone are indeed the reality devoid of change, unaffected, One, and of the disposition of complete freedom. Attachment or non-attachment does not indeed apply to you. Why do you worry by giving room for desires?'

Chāndogya (6.16.1): tattvain asi.

'That thou art.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (1.4.2): dvitīyād vai bhayain bhavati.

'The second is the cause of fear.' But it is advitiva, secondless.

Chāndogya (4.14.3); yathā puşkara palāša āpo na šlişyanta evain evainvidi pāpain karma na šlişyate.

'Even as water does not stick to the leaf of the lotus creeper, to one who knows the (Truth of *Brahman*) so far expounded, evil action does not stick.' You are *Brahman*. How can then attachment or detachment occur to you?

20. vadanti śrutayah sarvāh nirguņam śuddham avyayam aśarīram samam tattvam tan mām viddhi na samsayah.

'All Srutis teach the attributcless, pure, inexhaustible, formless and the truth of equipoise. Understand that to be myself. There is no room for doubt, that is, do not doubt it.'

Kaļha (2.2.15): sarve vedāļ yat padam āmananti.

'All Vedas expound that Truth (Brahman).'

Mundaka (2.2.11): brahmaivedam amrtam.

'This Brahman alone is immortal.'

(2.2.11): Brahmaivedam visvam.

'This Brahman alone is all.'

(3.2.1): sa vedaitat paramain brahmadhāma yatra visvam nihitam bhāti subhrain.

'He understood the location of this highest *Brahman* in which the whole universe is given as having found its support, but which shines pure and untainted.'

ŀ

(3.2.5): samprāpyainam rṣayo jnāna-trptāh krtātmānaḥ vīta-rāgāḥ praśāntāh te sarvagam sarvatah prāpya dhīrāh yuktātmānaḥ sarvam evāviśanti.

'Having attained to Brahman they have become wise, satisfied in wisdom, fully accomplished, free from attachment and peaceful. Having attained to all-pervading Brahman in all, they, the wise have realised all and they have entered into Brahman which is all.'

21. sākāram anṛtam viddhi nirākāram nīrantaram etat tatvopadešena na punar-bhava-sambhavah.

'Know that which has form is unreal. That which is formless is distinctionless. By receiving the teaching that expounds this reality there is no possibility of rebirth.

Chândogya (8.15.1): sa khalv evam vartayan yavad ayuşam brahmalokam abhisampadyate, na ca punar avartate na ca punar avartate. 'He indeed thus living, that is, studying, understanding, and assimilating and reaching to the limit of his existence attains the location of Brahman. He is never born again.'

ekam eva samam tattvam vadantı hi vipascıtah raga-tyagat punah cittam ekanekam na vidyate.

'Men of wisdom indeed say that equipoise is the reality underlying all, If attachment is removed there occurs no mind with its manifold expressions.'

Mundaka (3 2 7): gatāḥ kalāh pancadaša pratisthāḥ devās ca sarve prati-devatāsu karmāni vijnānamayaš ca ātmā parevyaye sarva ekibhavanti.

The fifteen aspects of the (physical body) disappear in their bases. All Gods disappear in the respective Gods who form their origin. Even all actions and self of the nature of consciousness—all these become one when they are in the highest truth, the mexhaustible. Brhad-Āranyaka (16.1.3): sarvaih nāmabhih samam . . . sarvaih rūpaih samam sarvaih karmabhih samam.

'It is the same to all names. . . It is the same to all forms. . . . It is the same to all actions . . . that is, it is equipoise in every sense.' Chandogya (2.1.1): samastasya khalu samna upasanagin sadhu yat khalu sadhu tat samety acaksate yadasadhu tadasameti.

'To him there is sama, equipoise. What he studies, reflects and meditates is equipoise, that is, Veda. They say that which is good is equipoise and that which is not good, asama, the negation of equipoise.'

23. anātma-rūpam ca katham samādhiḥ ātma-svarūpam ca katham samādhih

astīti nāstīti katham samādhih mokṣa-svarūpam yadi sarvam ekam.

'How can that which is non-self be the source of peace, how can that which is the (individual) Self be the source of peace, how can the theory 'is' or 'is not' be the source of peace, if the truth is all-identity, that is, equipoise and it is the very disposition of liberation?'

Kaṭha (1.1.26): śvo bhāvā martyasya yadantakaitat sarvendriyānām jarayanti tejaḥ. api sarvam jīvitam alpam eva. Na vittena tarpaṇyio manuṣyah. . . . abhidhyāyan varṇa-rati-pramodān ati dīrghe jīvite ko rameta. yasmin idam vicikitsanti mṛtyo yat sāmparāye mahati brūhi nas tat.

'All those that belong to man's existence, O the Destroyer, come to an end tomorrow. The power of all senses becomes exhausted. All life lived is nothing. Man is incapable of being satisfied by wealth.... Contemplating on birth, happiness and joy, if one lives long how can one be happy? Tell me that Truth, O God of Death! which leads us on to the highest liberation.'

Kațha (1.1.20): yeyam prete vicikitsā manușye astīty eke nāyam astīti caike. etad vidyām anuśistas tvayāham.

'If one is dead there is the doubt in man—Some say that one continues to exist and some that one does not. This is (the second point) with regard to which I am to be instructed by you.'

Kaṭha (1.1.17): brahmajnam devamīḍyam viditvā nicāyyemām santim atyantam eti.

'Having understood Brahman which is to be attained through Veda and which is the knower in all and reflected on this shining one, the goal of all praise and having meditated on this truth one attains to liberation which is no other than absolute peace.'

viśuddhosi samam tattvam videhas tvam ajovyayah jānāmīha najānāmīty ātmānam manyase katham.

'Pure you are. Reality is equipoise. You have no body. You are unborn and imperishable. How then can you hold that you know the Self or do not know the Self?'

Kena (2 3.11): yasyāmatam tasya matam matam yasya na veda saḥ avijnātam vijānatām vijānatām tad eva brahma tvam viddhi nedam yad idam upāsate.

'One who knows it knows it. One who knows it does not understand it. To those who claim to know it, it is not known and to those who seem not to know it it is known. Know that to be *Brahman*. It is not this (which is commonly presented).

25. tattvamasyādi vākyena svātmā hi pratipāditah neti neti śrutir brūyāt anrtam pānca-bhautikam

'By means of the scriptural statements such as "That thou art" the truth of the Self is expounded. The Śruti "neither this nor that" proves that all that is of the five-fold elements is unreal.'

Chāndogya (6.15.13) tattvam asi.

'That thou art.'

Bṛhad-Āranyaka (2 1 20): neti neti, asmād ātmanaḥ. . sarvāṇi bhūtāni vyuccaranti, tasyopanisat satyasya satyam iti, prānā vai satyam, teṣām eṣa satyam.

'It is not this, nor that.' 'From this Self. .. all elements, all creatures are thrown out. In this regard the *Upanişad*, that is, the *Upanişadic* conclusion is "The real of the real". Vital principles are real. Of them it (the Self) is real, that is, It is the highest reality'

26. ātmany evātmanā sarvam tvayā pūrnam nirantaram dhyātā dhyānam na te cittam nirlajjah dhyāyate katham.

'In the Self by the Self which is yourself all is pervaded without giving room for duality. The thought of meditator and meditation is irrelevant to your mind. How can that which is never an exception, unashamedly be meditated on?'

Chândogya-Upanişad (7.15.2): athāta ātmādeša eva ātmaiva adhastāt ātmopanişthāt ātmā pašcāt ātmā purastāt ātmā dakşinatah ātmā uttaratah ātmaivedam sarvam.

'Further therefore the exposition of Self (follows). Self alone is what is below. Self alone is what is above. Self alone is what is behind. Self alone is what is in front. Self alone is what is on the right side. Self alone is what is on the left side. Self alone is indeed all this.'

Chāndogya (7.16.1): ātmato vijnānam ātmato dhyānam ātmatascittam.

'From Self knowledge. From Self meditation. From Self mind.'

The idea is that excepting Self there is nothing. But Self can never be excepted.

27. śivam na jānāmi katham vadāmi śivam na jānāmi katham bhajāmi aham śivaś cet paramārtha-tattvam sama-svarūpam gaganopamam ca.

'I do not know the auspicious. How can I expound it? I do not know the auspicious. How can I worship it? I am myself the auspicious, the highest Reality. I am of the essence of equipoise even as space.' Chāndogya (4.10.5): prāṇo brahma kaṁ brahma khaṁ brahmeti. . . . yad vāva kaṁ tad eva khaṁ yad eva khaṁ tadeva kaṁ iti. prāṇaṁ cāhāsmai tadākāśaṁ cocuḥ.

'The vital principle (in me) is *Brahman*. Auspiciousness is *Brahman*. Space is *Brahman*. This is the Truth. . . . That which is auspicious indeed that alone is space. That which alone is space is indeed auspicious. For this reason, for the same indeed, that is, the same, they say, the vital principle as well as space.'

Chāndogya (4.11.1-2): ya eṣa āditye puruṣo drśyate sohaṁ asmi sa evāhaṁ asmīti. sa ya etaṁ evaṁ vidvān upāste apahate pāpakṛtyāṁ lokī bhavati sarvaṁ āyur eti jyogjīvati nāsyāvara-puruṣāḥ kṣīyante. 'That this Self which is seen in the sun, He I am: He alone I am. This is the Truth. One who knows this Truth thus and meditates having his evil deeds destroyed becomes one of position, obtains full life, leads the life of illumination and his descendents never perish.'

28. nāham tattvam samam tattvam kalpanā-hetu-varjitam grāhya-grāhaka-nirmuktam svasamvedyam katham bhavet.

'I (individual) am not reality. Equipoise is Reality. It is devoid of the basis of superimposition. The distinction of being apprehended and being an apprehender is irrelevant to it. How can it be the object of itself?'

Kaṭha (2.4.10): yad eveha tad amutra yad amutra tad anviha mṛtyos sa mrtyum āpnoti ya iha nāneva paśyati. manasaivedamāptavyam neha nānāsti kim ca na.

'That alone which is here is that which is there. That which is there is just that which is here. One who sees distinction in this all-absorbing reality meets with destruction. It ought to be attained by insight. There is no duality in this reality.' The thought of meditator, meditation etc., are in the usual sense the expressions of duality.

29 ananta-rūpam na hī vastu kim cit tattva-svarūpam na hi vastu kim cit

atmaika-rūpam paramārtha-tattvam na himsako vāpi nacāpy ahimsā.

'The Reality is of infinite forms, but it is no entity. It is of the essence of Truth itself, but it is no entity. It is only of the form of Self. It is absolute Reality. There is neither one that causes injury to it, nor is there any injury caused with reference to it, nor is non-injury attributed to it.'

Katha (1.2.14): anyatra dharmāt anyatrādharmāt anyatrāsmāt kṛtakrtāt. anyatra bhūtāc ca havyāc ca yat tat paśyasi tad vada.... etaddhy evāksaram brahma etaddhy evāksaram param.... mahāntam vibhum ātmānam matvā dhīro na śocati... hantā cet manyate hantum hantaś cet manyate hatam ubhau tau na vijānīto nāyam hanti na hanyate.

'Attributes are irrelevant to it (Truth). Non-attributes are irrelevant to it. This thing that is done and the thing that is not done are irrelevant to it. The past, the future are irrelevant to it. Tell me that Truth which you have realised. . . . That alone is imperishable. That is Brahman. This alone is imperishable. It is the highest. It is the greatest. It is all pervading. It is Self. . . . Having understood it one becomes the man of wisdom. To this one misery does not occur. . . . He who thinks that he kills it or that he is capable of killing it, he who thinks that it is killed and that it can be killed, both of them are deluded. It (the Truth) is neither the killer nor is it killed.'

The idea is that attributes are as a rule relative and the Absolute therefore transcends all attributes.

visuddhosi samam tattvam videham ajam avyayam vibhramam katham ātmārthe vibhrāntoham katham punah. 'Pure you are. Equipoise is Reality. It has no body. It has no birth.

It does not perish. How can there be illusion with reference to Self? How indeed can I be deluded?'

Katha (1.2.22): aśarīram śarīreşu anavasthesy avasthitam.

'In the bodies it is bodiless. It is enduring in those that do not endure.'

Katha (2.42): atha dhīrāh amṛtatvam viditvā dhruvam adhruvesu iha na prārthayante.

'Further those that have wisdom having understood that all enduring immortality underlies transient realities are never after things that fall short of it.'

Praśna (4.10): param evākṣaram pratipadyate sa yo ha vai tad acchāyam aśarīram alohitam śubhram akṣaram vedayate yas tī somya sa sarvajnaḥ sarvo bhavati.

'He indeed attains to the most imperishable. The same person who understands the shadowless, bodiless, colourless, pure and the inexhaustible, he, O Dear! becomes indeed all-knowing and becomes all.'

ghate bhinne ghatākāśam sulīnam bheda-varjitam śivena manasā śuddho na bhedaḥ pratibhāti me.

'If a jar is broken the space contained in it merges (in th larger space). It is then devoid of the distinction from the larger one I am pure by means of a mind auspicious in character. There appear no distinction to me.'

Kaṭha (2.2.5). ya yeṣu supteṣu jāgarti kāmaṁ kāmaṁ puruṣ nirmamāṇaḥ. tad eva śukraṁ tad brahma tad evāmṛtaṁ ucyate tasmin lokāḥ śritāh sarvā tad u nātyeti kaś cana. . . . etad vai tal agnir yathaikaḥ. . . . vāyur yathaikaḥ. . . . sūryo yathā sarva lokasya cakṣuḥ. . . . ekas tathā sarva-bhūtāntarātmā na lipyate loke duḥkhena bāhyaḥ manasai-vedaṁ āptavyaṁ neha nānāsti kiṁ ca ne 'In the persons who are asleep that person who is awake creatin (things) according to His wish is He that is pure. It is He that Brahman. It is He alone that is said to be immortal. In Him a worlds are located. Nothing goes beyond Him. . . . Is this not the (which you want to know?) . . . Just as air which is one. . . . just a the sun which is the eye of the whole world. . . . This one person similarly the Self in all creatures. He is not tainted by the misery the world. He is transcendent. He is attained to only by means throwledge. There is no distinction within Himself.'

na ghato na ghatākāśo na jīvo jīva-vigrahaḥ kevalam brahma samviddni vedya-vedaka-varjitam.

'There is neither a jar, nor the space contained in the jar, nor a individual self, nor the body of an individual self. Understand the all that is, is nothing but *Brahman*. It is devoid of subjective an objective aspects.'

Kaṭha (2.3.10): yadā pancāvatiṣṭhante jnānāni manasā saha buddh ca na viceṣṭati tām āhuḥ paramām gatim. eko vaśī sarvi bhūtāntarātmā ekam rūpam bahudhā yaḥ karoti.... tam ātmastha yenu paśyanti dhīrāḥ teṣām sukham śāśvatam netareṣām.

'When the five kinds of knowledge (caused by the five sensory organs) become quiescent and with mind intellect as such ceases to operate, that is the highest state they say. One, which controls all, which is the inner principle of all creatures, which is in itself one but which is the author of multiplicity, and which . . those that see as residing within (individual) self are those whose happiness is enduring, but not the happiness of others.'

The last statement of Sruli forms an earlier passage of the same Sruli

33 sarvatra sarvadā sarvam ātmānam satatam dhruvam sarvam śūnyam aśūnyam ca tan mām viddhi na samsayah.

'Everywhere, always, in all know the Atman to be the enduring or the abiding. Know me as all, void and the non-void. There is no doubt about this'

Katha (2.5.8). tasmin lokāh śritāh sarva . . . yad idam kim ca jagat sarvam.

'In it are sustained all the worlds, and whatever exists in the world.'

Taittıriya (2-7): asad vā ıdam agra āsīt tato vai sad ajāyata.

'Non-being was there in the beginning and from that originated being.'

Chandogya (6.2 1). sad eva somyedam agra āsīt.

'O Somyal Only Being was there in the beginning'

Attareya (1.1): ātmā vā idam agra āsīt nā-nyat kim ca na mişat. 'Atman alone existed prior to all things Nothing else existed then.'

34. vedāh na lokāh na surā na yajnah varnāsramo naiva kulam na jātiķ

na dhūma-mārgo na ca dipti-mārgo brahmaika-rūpam paramārtha-tattvarii.

'There is neither scripture, nor worlds, nor Gods nor sacrifices, no classes nor stages of life, neither race nor caste, neither the way of smoke nor the path of flame. Ultimate Reality which is *Brahman* is alone manifest. It is the Highest Reality.'

Brhad-Āranyaka (6.2.15.15); te ya evam etad viduh... te arcırabhi sambhavanti... tān... puruso... brahma-lokān gamayatı teşu brahma-lokeşu parāh parāvato vasanti teşām na punar āvartih... atha ye yajnena dānena tapasā lokān jayanti te dhūmam abhi-sambhavanti... te evam evānuparivartante.

'Those that know this truth . . . they go to the world of illumination . . . Them . . . the spirit . . . takes to the world of *Brahman*. In the worlds of *Brahman* they live endlessly. For them there is no coming back. . . . Further those by means of sacrifice, charity, austerity realise locations go to the world of smoke. . . . They in the same way rotate.'

Chāndogya (5.10.1): tad ye ittham viduh . . . te arciṣam abhi sambhavanti . . . sa enān brahma gamayati eṣa devayānaḥ panthā iti . . . atha ya ime grāma iṣṭāpūrte dattam ity upāsate te dhūmam abhi sambhavanti . . . tad ya iha ramaṇīya-caraṇāḥ ramaṇīyām yonim āpadyeran . . . kapūya-caraṇāḥ . . . kapūyām yonim āpadyeran . . . athaitayoḥ pathoḥ na katareṇa ca na tānīmāni kṣudrāṇi asakṛd āvartīni bhūtāni bhavanti jāyasva mriyasveti etat tṛtīyam sthānam . . .

'Those that know this . . . go to the world of illumination. He (the Spirit) takes them to Brahman. This is the path of Gods. . . . Further, those who believe in sacrifice, charity and in that which is given in charity go to the world of smoke. . . . Those of good actions are born in good circumstances. . . . Those of evil actions are born in evil circumstances. . . . Further of these two paths if neither is followed they become low born creatures having repeated birth and death. They come into being and go out of it. This is the third position.' Chāndogya (5-10-10):

atha ha ya evani pancāgnīn veda na sa ha tair apy ācaran pāpmanā lipyate śuddhaḥ pūtaḥ puṇya-loko bhavati.

'Further (of) those who know these five fires (expounding the truth of *Brahman*) in this manner, even though by them acts are done, even a single individual is not tainted by sin. He is pure, holy and auspicious.'

Vedas as dealing with worlds, Gods, sacrifice, varņa, āśrama and the different paths—smoke and fire are inferior, aparāḥ. All these are transcended by a man of wisdom. They are therefore not real. Brahman alone is real. There is nothing against Brahman. Therefore Mundaka (I.I.5) says: tatrāparā rgvedo yajurvedaḥ.

'Of the two sciences, superior and inferior, the inferior one's are rg-veda, vajur-veda and so on.'

35. vyāpya-vyāpaka-nirmuktam tvam ekaḥ saphalam yadi pratyakṣam cāparokṣam ca ātmānam manyase katham.

'If one is successful in attaining you who is devoid of the distinction of the pervaded and the pervading, how can you know the Self to be itself or the other?'

Kena (2.19) says: yadi manyase suvedeti dabhram evāpi nūnam tvam vettha brahmano rūpam.

'If you hold that you have clear knowledge (of *Brahman*) indeed you understand *Brahman* very little, that is, you have indeed made *Brahman*, incomplete, dabhra.'

36. advaitam kecid icchanti dvaitam icchanti cāpare samam tattvam na vindanti dvaitādvaita-vivarjītam.

'Some select non-duality. Others select duality. They do not understand the Truth which is the state of equiposse, being neither duality nor non-duality.'

To insist on either duality or non-duality is irrelevant to Truth. Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (1.4.2.3) having observed—dvitīyād vai bhayam bhavati.

'The second causes fear, that is, duality is the source of fear',—notes further, tasmad ekāki na ramate sa dvitīyam aichat.

'Therefore that which is single does not feel happy. It desired the second.'

The meaning of ekāki and dvitīya must be carefully understood. Ekākī is taken to mean singleness without authorship. It is evil. Advaita is evil in this sense. Dvitīya is taken to mean something that is independent of Brahman. It is therefore an evil. It does not bring out the character of peace in Brahman Dvaita is evil in this sense. But the Truth is sama, equipoise. Call it advaita. But advaita does not mean singleness without authorship. Call it dvaita But dvaita does not mean dualism. It rather signifies the richness of the Truth. In recognition of all these ideas the Truth is, after Brhad-Āranyaka characterised as sama, equipoise. In this concept the opposition of dvaita and advaita is annulled.

37. švetādi-varna-rahitam šabdādi-guņa-varjitam kathayanti katham tattvam manovācām agocaram.

'Reality is devoid of colours (white, etc.) and it is devoid of the qualities sound, etc. How can they (those that think wrongly) expound it which is beyond mind and speech?'

Katha (1.3 15): asabdam asparsam arūpam avyayam tathārasam nityam agandhavac ca yat.

'That which is ever devoid of sound, touch, colour, diminution and similarly taste and odour.'

yadānṛtaṁ idaṁ sarvaṁ dehādi gaganopamaṁ tadā hi brahma saṁvetti na te dvaita-paraṁparā.

'If all this consisting of body and so on is unreal, that is, if one recognises all this is unreal, then alone one understands Brahman that is similar to space. (If you attain the state then) there occurs no continuity of duality.'

Praśna (2.15): teṣām evaiṣa brahma-loko yeṣām tapo brahma-caryam yeṣu satyam pratiṣṭhitam. teṣām asau virajo Brahma-loko na yeṣu jimham anṛtam na māyā ceti.

'For those, this alone is the location of Brahman, those in whom there is austerity as well as celibacy, that is, devotion only to Brahman, and those in whom truthfulness, that is, Brahman, being the end of all speech is well established. For those this is the faultless location of Brahman, those in whom there is neither crookedness nor falsehood, nor deceit.'

39. pareņa sahajātmāpi hyabhinnah pratibhāti me

vyomākāram tathaivaikam dhyātā dhyānam katham bhavet. 'Even though Self appears rightly to belong to some other being also, to me it appears to be indeed an identity. It is space-like. It is likewise one. How can there be a meditator and meditation with reference to it?' Chāndogya (4.11.1): yoyam antar hṛdaya ākāśaḥ tad etat pūrṇam. 'That this which is expounded so far dwells in the heart (of a person). It is absolute illumination. That which is this is complete.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (1.4.10): atha yonyām devatām-upāsate asau anyoham asmīti na sa veda.

'Further, he who meditates on a different God with the conviction "He is different from me and I am different from Him" does not know Truth.'

40. yat karomi yad asnāmi yaj juhomi dadāmi yat etat sarvam na me kim cit visuddhoham ajovyayah.

'What I do, what I eat, what I sacrifice and what I give away, nothing of them belongs to me. Pure I am. I am unborn and imperishable.'

Brhad-Āraņyaka (1.4.15-16): yadi ha vā apy anevam-vid mahat puņyam karma karoti tad hāsyāntatah kṣīyata eva. ātmānam

eva lokam upāsīta. sa ya ātmānam eva lokam upāsate na hāsya karma kṣīyate. asmād hi evātmano yadyat kāmayate tat tat srjate. 'If indeed a person who does not know the Truth thus (in the manner explained in the *Upanisad*) does a highly meritorious deed, it indeed melts away within himself. One ought to see that Self is the location (of all deeds). He who sees Self as the location (origin) (of all deeds) is he whose activity, that is, the deed found in him does not come to an end. (All deeds are) indeed from this Self. Whichever It desires It creates it.'

In conclusion it is said: ayam vā ātmā sarvesām bhūtānām lokaḥ. 'This Self is indeed the basis, the origin of all creatures.'

41. sarvam jagad viddhi nirākṛtīdam
sarvam jagad viddhi vikāra-hinam
sarvam jagad viddhi višuddha-deham
sarvam jagad viddhi šivaikarūpam.

'You understand that all that is (really) the world is formless. You understand that all that is the world is changeless. You understand that all that is the world is pure in its content. Understand that all that is the world is nothing but auspicious.'

Chāndogya (6.16.3) sarvam khalv idam brahma.

'All this is Brahman.'

Taillirīya (36): ānando brahmeti vyajānāt. 'One ought to know that Brahman is Bliss'

42. tattvam tvam na hi sandhah kim jānāmy athavā punah asamvedyam svasamvedyam ātmānām manyase katham

'Reality you are. There is no doubt. Is there anything that I can understand? That which cannot be known and that which is self-evident is Self. How can you understand It?

Chandogya (6): sa ātmā tattvam asi.

'That is Alman. That thou art.'

Katha (2.5.14): katham nu tad vijānīyām.

'How can I understand It?'

43. māyā māyā katham tāta chāya chāyā navidyate tattvam ekam idam sarvam vyomākāram niranjanam.

'How is nescience, nescience' O Dearl Shadow is not shadow (unreal). Reality is one. It is all this. It is all-pervading. It is space-like. It is defectless.'

Kaṭha (2.5.9): rūpam rūpam pratirūpo babhūva. 'Corresponding to each form of it there appeared another form.' Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (2.5.19): indro māyābhiḥ puru-rūpa iyate. 'Indra by means of his powers assumed many forms.'

So what other thinkers consider to be nescience or shadow is real even as nescience or shadow. *Upaniṣads* may call it *māyā* or *anṛta*. But it must not be taken to mean unreality. It rather illustrates the rich and complete character of the divine power.

44. ādi-madhyānta-muktoham na baddhoham kadācana svabhāva-nirmalas suddhaḥ iti me niscitā matiḥ.

'I am devoid of beginning, middle and end. I am never bound. I am defectless by nature. I am pure. This is my realisation with conviction.'

Chāndogya (8.1.5): eṣa ātmā apahata-pāpmā vijaro vimṛtyuḥ viśoko avijighatso apipāsaḥ.

'This Self is untouched by sins, free from decay, free from death, free from misery, not in need of food and is devoid of thirst.'

Kaṭha (1.2.18): ajo nityaḥ śāśvatoyam.

'This has no beginning and no end.'

45. mahad-ādi jagat sarvam na kim cit pratibhāti me brahmaiva kevalam sarvam katham varņāsrama-sthitih.

'Nothing of the world supposed to consist of *mahat* and so on appears to me. *Brahman*, nothing but *Brahman* is all. How can there be the existence of caste and creed?'

Chāndogya (7.24.1): yatra nānyat paśyati: nānyat śṛṇoti: nānyad vijānāti: sa bhūmā. atha yatra anyat paśyati: anyat śṛṇoti: anyad vijānāti: tad alpaṁ yo vai bhūmā tad amṛtaṁ. atha yad alpaṁ tan martyaṁ. sa bhagavaḥ kasmin pratiṣṭhita iti sve mahimni yad vā na mahimnīti.

'That state in which one sees nothing else, hears nothing else, knows nothing else, is the complete. Further that state in which one sees something else, hears something else, knows something else, is incomplete. That which is complete is immortal. Further that which is incomplete is mortal. O Revered! On what is it based? On its own greatness or on no (other) greatness, that is, on itself.'

Katha (1.3.15): mahatah param dhruvam.

'It is beyond mahat, that is, It is the source of mahat. It is stable.'

Brhad-Āraṇyaka (1 4.11): brahma vā idam agra āsīt ekam eva. 'Pnor to the creation of this world Brahman alone existed. It was one alone.'

46. jānāmi sarvathā sarvam eko nirantaram nirālambam ašūnyam ca šūnyam vyomādi-pancakam.

'I understand all in all aspects. I am one. I am distinctless. I am in need of no support. I am non-void, void and the five-fold elements, space and so on.'

Brhad-Āraņyaka (2.1.20): asmād ātmanah sarve prānāh sarve lokāh sarve devāh sarvāni bhūtānı vyuccaranti tasyopanisad satyasya satyam iti.

'From this Self, all vital principles, all worlds, all gods, all elements emanate. With reference to this Truth is the *Upanišadic* conclusion "It is the Real of the Real".'

47. na ṣando na pumān na strī na bodho naiva kalpanā sānando vā nirānandam ātmānam manyase katham

'It is not neutral. It is not man. It is not woman. It is not mind Nor is it an imagination It has no peace, but it is not devoid of peace. How then can you understand Self?'

Katha (2 5.14) tad etad iti manyante anirdesyam paramam sukham. katham nu tad vijānīyām kimubhāti na bhāti vā.

'They (the seers) know that it is this indefinable one and is of the nature of highest bliss. How can I understand it? It shines; but at the same time it does not shine.'

Kalha (2.5.11): ekas tathā sarva-bhūtāntarātmā nalipyate loka-duhkhena bāhyah.

This one is likewise the underlying Self of all creatures. It is not tainted by the misery of the world. It is transcendent.'

The idea is that even the so called bliss, ananda is related and it falls short of the truth. It does not therefore characterise Brahman.

48. saḍanga yogān na tu naīva śuddham mano-vināšān na tu naīva śuddham gurūpadešān na tu naīva šuddham svayam ca tattvam svayam eva buddham.

'That which is pure is not attained to by means of the discipline of yoga with its six limbs. It is not attained to by the

destruction of mind. Nor is it attained to by the instruction of a preceptor. Verily it is self-established. It shines by itself.'

Muṇḍaka (2.2.7): tad vijnānena paripasyanti dhīrāh ānanda-rūpam amṛtam yad vibhāti.

'This truth, by means of knowledge, the men of wisdom see—the truth which is peace itself, which is immortal and which shines of its own accord.'

Muṇḍaha (3.3.6): nāyam ātmā pravacanena labhyaḥ na medhayā na bahunā śrutena. yam evaiṣa vṛṇute tena labhyaḥ tasyaiṣa ātmā vivṛṇute tanūm svam. nāyam ātmā balahīnena labyaḥ na ca pramādāt tapasā vāpy alingāt. etair upāyaiḥ vartate yas tu vidvān tasyaiṣa ātmā viśate brahma-dhāma. . . . vedānta-vijnāna suniścitārthāḥ sanyāsa-yogād yatayaḥ śuddha-satvāḥ. te brahma-lokeṣu parānta-kāle parāmṛtāḥ parimucyanti sarve.

This Self is not attained by discourse nor by intellect, nor by much of learning. It is attained only by him whom It elects. To him this Self reveals Its person. This Self is not attained by a weak person, nor by chance or by illusion, nor by austerity, nor by not following any religion. Only in the case of the man of wisdom who makes efforts by these means (expounded so far in the *Upanişad*) this Self enters into the location of *Brahman*. So that this Self, that is *Brahman* becomes revealed to that man. Those to whom the meaning of *Veda* has become definite by means of the knowledge obtained by *Vedānta*, those who have brought themselves under control by means of detachment and those whose being is pure, become immortal, without limitation in the location of the *Brahman* and they are all completely liberated.'

Kaţha (2.6.9): na sandṛśe tiṣṭhati rūpaṁ asya na cakṣuṣā paśyati kaścanainaṁ. hṛdā manīṣī manasābhiklipto ya etadvidur amṛtās te bhavanti, yadā pancāvatiṣṭhante jnānāni manasā saha. buddhiś ca na viceṣṭati tāṁ āhuḥ paramāṁ gatiṁ, tāṁ yogaṁ iti manyante sthirāṁ indriya-dhāraṇāṁ. apramattas tadā bhavati yogo hi prabhavāpyayau. naiva vācā na manasā prāptuṁ śakyo na cakṣuṣā, astīti bruvatonyatra kathaṁ tad upalabhyate, astītyevopalabdhavyaḥ tattva-bhāvena cobhayoḥ. astītyevopalabdhasya tattva-bhāvaḥ prasīdati, yadā sarve pramucyante kāmā yesya hṛdi sthitāḥ, atha martyo amṛto bhavati atra brahma samaśnute.

'The form of this truth does not occupy a place in a clear light. No body sees it by means of the eyes. By the inner vision purified by reflection those who realise it become immortal. When the five aspects

of knowledge produced by the five organs along with mind stand effectless and the principle of intellect (that causes distraction) does not operate, that, they say, is the highest state. They understand that to be the discipline of yoga which is the same as the steady control of organs. Then he (the aspirant) becomes free from delusion. Yoga is thus the origin (of the coming good) and destruction (of the past). It (the Truth) is capable of being attained to neither by speech nor by mind, nor by eyes. How can it be understood by one who holds that It is outside one's self. It must be recognised to be existent in both (the man of wisdom and the man of illusion) by means of insight into Truth. To one who recognises it to be existent (in this manner) there occurs insight into Truth. When (for the same reason) all the desires that exist in mind become removed, then afterwards the man becomes immortal. He attains to Brahman here in the present life alone ' Katha (1.3 12). yesa sarvesu bhūtesu gūdhotmā na prakāśate dršyatetv agryayā buddhyā sūksmayā sūksma-darsibhih anādv anantam mahatah param dhruvam nicayya tam mrtyu-mukhat pramucyate.

'In all these elements or creatures Self is hidden and it is not apparent. It is realised by means of the best intellect, sharp and subtle by those that are capable of perceiving the subtlest. It is beginningless and endless, higher than mahat, transcendent and stable. One who has understood it by means of enquiry becomes liberated from the mouth of death'

na hi pancātmako bhedo videho vartate na hi ātmaiva kevalam sarvam turiyam ca trayam katham

'The body consisting of five elements is not real. There does not exist that which has no body. Pure Self and nothing else is all. It is transcendent. How can the other three states exist?'

Māṇdūkya (1): aum ity etad akṣaram idam sarvam tasyo-pavyākhyānam bhūtam bhavat bhaviṣyad iti sarvam omkāra eva. yac cānyat trikālātītam tad apy aumkāra eva. ... sarvam hy etad brahma.

'This imperishable Truth is signified as aum, that is as expounded by the whole Veda. It is all this. To restate it in detail—the past, present and future, all this is nothing but aum, that is, this truth. If there is anything else that transcends these three aspects of time, that also is nothing but aum, that is, the same truth. . . . All this is indeed Brahman'

50. na baddho naiva muktoham na cāham brahmaṇaḥ pṛthak na kartā na ca bhoktāham vyāpya-vyāpaka-varjitaḥ.

'I am not bound. Nor am I liberated. I am not myself. I am not other than *Brahman*. I am not a doer. I am not an enjoyer. I am devoid of the distinction of being included and being inclusive.'

Bṛhad-Āranyaka (3.9.27): sa eṣa neti nety ātmā agṛhyo na hi gṛhyate aśiryo na hi śiryate asango na hi sajjate asito na vyathate na riṣyati. 'That this self is neither this nor that. It is not apprehended. It cannot indeed be grasped. It is undivided. It cannot indeed be cut into parts. It is unrelated. It is not indeed subject to relation. It is never bound. It cannot indeed be made painful. It does not undergo destruction.' Bṛhad-Āranyaka (2.3.16): asaṅgo hy ayaṁ puruṣaḥ.

'This spiritual principle (so far expounded in the *Upaniṣad*) is devoid of relation.'

51. yathā jalam jale nyastam salilam bheda-varjitam prakṛtim puruṣam tadvad abhinnam pratibhāti me.

'Even as water being mixed with water is devoid of distinction, (I see) matter and spirit are devoid of distinction. This is what comes to my mind.'

Kaṭha (2.4.14): yathodakaṁ śuddhe suddhaṁ āsiktaṁ tādṛg eva bhavati

evam muner vijānata ātmā bhavati gautama.

'Even as pure water which is added on to pure water becomes similar to the latter, similarly, O Gautama! Self becomes the same in the case of the sage who has attained wisdom.'

In this verse the word abhinna is used in the sense of the *Upaniṣadic* tādṛk.

52. yadi nāma na muktosi na baddhosi kadācana sākāram ca nirākāram ātmānam manyase katham?

'Supposing you are not liberated, you are never bound. How then can you understand Self which is of form and no form?'

Katha (25 x): vimuktaé ca vimucyate

Katha (2.5.1): vimuktas ca vimucyate.

'He who is already liberated is liberated.'

jānāmi te param rūpam pratyaksam gaganopamam yathā param hi rūpam yan manīci-jala-sannibham.

'I understand that your real essence is immediately presented. It is space-like. That which appears to be other than this is indeed like the water of a mirage.'

Bṛhad-Āranyaka (4.4.12): ātmānam cet vijānīyat ayam asmīti pūrusah

kim icchan kasya kāmāya śarīram anusancaret.

'If one realises Self as 'I am. Therefore I am the Spirit', then desiring what and for what satisfaction one ought to follow body.'

The idea is this: In the case of this one there is no necessity to desire anything or to hanker after any satisfaction. For this reason he has not to follow bodily conditions.

54. na guruh nopadeśaś ca na copādhir na me kriyā videham gaganam viddhi višuddhoham svabhāvatah

'There is no teacher. There is no teaching. There is nothing to condition. There is no duty for me. Understand me to be bodiless space itself. I am by nature pure.'

Chāndogya (7.3 2): atha yad idam brahma-pure pundarīkam vesma daharesmin antare ākāsah tasmin yad antah tad anvestavyam tad vā va vijijnāsitavyam iti. . . . esa ātmā apahata-pāpmā vijaro vimṛtyuh.

'Further in the location of *Brahman* in this lotus abode, in this subtle place there is within a point of space. That which lies within it ought to be sought for. It indeed ought to be reflected on. . . . This is Self. All sins are destroyed in it. It is free from change. It is free from death, that is, change and death do not exist there.'

55. višuddhosya šarīro si na te cittam parāt param aham cātmā param tattvam iti vaktum na lajjase.

'You are the pure body of this reality. Your mind is not yours. It is higher than the highest. Do not hesitate to say, "I am the highest Self, I am the supreme reality"."

Chāndogya (1.91). ākāšo ha vai jyāyān ākāšah parāyanam.

'Verily the absolute illumination is indeed the highest. The absolute illumination is the final goal.'

The word $\bar{a}k\bar{a}sa$ means absolute illumination. This is a compound of \bar{a} and $k\bar{a}sa$. \bar{A} means samantat, absolute. The root $k\bar{a}sr$ means illumination, $k\bar{a}sr$ $d\bar{i}pt\bar{a}u$.

Chāndogya (8 3.2-4): atha yecāsya iha jīvāh... anrtāpidhānāh ... hiranya-nidhim nihitam aksetrajnāh upary upari sancarantah na vindeyuh evam eva imāh sarvāh prajāh ahar ahar gacchantyah etaih brahma-lokam na vindanti, anrtena hi pratyūḍhāh sa vā esa ātmā hrdi... atha ya eṣa samprasādo asmāt śarīrāt samutthā

paramijyotir upasampadya svena rūpeņa abhinispadyate eşa ātmeti hovāca. etad amṛtam etat brahmeti.

'Further what jīvas are there here . . . obscured in falsehood. . . . Those that do not know the disposition of the place though they tread over and over again that place in which there is the treasure of gold hidden and yet do not understand (that there is the treasure). Similarly all these creatures though they go every day to this abode of Brahman do not understand (what they do). They are obscured in falsehood. That this Self is within the heart (of the aspirant). Further the following is what is called divine grace, that the aspirant having gone out of this body and having attained to the highest illumination obtains his real essence. He (the seer) said that this is Self. It is immortal. It is fearless. It is Brahman.'

56. katham rodişi re citta hy atmaivātmātmanā bhava piba vatsa kalātītam advaitam paramāmṛtam.

'O Mind! Why do you weep? Be Self itself by means of Self. Drink O Dear! the nectar, the best of its kind which is of the nature of equipoise, transcending all distinctions.'

Chāndogya (3.18.1): mano brahmety upāsīta.

'Mind ought to be meditated on as Brahman.'

Maṇḍākya (12): evain aumkāraḥ ātmaiva samvišati ātmanātmānam. 'Thus Self is expounded by the whole *Vcda*. (One who knows this truth) becomes Self by means of Self.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (4.3.32): eko draṣṭā advaito bhavati eṣa brahmalokaḥ.

'It is the one seer. It is identity, that is, equipoise. This the abode of Brahman.'

57. naiva bodho na cābodho na bodhābodha eva ca yasyedṛsaḥ sadā bodhaḥ sa bodho nānyathā bhavet.

'There is neither knowledge, nor non-knowledge nor half-knowledge. If to some one this knowledge occurs without break, that is knowledge. It is never defied, that is, it is never fruitless.'

Māndūkya (7): nāntah prajnam na bahih prajnam nobhayatah prajnam . . . aikātmya-pratyaya-sāram.

'It is neither the consciousness grasping the entities inside the body the consciousness grasping the external things, nor the consciousness having both the capacities. . . . It is of the essence of consciousness. It is the highest. It is Self complete.'

jnānam na tarko na samādhi yogo 58. na deśa-kālau na gurūpadeśah svabhāva-samvitur aham ca tattvam ākāśa-kalpam sahajam dhruvam ca.

'This knowledge is not of the character of human reasoning, nor is it the meditation prescribed by yoga. It does not presuppose particular space and time. It is not obtained by means of the instruction from a preceptor. It is consciousness in its essence. It is Reality. It is like space. It is spontaneity. It is stable as well.'

Chāndogya (1.1.10): yadeva vidyayā karoti śraddhayopanisadā tad eva vīryavattaram bhavati.

'Whichever is accomplished by Vidya (Brahma-Vidya) so far defined, by means of devotion and the study of the Upanisads alone is efficient.' Katha (1.2.3): naisā tarkena matir āpaneyā

'This knowledge is not something that can be produced by human reasoning, that is, empirical reasoning.'

Katha (2 5.15): tamevabhäntam anubhāti sarvam

'All this shines after that shining truth.'

Brhad-Āraņyaka (4 3 6): atmawāsya jyotih

'Self itself is its (Self's) illumination.'

Taittirīya (3 5): vijnānam brahma.

'Specialised knowledge is Brahman.'

na jātoham mrto vāpi na me karma subhāsubham

visuddham nirgunam brahma bandho muktih katham mama. 'I am not born I am not dead. I have no duty. No action of mine is good or evil. Pure and attributeless is Brahman. How can there be

bondage or liberation to me?"

Chândogya (8.4.1): na jarā na mrtyuh na śokah na sukṛtaṁ na duşkrtam, sarve pāpāno ato mvartante, apahata- pāpmā hy esa brahma-lokah.

'There is no old age, no death, no misery, no ment, no demerit. All sins are removed from this In it all sins are annulled. This is the location of Brahman."

60. yadı sarva-gato devah sthırah pürnah nırantarah antaram hi na pasyāmi sabāhyāntarah katham?

'If this Divine Being is all-pervading, stable, complete, and distinctionless, than I do not see any distinction. How can there be the thought that it is outside and inside?'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (2.5.19): tad etat brahma apūrvam anaparam anantaram abāhyam.

'That this Brahman is neither prior, nor posterior. It has nothing other. It has nothing that follows. It has nothing outside.'

61. sphuraty eva jagat kṛtsnam akhaṇḍita-nirantaram aho māyā mahā-moho dvaitādvaita-vikalpanā.

'The whole world is presented as equipoise without break or interval or distinction. Verily $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, nescience is a great delusion, an imagination of duality and non-duality.'

Bṛhad-Ārāṇyaka-Upaniṣad (2.5.18): yaṁ puruṣaḥ sarvāsu pūrṣu puriṣayaḥ nainena kiṁ ca nānāvṛtaṁ nainena kiṁcanāsaṁvṛtaṁ. 'This spirit underlies all bodies. There is nothing that is not covered by this. There is nothing that is not filled up by this.'

62. sākāram ca nirākāram neti netīti sarvadā bhedābheda-vinirmukto vartate kevalah sivah.

The dist nction such as that which is of form and that which is of no form is rever existent. That which is, is devoid of distinction and identity. It is single and auspicious.'

Bṛhad-Āranyaka (2.3.6): asya śrīrbhavati ya evam veda. athāta ādeśo neti neti nahy etasmād iti nety anyat param asti.

'To one who knows this truth, prosperity occurs. Further therefore the statement of the truth is this: nothing exists; nothing exists apart from this truth. There is nothing higher than this truth.'

na te ca mātā ca pitā ca bandhuḥ na te ca patnī na sutas ca mitram na pakṣapāto na vipakṣapātaḥ katham hi santaptir iyam hi citte?

'You have no mother, no father, no relative, no wife, no son, no friend, no party, not even non-party. Then how indeed are you justified in entertaining this anxiety in mind?'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (3.5.1): yad eva sākṣād aparokṣād brahma ya ātmā sarvāntaraḥ taṁ me vyācakṣva iti. eṣa te ātmā sarvāntaraḥ katamo yājnavalkya sarvāntaraḥ yośanāyā pipāse śokaṁ mohaṁ jarāṁ mṛtyuṁ atyeti. etaṁ vai taṁ ātmānaṁ viditvā brāhmaṇaḥ putrai ṣanāyāś ca vittaiṣaṇāyāṣ ca lokaiṣaṇāyāṣ ca vyutthāya atha bhikṣā-caryaṁ caranti. Yā hyaiva putraiṣaṇā sā vittaiṣaṇā yā vittaiṣaṇā sā lokaiṣaṇā. ubhe hy ete eṣaṇe eva bhavataḥ. tasmāt

brāhmaņah pāndītyam nirvidya bālyena tīsthāset. bālyam ca pāndītyam ca nirvidya atha munih amaunam ca maunam ca nirvidya atha brāhmanah, sa brāhmanah kena syād yena syāt tenedrša eva atonvad ārtam.

That alone is direct and immediate consciousness. It is therefore Brahman. That is Self which is all-pervading. Teach me that. Thus this is Self in you. It is all-permeating. What is that, Yājnavalkya, which is all-permeating? It is that which transcends desire for food and drink, misery, delusion, old age and death. Thus indeed having understood self, they (the aspirants) become Brāhmanas. Having rendered themselves free from desire for sons, desire for wealth and desire for position, they then follow and practise non-possession. Just that which is desire for sons is the desire for wealth. That which is the desire for wealth is the desire for positions. Both these are nothing but desires. Therefore one who is a Brahmana ought to obtain the knowledge of Brahman and having obtained knowledge he leads the life of a youth. Having obtained knowledge and the life of a youth he is then a sage. Having thus obtained both non-silence and silence he is then a Brāhmana. This Brāhmana leads the life of the nature which may be in this way or in that way, that is, he is satisfied with whatever comes to him. That which is other than this is imperfect, misery.'

64. divā naktam na te cittam udayāstamayau na hi videhasya sarīratvam kalpayanti katham budhāḥ?

'You have no day, no night, no mind. The sun does not rise and it does not set (for you). How can the wise super-impose body on that which is bodiless?'

Katha (2.4.5): yatas codeti sūryo astam yatra ca gacchatı tam devāh sarve arpıtāh tadu nātyeti kascana.

'In that out of which the sun rises and into which it sets, all Gods disappear (because they have their origin there). Nothing goes beyond it.'

65. na vibhaktam vibhaktam ca nahi duḥkha-sukhādi ca na hi sarvam asarvam ca viddhi cātmānam avyayam.

'It is either non-separated, nor separated; neither pleasure, nor pain, nor anything else; neither universal nor particular. Understand Self to be inexhaustible.'

Mundaka (3.2.7): pare avyaye sarvā ekībhavanti.
Ali become one in the abode of this highest and inexhaustible.'

66. nāhaṁ kartā na bhoktā ca na me karma purādhunā na me deho videho vā nirmameti mameti kiṁ.

'I am not a doer nor an enjoyer. There is no duty for me before or after. There is no body to me, nor no-body. Where is the necessity for me to see that something is not mine or mine.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (4.3.4): sā hovāca maitreyī ma iyam bhagoḥ sarvā pṛthivī vittena pūrṇā syāt sanv aham tena amṛtā aho. neti neti ho vāca. Yājnavalkyo yathopakaraṇavatām jīvitam tathaiva te jīvitam syāt. amṛtasya tu nāśāsti vitteneti. sā hovāca maitreyī yenāham nāmṛtā ayām kim aham tena kuryām. yad eva bhagavān veda tad eva me vibrūhi iti.

'The same Maitreyī said, supposing this O Revered' My all this earth is filled up with wealth, by following it, can I become immortal? Never, never, said Yājnavalkya. With the life of those who have several accessories, your life also becomes one. There is absolutely no hope of immortality, by means of wealth. She, Maitreyī said, with that by which I do not become immortal what shall I do? Whichever the most revered knows that alone tell me.'

In this passage expressions *vitta* and *upakaraṇa* cover all the items that are irrelevant to immortality.

67. na me rāgādiko doṣo duḥkhaṁ dehādikaṁ na me ātmānaṁ viddhi māṁ ekaṁ viśālaṁ gaganopamaṁ.

'In me there is no defect such as attachment. There is no pain such as body and so on in me. Understand me to be Self which is one, extended and similar to space.'

Chāndogya (4.11.1): soham asmi sa evāham asmi.

'He I am. He alone I am.'

Chāndogya (6.10.3): sa ātma tattvam asi.

'It is Atman. You are that.'

Aitareya (2.3.13): sa etam eva puruṣam brahma tatamam apaśyat. 'He realised this Self (puruṣa) alone as Brahman and all-permeating.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (2.4.5): na vā are sarvasya kāmāya sarvam priyam bhavati. ātmanas tu kāmāya sarvam priyam bhavati.

'O dear! Because of the desire of all, all does not become liked. Because of the desire of Self all become liked.'

The fact of liking something does not prove the truth of individuality. It rather proves the truth of Self, the author of all.

68. sakhe manah kim bahu jalpitena sakhe manah sarvam idam vitarkyam yat sāra-bhūtam kathitam mayā te tvam eva tattvam gaganopamosi

'O Mind, my friend! What is the good of much speaking? O Mind, my friend! All this is to be suspected. That which is fundamentally true is expounded by me to you. You are the Reality. You are like space.'

Brhad-Āranyaka (2 4 5): ātmāvāre drastavyah śrotavyo mantavyo nididhyāsitavyah... maitreyī ātmani khalv are drste śrute vijnāte idam sarvam viditam

'O Dearl Atman alone ought to be realised, understood, reflected on and meditated on O Maitreyi, if Atman, Self is realised, understood and appreciated, then all this becomes known'

yena kenāpi bhāvena yatra kutra mrtā api yoginah tatra liyante ghaṭākāśam ivāmbare

'In whatever circumstance and wheresoever the yogins, spiritual aspirants, may end their life, they disappear in it (Reality), as they are like the space conditioned by the jar disappearing in the larger space'

70 tirthe c\u00e4ntyaja-gehe v\u00e4 nasta-smrtir apı tyajan sama-k\u00e4le tanum muktah kaıvalya-vy\u00e4pako bhavet.

'In the presence of sacred water or in the house of an outcaste, even though memory fails to him if an aspirant leaves his body after apprehending the truth of equipoise, he becomes the attainer of aloofness'

69 and 70.

Chāndogya (4.156) atha yadu cawa asmın savyam kurvanti yadıca na arcısam eva abhısam-bhavanti . . . arcısah sa enān brahma gamayatı.

'Further in the case of this person (of wisdom) whether they observe funeral ceremonies or not, those persons (like him) go to the world of fire (they follow the path of fire). . . He (vāyu) takes them from the world of fire to Brahman.'

 dharmārtha-kāma-moksāmś ca dvipadādi-carācaram manyante yoginah sarvam marīci-jala-sannibham 'Duty, wealth, desire and release, and the creatures such as those that have two legs as well as non-moving entities, all this the yogins see to be similar to a mirage.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (1.3.8): asato mā sadgamaya.

'Take me from the unreal to the real.'

What is called 'the unreal' in this passage is compared to a mirage in the Avadhūta-Gītā.

72. atitānāgatam karma vartamānam tathaiva ca na karomi na bhunjāmi iti me niścalā matiḥ.

'Of the action past, future and present, I am not the doer nor am I the enjoyer. This is what I see and this gives no room for doubt.' Mundaka (3.1.1): tayor anyah pippalam svādv atti anasnan anyo abhicākasīti.

'Of the two (entities) one (the individual self) suffers from the result of its action. The other (*Brahman*) without enjoyment illumines in all.'

Bṛhad-Araṇyaka (3.8.8): na tad aśnāti kiṁcana. na tad aśnāti kaścana.

'It (Brahman) does not enjoy anything. No body enjoys It, that is, there is no body apart from It.'

73. śūnyāgāre samarasa-pūtaḥ tiṣṭhan ekaḥ sukhaṁ avadhūtaḥ carati hi nagnaḥ tyaktvā garvaṁ vindati kevalaṁ ātmani sarvaṁ.

'In the abode of spiritual bliss, purified by the essence of equipoise, living happily, with no companion the philosopher moves on being nude, i.e. depending on nothing, without egoism. He sees Self to be all-complete.'

The Vedic word sūnya means spiritual bliss.

Muṇḍaka (3.1.4): vijānan vidvān bhavate nātivādī ātma-kriḍaḥ ātma-ratiḥ kriyāvān. eṣa brahma-vidām variṣṭhaḥ.

'Understanding Self (that is, *Brahman*), the aspirant becomes wise. He does not speak much. He is engaged in Self. His amusement is in Self. He is active. He is the highest of those that know *Brahman*. *Brhad-Āranyaka* (4.4.7): atha martyo amṛto bhavati. atra brahma samasnuta iti.

'Further (when all desires are extinguished) the mortal man becomes immortal. In this state he understands Brahman.'

74. tritaya turiyam nahi nahi yatra
vindati kevalam ātmani tatra
dharmādharmo nahi nahi yatra
baddho muktah kathani iha tatra?

'Where there is never the three-fold state as well as the fourth one, in the circumstance in which the self is seen to be all-complete, there is never duty nor no-duty. How can there be the one who is bound or the one who is liberated?'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (2.36): athāta ādeśah neti neti, na hy etasmād iti nety anyat param asti, atha nāmadheyam satyasya satyam, iti. 'Further, for you the instruction is "not, not". Not indeed from this. Thus there is not something else that is higher. Further, the name, that is, the definition (of this truth). The Real of the Real. Thus.'

vindati vindati nahi nahi mantram chando-lakṣanaṁ nahi nahi tantraṁ samarasa-magno bhāvita-pūtaḥ pralapitaṁ etat paraṁ avadhūtaḥ.

'He does not know any hymn called *Vcda*. He does not indeed know any hymn called *Vcda*. Nor does he know any practice. Nor does he indeed know any practice. He is absorbed in the absolute truth signified as equipoise. He is purified by meditation. This highest truth is well expounded (by him). He is the philosopher.'

Kaļha (1.2.15): sarve vedāh yat padam āmananti. ... tat te padam sangrahena bravīmi. aum ity etat. . . . kas tam madāmadam devam madanyo jnātum arhati.

'All Vedas reveal the nature of this Truth. . . . This nature I tell you briefly. . . . aum is this. . . . How can any one other than myself be able to know this shining one which is of the nature of the bliss of the bliss.'

76. sarva-šūnyam ašūnyam ca satyāsatyam na vidyate svabhāva-bhāvatah proktam šāstra-samvitti-pūrvakam.

There is neither all-void nor non-void; neither real nor non-real. This is expounded on the strength of knowledge in essence made explicit by philosophy.

Kalha (1.2.9-12-22): naiṣā tarkena matır āpaneyā proktānyenaiva sujnānāya preṣṭā. . . . tam durdarsam güdham anupraviṣṭam guhāhitam gahvareṣṭham purānam adhyātma-yogādhigamena devam matvā dhīrah. . . . tasyaiṣa ātmā vivinute tanūm svām.

'This knowledge is not something that can be produced by human reasoning. The instruction given by a proper preceptor alone, O Dearl can produce that knowledge which is defectless. . . . It (Self) transcends perception. It is hidden. It is all pervading. It is located in the innermost region of the heart. It is beyond reach. It is beloved. It pervades all bodies. By means of practising discipline pertaining to the truth of Self, having understood this shining one, a person becomes a man of wisdom . . . whom this Self chooses to be its own, to him it reveals its form.'

iti śrī dattātreya-viracitāyām avadhūta-gītāyām ātma-samvittiupadešo nāma prathamodhyāyaḥ.

'Thus ends the First Chapter entitled "The Instruction of Knowledge in the Avadhüta-Gītā composed by Śrī Dattātreya".'

CHAPTER II

Avadhūta teaches:

r. bālasya vā viṣaya-bhoga-ratasya vāpi mūrkhasya sevaka-janasya gṛha-sthitasya etad-guroḥ kim api naiva vicintanīyam ratnam katham tyajati kopy aśucau pratiṣṭham?

'There need be no concern whether the Guru happens to be a boy or a man or one who is found gratified with pleasures of sense or seems obstinate, whether he be a slave or a householder. For who will reject a gem for the mere reason that it is found in an impure place?' Muṇḍaka (3.1.10): tasmād ātmajnaṁ hy arcayet bhūti-kāmaḥ.

'Therefore one who aspires after his well-being must adore the knower of the Self.'

Chāndogya (4.9.1–3): satyakāmā iti. bhagava iti ha prati-susrāva. brahma-vidiva vai somya bhāsi. ko nu tvā anusasāsa? iti. anye manuṣyebhyaḥ iti ha pratijagne. bhagavam stv eva me kāmam brūyāt. srutam hy eva me bhagavad-dṛśebhyaḥ—ācāryāddhy eva vidyā viditā sādhiṣṭam prāpayatīti—tasmai hyaitad evovāca—atra na kim ca na viyāyeti, viyāyeti.

O satyakāmā! Thus he addressed. Revered Sir! Thus he answered. My good student! Indeed, you shine as though you know *Brahman*. Who did instruct you? Thus he asked. Those that are different from men. Thus indeed he replied. The revered master himself must kindly

teach me as he likes. I have heard that knowledge imparted by preceptors like you becomes rooted. Thus he said. He (the preceptor) told him indeed only the following. There is nothing lost—nothing lost.'

Chāndogya (4.14.1):

te hocuh upakosala, esa somya te asmad-vidyā âtma-vidya ca ācāryas tu te gatim vaktā iti.

'They (the Gods) said, O Upakosala! This is, O Dear! for you the science dealing with us as well as the science dealing with Self. Your preceptor is the person to tell you the correct path.'

The idea is that the preceptor is justified merely because he is the preceptor.

naıvātra kāvya-guna eva tu cintanīyo grāhyah param guņavatā khalu sāra eva sindūra citra rahitā bhuvi rūpa- śūnyā pāram na kim nayati naur iha gantu-kāmān?

'His (the preceptor's) teaching ought not to be judged from the accepted standpoint of literary excellence. By one who is indeed after truth, only the essence ought to be apprehended. Does not a boat even though it lacks beauty and is unpainted in colours carry through those that want to cross (a river) to the other bank?' Mundaka (1.5): atha parā yayā tad akṣaram adhigamyate. . . . na cakṣuṣā grhyate nāpi vācā. esonur ātmā cetasā veditavyaḥ 'That exposition is the highest by which that imperishable Truth is understood . . . the Truth cannot be apprehended by eye or by speech. The subtle Self can be appreciated only by mind.'

This appreciation is not governed by the merits merely linguistic in character.

3. prayatnena vinā yena niścalena calācalam grastam svabhāvatah śāntam caitanyam gaganopamam.

Without a steady effort, the Self, which is of the character of peace, which is identical with the entities moving and non-moving and is similar to space is grasped of its own accord."

Tailliriya (2.8): ānandah, śrotriyasya ca akāmahatasya.

The spiritual bliss occurs to one who is devoted to Sruli and who is not distracted by desire.'

Every will, is conditioned by desire. Hence it is not required to understand Truth.

Kaṭha (1.2.23): nāśānta-mānaso vāpi prajnānenainam āpnuyāt. 'The person whose mind is distracted does never indeed attain to this Truth (Self) by means of wisdom.'

4. ayatnāc cālayed yas tu ekam eva carācaram sarvagam tat katham bhinnam advaitam vartate mama.

'Spontaneously if one understands this Truth which is only one, which is both moving and non-moving entities, which is all-pervading, then how can it be different? To me there is only one Truth, identity, that is equipoise.'

Kaṭha (2.4.11): manasaivedam āptavyam neha nānāsti kimcana. 'Truth ought to be appreciated within the mind; (because) It is devoid of distinction.'

5. aham eva param yasmāt sārāsārataram sivam gamāgama-vinirmuktam nirvikalpam nirākulam.

'I alone am the Highest; I am the substantial and the insubstantial, the holy, and neither moving to and fro, an indeterminate and unperplexed.'

Katha (1.2.20): āsīno dūram vrajati sayāno yāti sarvatah ... maḥāntam vibhum ātmānam....

'Sitting it goes farther. Lying it goes everywhere. . . . The highest, all-pervading Self. . . .'

6. sarvāvayava-nirmuktam tathāham tridaśārcitam sampūrņatvān na grņhāmi vibhāgam tridaśādikam.

'That which is devoid of all limbs is myself. It is that which is worshipped by Gods. Because it is complete I do not see the division such as Gods and so on (in it).'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (I.4.7–IO): atmety evopāsīia. atra hy ete sarva ekam bhavanti. . . . aham manur abhavam sūryaśceti tadidam apy etarhi ya evam veda. aham brahmāsmīti sa idagm sarvam bhavati. It (the Truth) ought to be meditated on as Self. In this Truth all become one. . . . I became Manu and also Sūrya. If a person indeed knows this (the Truth so far expounded) as "I am Brahman", he indeed becomes all this, that is, he indeed becomes all-complete. Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (2.4.14): yatra vā asya sarvam ātmaivābhūt tat kena kam. . . . paśyet.

'In this circumstance indeed in the case of this aspirant everything became Self Itself. In this case by what means what can one see?'

Brhad-Āranyaka (2.5.15): sa vā ayam ātmā sarveṣām bhūtānām adhipatih. . . . asmin ātmanı sarvānı bhūtāni sarve devāh sarve lokāh sarve prānāh sarva eta ātmanah samarpitāh.

'That this Self is the Lord of all creatures... In this Self all creatures, all Gods, all worlds, all vital principles, all (individual) selves became dedicated, that is, their individuality was lost there'

7. pramādena na sandehah kim karişyāmi vrttivān utpadyante viliyante budbudās ca yathā jale.

'Owing to illusion there is no doubt or suspicion caused (with regard to the Reality). (In the presence of illusion) what is the use of being a good or a wicked man? Things come into being and go out of it even as bubbles on the surface of water.'

Kaļha (2.5 13): nityo nityānām.

'(The Truth is) the eternal of the eternals.'

Kaiha (1.2.10): nahyadhruvaih prāpyate hi dhruvam tat.

'That enduring one cannot indeed be attained by means of non-enduring means.'

8. mahadādīni bhūtani samāpyaivam sadaiva hi mrdudravyesu tikṣneṣu guḍeṣu kaṭukeṣu ca.

'The entities mahat and so on end indeed always in the same manner in things—soft, hard, tasteful and non-tasteful.'

Kaļha (2.4.3): yena rūpam rasam gandham sabdam sparsāms ca maithunān. etenaiva vijānāti kim atra parisisyate.

'Colour, taste, smell, sound, touch and contact are due to this All knowing is on account of this. Then what remains for one to say?'

9. katutvam caiva šaityatvam mṛdutvam ca yathā jale prakṛtih purusah tadvat abhinnam pratibhāti me.

'Hardness,' coldness and softness are found to co-exist in water. Similarly matter and spirit, it seems to me, co-exist in inseparability.' Katha (1.3.11): avyaktāt purusah paraḥ purusān na paraṁ kimcit sā kāṣṭhā sā parā gatih.

'Spirit transcends matter. Nothing is there that transcends spirit. That is the summit and the summum bonum.'

This passage leads to the conclusion that there is absolutely no distinction in Self. This is therefore the process of finding out the Absolute in which all conditions and therefore all distinctions disappear. This truth is illustrated by such passages as:—

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (2.1.20): sa yathorṇanābhiḥ tantunoccaret yathāgneḥ kṣudrā viṣphulingāḥ vyuccaranti evam evāsmād-ātmanaḥ sarve prāṇāḥ sarve lokāḥ sarve devāḥ sarvāṇi bhūtāni vyuccaranti. 'As the web is uttered by the spider, as sparks scintillate from fire, so all lives, all worlds, all gods, all things are uttered by the Self.'

Mundaka (2.1.1): tadetat satyam. yathā sudīptāt pāvakāt visphulingāh sahasrasah prabhavante sarūpāh tathākṣarāt vividhāh somya bhāvāh prajāyante tattra caivāpi yanti.

'This is the truth. As from a flaming fire sparks are scintillated in thousands, so from the Imperishable Reality, multifarious entities emanate and return to it.'

10. sarvākhyā-rahitam yad yat sūkṣmāt sūkṣmataram param manobuddhīndriyātītam akalankam jagatpatim.

'Devoid of all names, the subtlest of the subtle, the highest conceivable transcending mind, intellect and the senses, the taintless and the Lord of the Universe.'

Chāndogya (6.4.5): etaddhasma vai tadvidvāgmsah āhuh... aśrutam amatam avijnātam ... iti....

'It is indeed this and this alone that the wise said . . . not heard, not known and not understood . . . thus.'

Kena (1.4) yad vācānabhyuditam.

'That which is not expounded by means of speech.'

 idṛśaṁ sahajaṁ yatra ahaṁ tatra kathaṁ bhave tvaṁ evahi kathaṁ tatra kathaṁ tatra carācaraṁ.

'If the Truth is spontaneously appreciated in this manner, in that circumstance how can I (individual) exist? How can you (the individual) exist? How can the moving and the non-moving entities exist there?'

Chāndogya (6.10.3): sa ya eşoņimaitadātmyam idam sarvam.

'That which is so far expounded is this Truth. It is very subtle. All this is of this self.'

Katha (1.1.6): nityam vibhum sarvagatam susūksmam.

'It is eternal, all-pervading, omnipresent and subtlemost.'

Taittirīya (2.7): etasmin adršye anātmye anilayane anirukte.

'In this which is beyond perception, being the self of all which is selfless, being the abode of all which is abodeless and being the source of speech as such which is beyond speech.'

12. gaganopamam tu yat proktam tad eva gaganopmam caitanyam doşa-hinam ca sarvajnam pürnam eva ca

'The Truth is expounded to be similar to space. The same truth which is similar to space is spiritual, defectless, omniscient and complete.' Katha (2.5.13). cetanaḥ cetanānām.

'It is the spirit of the spirits.' For it is the source of all that is spiritual. Mundaka (2.2.7): yassarvajnah sarvavit.

'It knows all and obtains all.'

Chândogya (8 4.1): sarva pāpmāno ato nivartante apahata-pāpmā 'All sins are removed from this. It is that in which all sins are annulled.'

 prithivyām. caritam naiva mārutena ca vāhitam vārinā pihitam naiva tejo-madhye vyavasthitam.

'It is not indeed something that takes place on earth (that belongs to earth) It is not carried away by air. It is not obscured by water. It is not found in the midst of fire.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (3 8.15): yas sarvesu bhūtesu tisthan . sarvāni bhūtāni . . . antaro yamayatı

'It is that which is in all creatures . . . which controls all creatures from within.'

14. ākāśam tena samvyāptam na tad vyāptam ca kenacit sa bāhyābhyantaram tiṣṭhaty avacchinnam nirantaram.

'Space is pervaded by It. But nothing pervades It. It exists both inside and outside simultaneously It is unlimited. It is undivided.' Katha (2.5.8). tad u natyeti kascana.

'Nothing goes beyond It, that is, Nothing pervades It.'

süksmatvät tad adr

syatvät nirgunatväc ca yogibhih

älambanädi yat proktam kramäd älambanam bhavet.

'Because it is subtle, because it is unseen and also because it is qualityless, that which is, by the aspirants taken to be the basis (the object of meditation) becomes basis veritably.'

The idea is that meditation does not start from the knowledge of Truth. Rather the knowledge of Truth gradually emerges from meditation. Hence meditation on the Truth is contradiction in terms.

Mundaka (1.6). yat tad adreśyam agrāhyam.

'That which is beyond thought, beyond contemplation.'

Katha (1.2.17): etad-ālambanam śreṣṭham etad-ālambanam param. 'To depend upon this object of meditation is the best. It is the highest.'

16. satatābhyāsa-yuktas tu nirālambo yadā bhavet tal-layāt-liyate nāntarguņadoṣa-vivarjitaḥ.

'When a person engaged in ceaseless spiritual endeavour will have no anchor for his meditation, he is lost, and it is impossible for him to get rid of the merits and demerits in him.'

Katha (2.6.15): yadā sarve prabhidyante hṛdayasyeha granthayaḥ, atha martyo amṛto bhavati.

'When all the knots of the heart are cut asunder, then the mortal becomes the immortal.'

Katha (1.2.12): adhyātma-yogādhigamena devain matvā.

'Having understood the Divine by means of spiritual discipline pertaining to the truth of the Self. . . .' What is presented as "divine" in this passage is what is presented as *ālambana*, basis, in the previous passages.'

17. viṣa-viśvasya raudrasya moha-mūrchā-pradasya ca ekain eva vināśāya hy amogham sahajāmṛtam.

'There is only one precious remedy to get rid of the world poison, which is terrible, deluding and dementing and that is the ambrosia of equipoise.'

Kațha (1.3.2): abhayam titīrșatām pāram.

'The safest bank for those who want to cross (the ocean of bondage).'

18. bhāva-gamyam nirākāram sākāram dṛṣṭi-gocaram bhāvābhāva-vinirmuktam antarālam tad ucyate.

'Being formless it is apprehended by insight, as having form it is perceivable by the eye. The innermost Self is said to be indescribable as being or non-being.'

The same is expounded in the following verses:

Katha (2.4.11): manasaivedam āptavyam.

'This is something that can be realised only by insight.'

19. bāhya-bhāvam bhaved višvam antah prakṛtir ucyate antarādantaram jneyam nārikela-phalāmbuvat.

'In its outward aspect it becomes the cosmos and in its inner aspect comes to be known as Nature. It is to be understood as the innermost of the inner like the sweet water within the cocoanut.'

Katha (2,2,6): guhyam brahma sanātanam.

'The secret, the most ancient is Brahman.'

Bṛhad-Āranyaka (3.7.15): yah sarveşu bhūteşu tisthan . . . sarvāni bhūtānyantaro yamayati eşa ta ātmā antaryāmy amrtah.

'It (Self) being within all creatures... controls within all creatures. This is your Self. It controls from within. It is immortal.'

20. bhrānti-jnānam sthitam bāhyam samyag jnānam ca madhyagam

madhyān madhyataram jneyam nārīkela-phalāmbuvat.

'Outermost knowledge is knowledge of appearance. Correct knowledge is in the middle and within the middle lies concealed the highest thing to be known. It is like the sweet water inside the cocoanut.' Kena (1.3). anyad eva tat vidität atho aviditäd adhi.

'It is other than all that is known. Further it is beyond all that is not known.'

 paurnamäsyäm yathā candra eka evātinirmalah tena tat-sadṛśam paśyet bheda-dṛṣṭiḥ viparyayaḥ

'The moon on the full moon day is one only and is very clear. One ought to understand the Truth to be similar to it The thought of difference is an illusion.'

Brhad-Āranyaka (3.1.6): tad yad idam manah so sau candrah sa brahma sā muktih.

'That this is mind. That this is moon. It is Brahman. It is freedom.'

anenaiva prakārena buddhi-bhedo na sarvagah dātā ca dhīratām eti gīyate nāma-koṭibhiḥ.

'In view of the previous ideas, difference in apprehension is not universal. One who gives knowledge (of the truth) becomes a hero. He is to be applicated in crores of names.'

Brhad-Iranyaka (1.4.10): ya evam veda aham brahmāsmīti sa idagm sarvam bhavati, tasya ha na devās ca nābhūtyā īšate, ātmā hy esāgm sa bhavati.

'He who knows "I am Brahman" he indeed becomes all this. In his case even the Gods are unable to do evil The Self indeed of them he becomes.'

23. guru-prajnā-prasādena mūrkho vā yadi panditaḥ yastu sambudhyate tattvam virakto bhava-sāgarāt. 'By means of the knowledge which is purely the gift of the preceptor, if a person, whether he is (said to be) a fool or a learned man, understands Truth then he is detached from the ocean of bondage.'

Taittirīya (1.11.2): vedam anūcyācāryontevāsinam anu-śāsti . . . acāryāya priyam dhanam āhṛtya . . . acārya devo bhava.
'After having taught the Veda the preceptor instructs the pupil. . . . Having paid the preceptor that money which pleases him . . . become one to whom the preceptor is God.'

24. rāgadveṣa-vinirmuktaḥ sarvabhūta-hite rataḥ dṛḍha-bodhaṣ ca dhīras ca sa gacchet paramaṁ padaṁ.

'The person who is free from attachment and hatred, who is intent upon doing good to all creatures, whose knowledge is stable and who is undefied attains to the highest Truth.'

Kaṭha (1.3.9): vijnāna-sārathir yas tu manaḥ-pragrahavān naraḥ sodhvanaḥ pāraṁ āpnoti tad viṣṇoḥ paramaṁ padaṁ.

'He who is directed by specialised knowledge; the man whose mind is under perfect control: It is he who reaches the end of the path 'of spiritual discipline). That is the highest abode of Viṣṇu.'

25. ghate bhinne ghatākāśa ākāśe līyate yathā dehābhāve tathā yogi svarūpe paramātmani.

'After the destruction of a jar, even as the space contained in it merges in the larger space, the spiritual aspirant in the absence of body merges in his own essence which is no other than the highest Self.'

Taittirīya (3.10.4-5): sa yaścāyam puruṣe. yaś cāsāvāditye. sa ekaḥ. sa ya evam vit. asmāllokāt pretya—kāmarūpyanu-sancaran—suvarṇa jyotiḥ. ya evam veda. ityupaniṣad.

'He is the same who is in the individual Self. He alone is in the sun. He is one. One who knows the truth in this manner after death (going out) from this world—moving on taking the form according to his desire (becomes) illumination which is the same as spiritual bliss. He who knows this truth in the manner expounded here. This is the conclusion arrived at by the *Upanisad*.'

26. ukteyam karma-yuktānām matir yāntepi sā gatiḥ na coktā yoga-yuktānām matir yāntepi sā gatiḥ.

'The knowledge which is prescribed for those who have duties and which is said to be their protection to the very end is not prescribed

for those who practise spiritual discipline and it is not their protection to the very end.'

Mundaka (1.2.7): avaram-karma, etat śreyo ye abhinandanti mūḍhāh jarā mrtyum te punar evāpiyanti.

Inferior is action Those who hold that this is good are fools. Again they surely have old age and death.'

27. yā gatiḥ karma-yuktānām sā ca vāgindriyād vadet yoginām yā gatih kvāpi hy akathyā bhavatorjitā

'It is possible to say by means of speech the direction of those that have duty. But the direction that the spiritual aspirants have cannot be stated by you. It is the direction which is full of promise (beyond speech).'

Mımdaka (1 2.7) plavā hy ete adrohā yajna-rūpāh.

'These disciplines called sacrifices are boats weak and unsafe in their construction.'

Mundaka (1.2.10) istāpūrtam manyamānā varistham nānyat šreyo samvidante pramūdāh.

'Thinking that sacrifice and charity are the best of disciplines, those fools never understand that the real discipline is something else'

28. evam jnätvä tvamum märgam yoginäm naiva kalpitam vikalpa-märjanam tesäm svayam siddhih pravartate.

'For the spiritual aspirants who know this path, the state of being affected by imagination does not occur. To them realisation occurs of its own accord'

Mundaka (28) bhidyate hṛdaya-granthih chidyante sarva-samśayāh. ksiyante cāsya karmāṇi tasmin drste parāvare

'The knot of the heart is cut asunder, all doubts are answered and all the actions of the aspirant are exhausted when the highest Truth is realised.'

29 tirthe vä antyaja gehe vä yatra kutra mṛtopi vä na yogi pasyate garbham pare brahmani liyate.

'In the presence of the holy water or in the house of an outcaste or in whichever place he meets his end, the spiritual aspirant does not see rebirth and he merges in *Brahman*, the highest.'

Chândegya (4-15-6): atha yad u caivāsmin šavyam karma kurvanti yadi ca na arcisam evābli sambhavati . . . ímam mānavam āvartam nāvartante nāvartante.

'Further, with regard to the man of wisdom, whether they conduct the funeral ceremony or not he goes to the world of illumination.... They (such persons) again do not go through the whirl of human cycle.'

sahajam ajam acintyam yas tu paśyet svarūpam ghaṭati yadi yatheṣṭam lipyate naiva doṣaiḥ sakṛd api tadabhāvāt karma kim cin na kuryāt tad api na ca vibaddaḥ samyamī vā tapasvī.

'Spontaneous, unborn, ununderstandable is the essence (Truth). If one realises it and to one's entire satisfaction enjoys it, then one is never tainted by defects. Merely because this realisation has not occurred even once, one ought not to follow the path of action. This is how a mendicant or a person who practises austerity is not bound (by action).'

Muṇḍaka (3.2.2): kāmān yaḥ kāmayate manyamānaḥ sa kāmabhih jāyate tatra tatra. paryāpta-kāmasya kṛtātmanastu ihaiva sarve pravilīyanti kāmāḥ.

'He who desires things even though he knows (the truth) becomes born here and there owing to desires. But in the case of one in whom desires come to an end and who has made one's self accomplished, that is, who has understood Self, in this very life all desires come to an end.'

nirmayam niṣpratimam nirākṛtim nirāśrayam nirvapuṣam nirāśiṣam nirdvandva nirmoham alupta-śaktikam tam īśam ātmānamupaɪti śāṣvatam.

That which is defectless, that which is not similar to anything, that which is formless, that which needs no support, that which needs no body, that which needs no food, that which is not conditioned by anything, that which makes illusion impossible, that the power of which is never modified, that is the Lord Self, ever enduring. Him he attains.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (4.3.32): eṣāsya paramā gatiḥ. eṣāsya paramā sampat. eṣosya paramo lokaḥ. eṣosya parama ānandaḥ. etasyaivānandasya anyāni bhūtāni mātrām upajīvanti.

'This (Brahman) is the highest goal of the spiritual aspirant. This is the highest wealth. This is his highest position. This is his highest bliss. All other creatures live indeed on the speck of this bliss.'

32.

vedo na dikṣā na ca munḍana-kriyā gurur na śiśyo na ca yatra sampadah mudrādikam cāpī na yatra bhāsate tam išām ātmānam upaitī šāśvatam

'No manifest need is here of *Veda*, discipline, sacrifice connected with removing hair, teacher, pupil, wealth of different kinds and religious marks. He attains thus the eternal supreme Self.'

Brhad-Āraņyaka (4.3.22). atra pitā apitā bhavatı mātā amātā lokā alokā devā adevā vedā avedāh . . . tīrno hi tadā sarvān śokān. . . .

'In this (truth of Self) father is no father, mother is no mother, worlds are no worlds, gods are no gods, *Vedas* are no *Vedas*... (the spiritual aspirant) in this circumstance has transcended all miseries.'

33

na śāmbhavam śāktika-mānavam na vā pindam ca rūpam ca padādikam na vā ārambha-niṣpatti ghaṭādikam ca no tam īśam ātmānam upaiti śāśvatam.

'There is no greatness attributed to Sambhu, no greatness attributed to Saktı, no greatness attributed to Manu, there is no content, no form, no limbs feet and so on, no entity such as a jar which is produced and furnished. That is the Lord Self ever enduring. Him he attains.'

Mundaka (3.2.10–11): tadetad rcābhyuktam, kriyāvantah śrotriyāh brahmanisthāh svayam juhuta ekarşı śraddhävantah tesām evaisām brahma vidyām vadeta tadetat satyam . . . naitad acīrna vrato adhīte.

'This that is so far expounded is established by the hymn. These that are active (in the direction of knowledge), those that are devoted to *Śruli*, those that are devoted to *Brahman*, those that have self-sacrifice, those that have allegiance to one seer, that is, the seer of *Veda*, only to these this science of *Brahman* ought to be taught. What is stated so far is true. This science, one who has not taken a vow shall not study.'

34.

yasya svarūpāt sacarācaram jagad utpadyate tisthati līyatepi vā payo-vikārād iva phena-budbudās tam īšam ātmānam upaitī šāšvatam. 'This is Its essence from which the whole world, moving and non-moving, is produced, maintained and destroyed even as the bubbles on the foam owing to the change in water. That is the Lord Self, the all-enduring. Him he attains.'

Taittirīya (3.1): yato vā imāni bhūtāni jāyante. yena jātāni jīvanti. yat prayanti abhi samvišanti. tad vijijnāsasva tad brahmeti.

'From which all these creatures are born, by which those that are born are maintained, in which they are destroyed, into which they enter, enquire into It. That is *Brahman*. This the conclusion.'

35. nāsā-nirodho na ca dṛsṭir āsanaṁ bodhopy abodhopi na yatra bhāsate nāḍī-pracāropi na yatra kiṁcit taṁ īśāṁ ātmānaṁ upaiti śāśvataṁ.

'No breath-control need we here, nor the steady stare nor the postures of the body. Nothing is here to be learned or unlearned, nor need we the regulation of nerves. One merely attains the Supreme and Eternal Self.'

Kaṭha (2.6.16): śataṁ caikā ca hṛdayasya nāḍyah tāsāṁ mūrdhānaṁ abhinissṛtaikā. tayordhvaṁ āyan amṛtatvaṁ eti.

'The nerves that belong to the heart are one hundred and one. Above all of them, that is, at the top of them there is extended one nerve. The person who transcends, that is, goes above both these (nerves) attains to immortality.'

36. nānātvam ekatvam ubhatvam anyatā aņutva-dīrghatva-mahatva-śūnyatā mānatva-meyatva-samatva-varjitam tam īśam ātmānam upaiti śāśvatam.

'There is (in the truth) the absence of manifoldness, oneness, duality, otherness, infinitesimalness, length and largeness. It is devoid of the state of being proved by something else. It is devoid of the state of being an object. It is devoid of the state of being compared to other things. That is the Lord Self, the all-enduring. Him he attains.'

Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (3.8.8): sa hovāca etaddhaitad akṣaraṁ gārgi brāhmaṇā abhivadanti asthūlam anaṇu ahrasvaṁ adīrghaṁ. . . . 'He (Yāhnavalkya) said—Indeed similarly as those brāhmaṇas teach, O Gārgil this imperishable Truth is that which is not gross, not infinitesimal, not short, not long. . . . '

37.

susamyamī vā yadı vā na samyamī susangrahī vā yadı vā na sangrahī niskarmako vā sakarmakah tam īšam ātmānam upaitı šāšvatam.

'Whether he is a mendicant or a non-mendicant, whether he has amassed wealth or has not amassed wealth, whether he has not done his ritualistic duty or has done his ritualistic duty, he attains the Lord Self, the all-enduring.'

Brhad-Āraņyaka (3.8 10) ya etad akşaram gärgi viditvā asmāl lokāt praiti sa brāhmanaḥ.

'He who, O Gargi' having understood this imperishable Truth goes out of this world is Brāhmaņa'

38

mano na buddhih na śarīram indriyam tanmātra-bhūtāni na bhūta-pancakam ahamkrtis cāpi viyat-svarūpakam tam īśam ātmānam upaiti śāśvatam.

'There is no mind, no intellect, no body, no sense organ, no subtle elements, no five-fold element, no "I"ness. (the truth) is of the nature of space. That is the Lord Self, the all-enduring. Him he attains.'

Katha (1.2.21) asarīram sarīresu . . . mahāntam vibhum ātmānam matvā dhīro na socati

'(The Self) is bodiless in the bodies. . . . It is the highest. It is omnipotent. It is Self. Having understood this, an aspirant becomes a man of wisdom. He does not suffer misery.'

39

vidhau nirodhe paramātmatām gate na yoginas cetasi bhedavarjite śaucam na vāśaucam alingabhāvanā sarvam vidheyam yadīvā nişidhyate.

If all the commandment is negated, if everything is realised to be the highest Self, if the mind is free from dualistic ideas, and if the talk of luck and so on are abandoned, then in the case of that aspirant there is neither purity nor impurity, nor the distinction of sex.'

Kalla (1.2.14) anyatra dharmat anyatradharmat anyatrasmat kṛtākṛtāt, anyatra bhūtāc ca bhavyāc ca yat tat paśyasi tadvada. 'Beyond duty, beyond non-duty, beyond this which is done and beyond this which is not done, beyond what is past and beyond what is to come, that which you see tell me that...'

40. mano vaco yatra nasaktam īritum
nūnam katham tatra gurūpadesatā
imām kathām uktavato guroḥ tad
tad yuktasya tattvam hi samam prakāsate.

'If that is what (which) mind and speech are not capable of expounding, where then is the possibility of having instruction from a preceptor with reference to it? From the (real) preceptor who has received this discourse and who has realised the union with that Truth, the Truth of equipoise itself shines indeed.'

Muṇḍaka (1.2.12): tad vijnānārtham sa gurum evābhigacchet samit-pāṇiḥ śrotriyam brahma niṣṭham.

'Having disowned all egohood the student ought to go to a guru (teacher) who follows the tradition of Śruti and who is devoted to Brahman (as expounded by Śruti).'

Chāndogya (4.9.3): acāryād hi eva vidyā viditā sādhiṣṭhaṁ prāpayati. 'Only that learning which is obtained from a (proper) preceptor leads one to the goal.'

Chāndogya (4.14.1): ācāryas tu te gatim vaktā.

'Only ācārya (guru) is the person that tells you the right direction.'

śrī dattātreya- viracitāyām avadhūta- gītāyām ātma-samvittyupadeśo nāma dvitīyodhyāyah.

'Thus ends the second chapter entitled "The Instruction of Knowledge" in the Avadhūta-Gītā composed by Śrī Dattātreya.'

CHAPTER III

Avadhūta says:---

guṇa-viguṇa-vibhāgo vartate naiva kiṁcit rati-virati-vihīnam nirmalam niṣprapancam guṇa-viguṇa-vihīnam vyāpakam viśva-rūpam katham aham iha vande vyoma-rūpam śivam vai.

'The distinction of virtue and vice, pleasure and pain does not apply to It which is unsoiled and unworldy, omnipresent and omnimorphous like space itself. How shall I pay homage to this Holy Being?'

2. śvetādi-varṇa-rahito niyatam śivaś ca kāryam hi kāraṇam idam hi param śivaś ca evam vikalparahitoham alam sivaśca svātmānam ātmani sumitra katham namāmi?

'It is devoid of colours like white and the like. It is self-possessed and holy. It is both the cause and effect of itself. It is distinctionless and stainless. It is the Holy Being. O good friend! How shall I salute the Self with the Self?'

3. nırmūla-mūla-rahıto hi sadoditoham nirdhūma-dhūma-rahıto hi sadoditoham nirdipa-dīpa-rahito hi sadoditoham nirdipa-dīpa-rahito hi sadoditoham nirdipa-dīpa-rahito hi sadoditoham.

'I am radically unoriginated and ever risen. Unclouded, no cloud can conceal me I am ever risen. Unlighted, no light can make me shine for I am ever risen. I am the nectar of knowledge, imbued with harmony, and am like the vault of heaven.'

(The word aham is used in this verse in two circumstances. (1) sadoditah aham: In this expression the word aham is in the masculine gender. (2) jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham. In this expression the attributes of aham are both in neuter gender and in masculine gender. So the circumstance in which this aham is used is different from the circumstance in which aham is used in the former case. Further, Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka (1.4.1) says with reference to Ātman the ground of all aham nāmā abhavat. 'It became the bearer of the name aham.' This gives the idea that the Truth is Ātman and that is 'I'. Further the same Upaniṣad (1.6.1) says samam . . . brahma . . . sarvāni nāmāni bibhartī. 'Equipoise is Brahman. . . . All names it bears.' Aham is one of these names.

In keeping with these ideas ahaii coming at the end of the verse is taken to be a separate attitude of the Truth and it is translated as 'That is I'. The idea contained in this translation is that the knowledge of the truth is the knowledge of 'I'. As it is already noted under the first verse of the Jīvannukta-Gītā what is commonly called 'I' is not the 'I' presented as Truth. The word 'I' is primarily the name of the Ultimate Truth. Understood thus the truth taught by the last line becomes the reason for the previous statements in the verse. The same is the construction with reference to similar passages.)

4. niṣkāma-kāmam iha nāma katham vadāmi nissanga-sangam iha nāma katham vadāmi nissāra-sāra-rahitam ca katham vadāmi jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham. 'It is the desireless desire. How shall I name it? It is the unattached attachment. How shall I describe it? It is insubstantial and devoid of a core. What shall I say of it? I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

5. advaita-rūpam akhilam katham vadāmi dvaita-svarūpam akhilam hi katham vadāmi nityam tv anityam akhilam hi katham vadāmi jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'All this is the form of the non-dual reality. How shall I describe it? All this is also divided. How shall I propound it? All this is eternal and evanescent again. What shall I say of it? I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things, and like unto the vault of heaven.'

6. asthūlam hi no nahi kṛśam na gatāgatam hi ādyanta-madhya-rahitam na parāparam hi satyam vadāmi khalu vai paramārtha-tattvam jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'It is neither thick nor thin. It neither moves nor remains unmoved. It has no beginning, no middle and no end. It is neither the high nor the low. I am indeed speaking the truth about Ultimate Reality. It is the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

7. samviddhi sarva-karaṇānī nabho-nībhānī samviddhi sarva-viṣayāś ca nabho-nībhāś ca samviddhi caikam amalam na hi bandha-muktam jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'Know all organs of sense to be (intangible) like the sky. Know all objects of sense to be like the sky. Know the one stainless being who is neither bound nor free. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

8. durbodha bodha-gahano na bhavāmi tāta durlakṣya lakṣya-gahano na bhavāmi tāta āsanna-rūpa-gahano na bhavāmi tāta jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'I am beyond knowledge and cannot be reached by knowledge. I am invisible and am not the object of sight. I have no form which is accessible. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

9. niskarma-karma-dahano jvalano bhavāmi nirduhkha-duhkha-dahano jvalano bhavāmi nirdeha-deha-dahano jvalano bhavāmi jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'Though mactive, I am the fire that consumes all action. Though sorrowless, I am the fire that consumes all sorrow. Though thirstless, I am the fire that consumes all thirst. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things, and like unto the vault of heaven.'

nışpāpa-pāpa-dahano hi hutāśanoham nirdharma-dharma-dahano hi hutāśanoham nırbandha-bandha-dahano hi hutāśanoham Jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'Sinless as I am, I am the sacrificial fire that consumes all sin. Unprescribed I am the sacrificial fire that consumes all prescriptions. Unbound, I am the sacrificial fire that scorches all bondage I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven'

nirbhāva-bhāvarahito na bhavāmi vatsa niryoga-yoga-rahito na bhavāmi vatsa niscitta-citta-rahito na bhavāmi vatsa jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

Though unaffected, I am not without affection, My Dear Child! Though unyoked, I am not without a yoke, My Dear Child! Though mindless, I am not without mind, My Dear Child! I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

nirmoha-moha-padavīta na me vikalpah niśśoka-śoka-padavīti na me vikalpaḥ nirlobha-lobha-padavīti na me vikalpaḥ jnānāmrtam samarsam gaganopamoham.

'I never fancy dupes as hopes I never fancy griefs as joys I never fancy greed as greedlessness I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

13. samsāra-santatı-latā na ca me kadācit samtosa-santati-sukhe na ca me kadācit ajnāna-bandhanam idam na ca me kadācit jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'The creeper of worldliness has not entwined itself round me. The concatenation of pleasures does not give me happiness. I am not enslaved by ignorance. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

samsāra-santatir ajo na ca me vikāraḥ santāpa-santati-tamo na ca me vikāraḥ satvam svadharma-janakam na ca me vikāraḥ jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'The stained train of worldliness leaves me unaffected. The gloom of a perpetual stream of anguish leaves me unaffected. I stand unaffected again by a virtue causing one to do his prescribed duties. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

santāpa-duḥkha-janako na vidhiḥ kadācit santāpa-yoga-janitam na manaḥ kadācit yasmād ahaṅkṛtir iyam na ca me kadācit jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'The fate that ordains anguish and pain is not for me; Not for me the mind tormented by tortuous meditation. Not for me that which induces egotism. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

niṣkampa-kampa-nidhanam na vikalpa-kalpam svapna-prabodha-nidhanam na hitāhitam hi nissāra-sāra-nidhanam na carācaram hi jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'It is untrembling and makes all trembling cease. There is neither slumber nor wakefulness for it. Nothing that is propitious or unpropitious, insubstantial; it melts away all substance. Nothing moves nor remains unmoved for it. It is the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

no vedya-vedakam idam na ca hetu-tarkyam vācāmagocaram idam na mano na buddhiḥ evam katham hi bhavataḥ kathayāmi tattvam jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'It is neither the knower nor the known; It is not cause to be investigated by logic; it is beyond the ken of speech, mind and intellect.

How shall I relate this reality to you? I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things, and like unto the vault of heaven.'

nirbhinna-bhinna-rahitam paramārtha-tattvam antar bahir na hi katham paramārtha-tattvam prāk sambhavam na ca ratam na hi vastu kimcit jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'The Ultimate Reality is impartite and remains partless; It has neither an inner nor an outer for it. It is neither produced in the past nor does it delight in producing. It is not a thing among things. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things, and like unto the vault of heaven.

rāgādi-doṣa-rahıtam tv aham eva tattvam daivādi-dosa-rahıtam tv aham eva tattvam samsāra-śoka-rahıtam tv aham eva tattvam jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'I am the Reality free from the blemish of passion and the like I am the Reality, free from the flaw of fate. I am the Reality free from the grief of a groaning world. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things, like unto the vault of heaven.'

20. Sthāna-trayam yadi ca neti katham turīyam?
kāla-trayam yadi ca neti katham dišas ca?
śāntam padam hi paramam paramārtha-tattvam
jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'How can there be a fourth state when there are not the three? How can there be a direction without the three-fold division of time? The Ultimate Reality is the state of the Highest Repose. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

dīrgho laghuh punar itīha na me vibhāgah vistāra sankaṭaṁ itīha na me vibhāgah konaṁ hi vartulaṁ itīha na me vibhāgah jnānāmṛtaṁ samarasaṁ gaganopamoham.

'Distinctions of long or short, broad or narrow are not for me; distinctions of angle and circle have no relevance for me; I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

mātā pitādi tanayādi na me kadācit
jātam mṛtam na ca mano na ca me kadācit
nirvyākulam sthiram idam paramārtha-tattvam
jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'I have neither mother nor father nor offspring; there is neither birth nor death for me; nor a mind. This Ultimate Reality is unagitated and firm. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things, and like unto the vault of heaven.'

23. śuddham viśuddham avicāram ananta-rūpam nirlepa-lepam avicāram ananta-rūpam niṣkhaṇḍa-khaṇḍam avicāram ananta-rūpam jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'It is the purest of pure things, beyond reflection; having infinite forms. It is the unadhering but that to which everything adheres; beyond reflection, having infinite forms. It is undivided but the principle of division itself; beyond reflection and having infinite forms. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things, and like unto the vault of heaven.'

brahmādayaḥ sura-gaṇāḥ kathaṁ atra santi svargādayo vasatayaḥ kathaṁ atra santi yady ekarūpaṁ amalaṁ paramārtha-tattvaṁ jnānāmṛtaṁ samarasaṁ gaganopamohaṁ.

'How do Brahmā and the hosts of gods exist there? Whence can there be heaven and the like? The Ultimate Reality is all of a piece and undefective. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

nirneti neti vimalohi katham vadāmi niśśeṣa śeṣa vimalohi katham vadāmi nirliṅga liṅga vimalohi katham vadāmi jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'How can I aver of this spotless one that it is not this nor that? How can I aver of this spotless one that it is the residue of a residueless entity? How can I aver of this spotless one that it is of a sex when it is the sexless one? I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

26. niskarma-karma paramam satatam karomi nissanga-sanga-rahitam paramam vinodam nirdeha-deha-rahitam satatam vinodam juanamrtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

Though inactive, I am ever engaged in the highest activity. Though free from all attachment I drive away all tedium, though bodiless, I am ever in delight. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven'

27. māyā-prapanca-racanā na ca me vikāraḥ kauṭilya-ḍambha-racanā na ca me vikārah satyānrteti-racanā na ca me vikārah jnānāmrtaṁ samarasaṁ gaganopamohaṁ.

'The wonderful structure of the world does not cause any change in me. Its crookedness and deceit have no effect on me. Truth and lying produce no disturbance in me. I am the nectar of knowledge, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

28. sandhyādı-kāla-rahıtam na ca me viyogah(o)
hy antah-prabodha-rahitam badhiro na mūkaḥ
evam vikalpa-rahitam na ca bhāva-śuddham
jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham

'To that there is no eventide or anything like it, no parting from anything, there is no awakening from within to it; there is neither deaf nor dumb for it; there is neither purity of disposition nor its destruction from it, I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven'

29. nimātha-nātha-rahitam hi nirākulam vai niścitta-citta-vigatam hi nirākulam vai samviddhi sarva-vigatam hi nirākulam vai jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'It has no master and is free from perplexity; It has no mind and is free from perplexity, understand it to be unfettered by anything; it is free from perplexity, I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

30. kāntāra-mandīram īdam hī katham vadāmi samsiddha-samšayam idam hī katham vadāmi evam nīrantara-samam hi nīrākulam vai jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham. 'How indeed can I aver this to be a mansion in the wilderness? How indeed can I aver that it is all a substantiated uncertainty? It is ever in equilibrium and remains undisturbed; I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

31. nirjīva-jīva-rahitam satatam vibhāti nirbīja-bīja-rahitam satatam vibhāti nirvāna-bandha-rahitam satatam vibhāti jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'It is lifeless and though devoid of life it shines ever and anon. It is seedless and though seedless it flourishes ever and anon. It is liberated and though unfettered it shines ever and anon. I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

sambhūti-varjitam idam satatam vibhāti samsāra-varjitam idam satatam vibhāti samhāra-varjitam idam satatam vibhāti jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'It is free from origination and shines ever and anon. It is free from mundane existence and shines ever and anon. It is free from destruction and shines ever and anon. I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

33. ullekhamätram api te na ca nāma-rūpam nirbhinna-bhinnam api te na hi vastu kimcit nirlajja-mānasa karoṣi katham viṣādam jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'You can only be mentioned but you have neither name nor form. Your are unseparated and there is nothing that can exist apart from you. O unabashed mind! Why dost thou get wearied? I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

kim nāma rodisi sakhe na jarā na mṛtyuḥ kim nāma rodisi sakhe na ca janma-duḥkham kim nāma rodisi sakhe na ca te vikāraḥ jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'Why moanest thou, friend; there is neither decrepitude nor death for you? Why moanest thou, friend, there is no travail of birth for you? Why moanest thou, friend, there is no perversion in you? I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

kim nāma rodisi sakhe na ca te svarūpam kim nāma rodisi sakhe na ca te virūpam kim nāma rodisi sakhe na ca te vayāmsi jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'Why moanest thou, friend; there is no figurement nor disfigurement for you? Why moanest thou, friend; growing age is not for you? I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

36. kım nāma rodisi sakhe na ca te vayāmsı kim nāma rodisi sakhe na ca te manāmsi kim nāma rodisi sakhe na tavendriyānı jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'Why moanest thou, friend, growing age is not for you? Why moanest thou, friend, mind and the senses are not for you? I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

kim nāma rodisi sakhe na ca te sti kāmah kim nāma rodisi sakhe na ca te pralobhah kim nāma rodisi sakhe na ca te vimohaḥ jnānāmrtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'Why moanest thou, friend; lusts do not assail you; greed does not afflict you? Infatuation does not move you. I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like into the vault of heaven'

aiśvaryam icehasi katham na ca te dhanāni aiśvaryam icehasi katham na ca te hi patnī aiśvaryam icehasi katham na ca te mameti jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

Wealth is not for you; so how can you hanker after it? Thou hast no wife; so how can you hanker after wealth? Thou hast nothing that you wish to own as thine; So how can you hanker after wealth? I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

Linga- prapanca--januşī na ca te na me ca nirlajja-mānasam idam ca vibhāti bhinnam nirbheda-bheda-rahitam na ca te na me ca jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham. 'The false world is neither for you nor for me; the immodest mind fancies itself as different from it; the undifferentiated is not for you or for me. I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

40. novāņumātram api te hi virāga-rūpam novāņumātram api te hi sarāga-rūpam novāņumātram api te hi sakāma-rūpam jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'Thou hast not even a moiety of contradictory qualities or identical qualities; nor have you any form born of desire; I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

dhyātā na te hi hṛdaye na ca te samādhiḥ dhyānām na te hi hṛdaye na bahiḥ pradeśaḥ dhyāyām na ceti hṛdaye na hi vastu-kālo inānāmṛtaṁ samarasaṁ gaganopamohaṁ.

'There is no object to be meditated upon in your heart nor perfect absorption in it; there is no inner meditation in your heart nor any external space that lies outside it; there is no object of meditation in the heart and nothing that transpires in time. I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

yat sārabhūtam akhilam kathitam mayā te na tvam na me na mahato na guruḥ na śiṣyaḥ svacchanda-rūpa-sahajam paramārtha-tattvam jnānāmṛtam samarasam gaganopamoham.

'You have been told by me all that constitutes the core of truth; nothing there is which is big, neither teacher nor the taught. The Ultimate Reality is inherently spontaneous. I am the nectar of wisdom, the harmony of things and like unto the vault of heaven.'

katham iha paramārtham tattvam ānanda-rūpam katham iha paramārtham naivam ānanda-rūpam katham iha paramārtham jnāna-vijnāna-rūpam yadi param aham ekam vartate vyoma-rūpam.

'How can Ultimate Reality be of the nature of bliss? How can Ultimate Reality be of the nature of knowledge or transcendental knowledge whilst the highest 'Me' exists extended like space?

dahana-pavana-hīnam viddhi vijnānam ekam.
avani-jala-vihīnam viddhi vijnāna-rūpam sama-gamana-vihīnam viddhi vijnānam ekam gaganam iva višālam viddhi vijnānam ekam.

'It neither burns like fire nor blows like air—know that to be the one transcendental knowledge. It is not land or the sea. Know that to be the one transcendental knowledge, it does not proceed with anything else, know that to be transcendental knowledge. It is like space. Know that to be transcendental knowledge.'

na śūnya-rūpam na viśūnya-rūpam na śuddha-rūpam na viśuddha-rūpam rūpam virūpam na bhavāmi kimcit svarūpa-rūpam paramārtha tattvam.

'It is neither a void nor a plenum; it is neither pure nor impure; it is neither beautiful nor ugly. Ultimate Reality is only like itself'

munca munca hi samsāram tyāgam munca hi sarvathā tyāgātyāga-viṣam suddham amrtam sahajam dhruvam. 'Abandon ye worldliness; abandon ye renunciation, renouncing or non-renouncing is irrelevant to truth which is immortal, inherent and certain.'

iti śrī dattātreya-viracitāyām avadhūta-gītāyām atma-samvitty-upadešo nāma trtīyodhyāyah 'Thus is the third chapter entitled "The Instruction of Wisdom pertaining to the Truth of Self" in the Avadhūta-Gītā composed by Śrī Dattātreya'

CHAPTER IV

Śri Dattātreya says;—

nāvāhanam naiva visarjanam vā puspāni patrāni katham bhavanti dhyānāni mantrāni katham bhavanti samāsamam caiva šivārcanam ca.

Whence can there be invocation or laying down? or worship with flowers or leaves? Wherefore the relevance of meditation and muttering of hymns? Whereby can there be worship of the same by the same, the worship of an external deity?'

na kevalam bandha-vibandha-mukto na kevalam śuddha-viśuddha-muktaḥ na kevalam yoga-viyoga-muktaḥ sa vai vimukto gaganopamoham.

'It is not only free from bondage and release. It is not only free from the pure and the impure. It is not only free from discipline and nondiscipline. But it is freedom itself. It is space-like. That is "I".'

3. sanjāyate sarvam idam hi tathyam sanjāyate sarvam idam vitathyam evam vikalpo mama naiva jātaḥ svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

"This is born, hence real; this is born and hence is unreal". Such ideas are foreign to me. I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless."

4. na sānjanam caiva niranjanam vā na cāntaram vāpi nirantaram vā antarvibhinnam na hi me vibhāti svarūpa-nirvāṇam anāmayoham.

'Neither that which has defects, nor that which has no defects; neither (that which has) interval nor that which has no interval, nor that which is in itself broken presents itself to me. I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

5. abodha-bodho mama naiva jāto
bodha-svarūpam mama naiva jātam
nirbodha-bodham ca katham vadāmi
svarūpa-nirvāṇam anāmayoham.

'The knowledge that presupposes non-knowledge is never produced in me. The disposition of being knowledge is never produced in me. How can I expound knowledge in its relation to non-knowledge? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

6. na dharma-yukto na ca pāpa-yukto na bandha yukto na ca mokṣa-yuktaḥ yuktaṁ tv ayuktaṁ na ca me vibhāti svarūpa-nirvāṇaṁ anāmayohaṁ.

'I am neither meritorious nor sinful. I am neither bound nor free. There is nothing proper or improper for me. I am of the nature of the bliss and am defectless.'

7. parāparam vā na ca me kadācit madhyastha-bhāvo hi na cāri-mitram hitāhitam căpi katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

'I have no sense of superior or inferior or middling. I have no enemies or friends. How can I speak of good and evil? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

8. nopāsako naivam upāsyarūpam na copadešo na ca me kriyā ca samvit-svarūpam ca katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

'Neither the worshipper nor that which is worshipped, neither instruction nor any ritual, is relevant to me. The Truth is of the nature of knowledge. How can I expound It? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

no vyāpakam vyāpyam ihāsti kimcit no cālayam vāpi nirālayam vā asūnya-sūnyam ca katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

'There is in this circumstance, nothing pervading, nothing less pervading. There is no place of rest, not even the absence of such place. The Truth is something as well as nothing. How can I expound It' I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

na grāhako grāhyakam eva kimcit na kāranam vā mama naiva kāryam acintya-cintyam ca katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

'To me there is neither the apprehender nor the apprehended; neither cause nor effect; neither thinkable nor unthinkable can be asserted of me. I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

na bhedakam vāpi na caiva bhedyam na vedakam mama naiva vedyam gatāgatam tāta katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

'How can I assert of this that it is the divider or the divided, the knower or the known, the thing which goes or comes? I am of the nature of bliss and defectless.'

na cāsti deho na ca me videho
buddhir mano me na hi cendriyāṇi
rāgo virāgaś ca kathaṁ vadāmi
svarūpa-nirvānaṁ anāmayohaṁ.

'I have no body nor am I bodiless. I cannot be said to have intellect, mind or the senses. I have neither passion nor dispassion. I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

ullekha-mātram na hi bhinnam uccaiḥ ullekha-mātram na tirohitam vai samāsamam mitra katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvāṇam anāmayoham.

'It can just be mentioned and not different from what is supreme. It can just be mentioned and yet is not concealed. How can I speak of that, O Friend! which is the same in all things and yet not the same? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

14. jitendriyoham tv ajitendriyo vä na samyamo me niyamo na jātaḥ jayājayam mitra katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvāņam anāmayoham.

'I have and I have not subdued the senses. I have no restraint and no discipline. Friend! How can I speak of victory or failure? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

amūrta-mūrtih na ca me kadācit ādy-anta-madhyam na ca me kadācit balābalam mitra katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvāṇam anāmayoham.

'Form and formlessness are not for me. Beginning, middle and end are not for me. How can I speak of that, O Friend! as strong or weak? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

nṛtāmṛtam vāpi viṣāviṣam ca sanjāyate tāta na me kadācit aśuddha-śuddham ca katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvāṇam anāmayoham.

'To me there is neither death nor immortality, poison or nectar. How can I speak of that as pure or impure even? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

17

svapnah-prabodho na ca yoga-mudră naktam divă văpı na me kadăcit aturya-turyam ca katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

'To me there is neither sleep nor awakening, nor the pose of yoga; there is neither night nor day. How can I speak of that in terms of the fourth state or the non-fourth state? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

18. samviddhi mam sarva-visarva-muktam māyā-vimāyā na ca me kadācit sandhyādikam karma katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

'Know me as free from everything and nothing, illusion or nonillusion. How can I speak of it in terms of twilight rite? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

samviddhi mām sarva-samādhi-yuktam samviddhi mām laksya-vilakṣya-muktam yogam viyogam ca katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

'Understand me as imbued with the highest state of absorption, as incapable of being defined or undefined. How can I speak of that as that which unifies or divides? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

mūrkhopi nāham na ca paṇḍitoham maunam vimaunam na ca me kadācit tarkam vitarkam ca katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

'I am neither ignorant nor learned To me there is neither silence nor speech. How can I speak of that as logical or illogical? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

pītā ca mātā ca kulam na jātih
janmādi mrtyur na ca me kadācīt
sneham vimoham ca katham vadāmi
svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham.

'To me there is neither father nor mother, neither family nor caste, neither birth nor death. How can I speak of that as attached or unattached? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

astam gato naiva sadoditoham
tejo-vitejo na ca me kadācit
sandhyādikam karma katham vadāmi
svarūpa-nirvāṇam anāmayoham.

'To me there is no rising or setting, neither light nor darkness. How can I speak of that in terms of twilight rite? I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

asamsayam viddhi nirākulam mām asamsayam viddhi nirantaram mām asamsayam viddhi niranjanam mām svarūpa-nirvāṇam anāmayoham.

'Know me without doubt as unperplexed, everlasting, and unsmeared. I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

dhyānāni sarvāni parityajanti subhāśubham karma parityajanti tyāgāmṛtam tāta pibanti dhīrāḥ svarūpa-nirvāṇam anāmayoham.

'The strong-minded ones eschew all religious contemplation and forswear themselves of all auspicious and unauspicious acts. They quaff the nectar of renunciation. I am of the nature of bliss and am defectless.'

vindati vindati na hi na hi yatra chando lakṣaṇaṁ na hi nahi tatra samarasa-magno bhāvita-pūtaḥ pralapati tattvaṁ paraṁ avadhūtaḥ.

'Where logical understanding is of no avail, there rhetorical assertion is also of no avail. The great *avadhūta* immersed in bliss and filled with inspiration proclaims the truth.'

iti śrī dattātreya-viracitāyām avadhūta-gītāyām svāmi-kārtika-samvāde svātma-samvittyupadeśe svarūpa-nirnayo nāma caturthodhyāyaḥ.

'Thus is the fourth chapter entitled "Definition of the Essence" in the "Instruction of Wisdom connected with one's own self" in the course of the discussion between *Svāmi* and *Kārtika* in the *Avadhūta-Gītā* composed by Śrī Dattātreya.

CHAPTER V

Thus spake Śri Dattātreya:

1. aum iti gaditam gagana-samam tanna parāpara-sāra-vicāra iti avilāsa-vilāsa-nirākaraņam katham aksara-bindu-samuccaranam

'It is named as "Aum" and is like the void of space. The Beyond and the After, Substance or Reflection have no meaning here. The unmanifest and the manifest are eschewable terms. While it is so, how can it be expressed in a syllable or a dot?'

2. iti tat tvam asi-prabhrti-śrutibhih pratipādītam ātmani tattvam asi tvam upādhi-vivarjīta-sarva-samam kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam.

'The scriptures proclaim "That art Thou". You are that in your self. You are free from all limiting conditions and the principle of Identity in all. Why lamentest thou then when All is One?'

3. atha ürdhva-vivarjita-sarva-samam bahirantara-varjita-sarva-samam yadicaikavivarjita sarva-samam. kim u rodişi mänasi sarva-samam.

'It is the self-same identity than which there is nothing higher, nor is there anything outer or inner for it, nor can it be spoken of as numerically one. It is the self-same identity. Why lamentest thou then when All is One?'

nahi kalpita-kalpa-vicāra iti nahi kārana-kārya-vicāra iti pada-sandhi-vivarjita-sarva-samam kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam.

'It does not admit of a discussion of conceivability or concept, of cause or effect of term and copula. It is the self-same identity. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

5. nahi bodha-vibodha-samādhir iti nahi deśa-videśa-samādhir iti nahi kāla-vikāla-samādhir iti kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam. 'There is nothing here of meditation which is taught or not taught, which is in this or the other place, at this or some other time. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

6. nahi kumbha-nabho nahi kumbha iti na hi jīva-vapuḥ na hi jīva iti na hi kāraṇa-kārya-vibhāga iti kim u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samam.

'It is the space within the jar or the jar itself, it is not the body embodying the soul nor even the soul itself. It is not the cause nor the action. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

7. iha sarva-nirantara-mokṣa-padam laghu-dīrgha-vicāra-vihīna iti na hi vartula-koṇa-vibhāga iti kiṁ u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samaṁ.

'Herein is all the eternal state of release. It does not lend itself to short or long discussion. Distinctions of circularity and triangularity do not apply to it. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

8. iha śūnya-viśūnya-vihīna iti iha śuddha-viśuddha-vihīna iti iha sarva-visarva-vihīna iti kim u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samam.

'Void or full are meaningless here; so are pure and impure, all and none, why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

9. nahi bhinna-vibhinna-vicāra iti bahir-antara-sandhi-vicāra iti ari-mitra-vivarjīta-sarva-samam kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam.

'The discussion of difference or non-difference of the outer, the inner, and the between, of foe or friend is eschewed here. It is the self-same identity. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

na hi śiṣya-viśiṣya-svarūpa iti
na carācara-bheda-vicāra iti
iha sarva-nirantara-mokṣa-padaṁ
kiṁ u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samaṁ.

'No discussion is here of who is the disciple and who the non-disciple; which is the moving and which the non-moving. Here is the state of

liberation entire and ever lasting. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

nanu rūpa-virūpa-vihīna iti
nanu bhinna-vibhinna-vihīna iti
nanu sarga-visarga-vihīna iti
kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam.

'Is not that free from form or formlessness? Is not that free from the divided and the undivided? Is not that free from evolution or involution? Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

na gunāguņa-pāśa-nībandha ītī mṛta-jivana-karma-karomi katham iti śuddha-nīranjana-sarva-samam kim u rodīsi mānasi sarva-samam.

There is no bondage here to the rope of the Ganas. When earthly life has ceased whence is the question of the doer and the deed? It is the self-same identity pure and simple. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

iha bhāva-vibhāva-vihīna iti
iha kāma-vikāma-vihīna iti
iha bodhatamam khalu mokṣa-samam
kim u rodisi mānsasi sarva-samam.

Existence or non-existence, desire or desirelessness, have no meaning here. This indeed is the highest teachable truth like unto liberation itself. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?"

14. iha tattva-nirantara-tattvam iti na hi sandhi-visandhi-vihina iti yadi sarva-vivarjita-sarva-samam kim u rodişi manasi sarva-samam.

'Here is the truth and the eternal truth. It has neither conjunction and disjunction. It is the self-same Identity distinguished from all else. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

aniketa-kuţi-parivāra-samari iha saṅga-visaṅga-vihīna-parari iha bodha-vibodha-vihīna-parari kim u rodışi mānasi sarva-samari. 'It has no particular locus, nor house to live in, nor retinue. It is all itself. It is neither related nor unrelated. It is neither taught nor untaught. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

16. avikāra-vikāram asatyam iti avilakṣya-vilakṣyam asatyam iti yadi kevalam ātmani satyam iti kim u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samam.

'To speak of it as formless or out of proper form is to speak an untruth. To say of it as purposeless or purposeful is to utter an untruth. The truth is that it is the sole reality. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

iha sarva tamam khalu jīva iti
iha sarva-nirantara-jīva iti
iha kevala-niścala-jīva iti
kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam.

'Herein is the Absolute Self of all. Here is the ever-lasting Self of all. Here is the only unchanging Self of all. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

18. aviveka-vivekam abodha iti avikalpa-vikalpam abodha iti yadi caikanirantara-bodha iti kim u rodişi mānasi sarva-samam.

'It is discrimination which is beyond specification. It is beyond knowledge. For this reason, it is an idea which is beyond imagination. It is beyond knowledge. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

na hi mokṣa-padaṁ nahi bandha-padaṁ na hi puṇya-padaṁ nahi pāpa-padaṁ na hi pūrṇa-padaṁ nahi rikta-padaṁ kiṁ u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samaṁ.

'It is neither the state of freedom nor of bondage. It is neither the state of merit nor of demerit. It is neither the state of fullness nor of privation. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

yadi varṇa-vivarṇa-vihīna-samaṁ yadi kāraṇa-kārya-vihīna-samaṁ yadi bheda-vibheda-vihīna-samaṁ kiṁ u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samaṁ.

'If the Identical is devoid of distinctions of colour, of cause and effect, of difference and indifference, why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

21. iha sarva-nirantara-sarva-cite
iha kevala-niscala-sarva-cite
dvipadādi-vivarjita-sarva-cite
kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam.

'For the sake of this spirit which is all and partless, for the sake of this spirit which is by itself and absolutely all-enduring, for the sake of (this) spirit which is beyond the reach of the bipeds and the like, why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

atı sarva-nirantara-sarva-gatam ati-nirmala-niscala-sarva-gatam dina-rātri-vivarµta-sarva-gatam kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam.

'It is beyond All and yet the seamless All, pervades all; untainted and unmoved it moves in All; to it there is neither the break of day nor fall of night, that which has entered into all things. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

na hi bandha-vibandha-samāgamanam na hi yoga-viyoga-samāgamanam na hi tarka-vitarka-samāgamanam kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam.

'Bondage and freedom can never be reconciled. Discipline and no discipline can never be reconciled Reason and no reason can never be reconciled. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

24. iha kāla-vikāla-nirākaranam aņu-mātra kršānu-nirākaranam na hi kevala-satya-nirākaranam kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam,

'The question of proper and improper times is irrelevant to It. Imperfect illumination is irrelevant to It. But pure Truth is indeed never inconsistent with it. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

25. iha deha-videha-vihīna iti nanu svapna-suşupti-vihīna-param

abhidhāna vidhāna-vihīna-param kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam.

'It cannot be said to be embodied or disembodied, or transcendent of dream or dreamless sleep. It is the highest, incapable of being fixed in a name. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

26. gaganopama-śuddha-viśāla-samam api sarva-vivarjita-sarva-samam gata-sāra-visāra-vikāra-samam kim u rodisi mānasi sarva-samam.

'It is untainted and extended like space albeit distinct from all and identical with all. It is identical in its pervasion in the essential, unessential and the deformed. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

iha dharma-vidharma-virāga taram iha vastu-vivastu-virāga taram iha kāma-vikāma-virāga taram kim u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samam.

'Herein are transcended duty, non-duty and detachment. Herein are transcended entity, non-entity and non-attachment. Herein are transcended desire, non-desire and non-attachment. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

28. sukha-duḥkha-vivarjita-sarva-samam.
iha śoka-viśoka-vihīna-param
guru-śiṣya-vivarjita-tattva-param
kim u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samam.

'It is the self-same identity untouched by differences of happiness or misery, grief or joy, master and disciple. It is the supreme truth. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

na kilāṅkura-sāra-visāra iti na calācala sāmya-visāmyaṁ iti avicāra-vicāra-vihīnaṁ iti kiṁ u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samaṁ.

'It is not indeed a growth, essence or non-essence. For this reason It has not similarity or dissimilarity to that which moves and that which does not move. For this reason It is not subject to enquiry and non-enquiry. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

30. iha sāra-samuccaya-sāram iti kathitam nijabhāva-vibheda iti viṣaye karanatvam asatyam iti kim u rodiṣi mānasi sarva-samam

'Here is the quintessence of all essences. Yet it cannot be said to have a distinct nature of its own. It has no object out of itself for it to apprehend. Why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

31. bahudhā śrutayaḥ pravadanti yato viyadādır idam mrga-toya-samam yadı caikanırantara-sarva-samam kım u rodişi mānasi sarva-samam.

'The scriptures proclaim in diverse fashion that the spread-out world is like a mirage. If the Truth is the self-same seamless reality, why lamentest thou then when All is one?'

vindati vindati na hi na hi yatra chando-lakṣanaṁ na hi na hi tatra samaṛasa-magno bhāvita-pūtah pralapati tattvam paraṁ avadhūtaḥ.

'Where there is nothing to be apprehended by logical intellect, there is nothing to be asserted in rhetorical speech. Immersed in the ecstasy of union and inwardly inspired the *Avadhāta* proclaims the highest truth.'

iti śrī dattātreya-viracitāyām avadhūta-gītāyam svāmi-kārtika-samvade ātma-samvittiyupadeśe sama-dṛṣṭi-kathanam nāma pancamodhyāyaḥ.

'Thus is the fifth chapter entitled "The Exposition of the Insight into Peace" in the course of Instruction of the Illumination of Self in the course of the discourse between Svāmi and Kārlika in the Avadhūla-Gītā composed by Śrī Dattātreya.'

CHAPTER VI

Thus spake Śri Dattātreya·

bahudhā śrutayaḥ pravadanti vayaṁ viyadādir idaṁ mṛga-toya samaṁ yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-śivam upameyaṁ atho hy upamā ca kathaṁ?

'The scriptures proclaim in diverse manner that we the individual selves and the spread out world are like a mirage. If Reality be one, continuous, all-pervading, and all-holy, with what can it be compared and what comparison would be apt?'

avibhakti-vibhakti-vihīna-param nanu kārya-vikārya vihīna-param yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-sivam yajanam ca katham tapanam ca katham.

'It is the highest that transcends the distinction of the divided and the undivided, of what can be done and what should not be done. If Reality be one, continuous, all-pervading and all-holy, where is then the sense of worshipping it and undergoing austerity for its sake?'

3. mana eva nirantara-sarva-gatam hy aviśāla-viśāla-vihīna-param mana eva nirantara-sarva-śivam manasāpi katham vacasā ca katham.

'Mind alone is capable of penetrating everywhere. To the highest there is nothing that is narrow or wide. Mind alone is capable of all good. How by mind and how by speech can it be reached?'

4. dina-rātri-vibheda-nirākaraṇam uditānuditasya-nirākaraṇam yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-śivam ravi-candram asau įvalanas ca katham.

'It rejects distinctions of day and night. To it there is neither rising nor setting. If Reality is one, continuous, all-pervading and all-holy what does it avail to it if the sun shines or the moon shines?'

gata-kāma-vikāma-vibheda iti gata-ceṣṭa-viceṣṭa-vibheda iti yadı caika-nirantara-sarva-śivam bahir-antara-bhinna-matiś ca katham.

'It transcends desire or desirelessness, activity or inactivity. If Reality is the one, seamless, the All, and the holy, what avails the distinction of the outer or the inner and their related attitudes?' 6. yadı sāra-visāra-vihīna iti
yadi sūnya-visūnya-vihīna iti
yadı caika-nirantara-sarva-sivam
prathamam ca katham caramam ca katham.

'If it is devoid of the distinction of the essential or the inessential, the void or the full, if Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, what avails to it as the distinction of the first and the last?'

yadı bheda-vibheda-nırākaranam yadı vedaka-vedya-nırākaranam yadi caika-nırantara-sarva-sivam tritayam ca katham turiyam ca katham?

'If it is devoid of the distinction of difference and non-difference, of the knower and the known; if it is the one, seamless reality, the All and the holy, of what avail is the third state or the fourth state to it?

8. gaditāviditam na hi satyam iti viditāviditam na hi satyam iti yadi caikanirantara-sarva-sivam visayendriya-buddhi-manāmsi katham.

'Truth is not that which is spoken of but ununderstood; known but yet not known. If Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, of what avail is the object, the senses, the intellect and the mind?'

9. gaganam pavano nahi satyam iti dharani-dahano na hi satyam iti yadi caika-nirantara- sarva-sivam jaladas ca katham sahlam ca katham.

'If space and air are unreal, if earth and fire are unreal, if Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, what becomes of the rain-bearing clouds and of water that pours?'

yadı kalpita-loka-nirākaranam yadi kalpita-deva-nırākaranam yadı caika-nırantara-sarva-sivam guna-doşa-vicāra-matis ca katham.

'If the imagined worlds are rejected, if the imagined gods are eschewed, if Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, whence is the relevance of good and evil, ratiocination and prayer?'

maraṇāmaraṇaṁ hī nirākaraṇaṁ karaṇākaraṇaṁ hi nirākaraṇaṁ yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-śivaṁ gamanāgamanaṁ hi kathaṁ vadati.

'If it eschews distinctions of death or life, the done and the undone, if Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, where is the relevance of terms like motion or motionlessness?'

prakṛtiḥ puruṣo na hi bheda iti
na hi kāraṇa-kārya-vibheda iti
yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-śivaṁ
puruṣāpuruṣaṁ ca kathaṁ vadati.

'The distinction of matter or spirit is not of any avail here, nor that of cause and effect. If Reality is one, seamless, the All and the supreme good, how can "personal" and "impersonal" be ascribed to it?'

13. tṛtīyam na hi duḥkha-samāgamanam na guṇad dvitīyasya samāgamanam yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-śivam sthaviras ca yuvā ca sisus ca katham.

'Of old age, youth and infancy, the last is said to be a state untouched by sorrow, the second, that of youth, is said to be careless of virtue. If Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, whence is the division of dotage, youth or infancy?'

nanu āśrama-varṇa-vihīna-param nanu kāraṇa-kartṛ-vihīna-param yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-śivam avinaṣṭa-vinaṣṭa-matiś ca katham.

'The highest being transcends distinctions of stages of life and classes of society, of cause and agent. If Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, what is that which is lost and what is that which is gained?'

15. grasitāgrasitam ca vitathyam iti janitājanitam ca vitathyam iti yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-sīvam avināsi vināsi katham hi bhayet.

'The distinction of the eaten and the uneaten, the produced and unproduced are irrelevant to it. If Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, there is nothing that endures and nothing that is destroyed.'

16. puruşāpurusasya vinastam iti vanitāvanitasya vinastam iti yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-šīvam avinoda-vinoda-matis ca katham.

'Distinctions of man or no man, of woman or no woman are irrelevant to it. If Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, where is the distinction of pleasure or displeasure?'

17. yadı moha-vısāda-vıhīna paro
yadı samsaya-soka-vıhīna parah
yadı caika-nirantara-sarva-sivam
aham eti mameti katham ca punah

'If states of mind like intoxication and depression, doubt or despair do not have relevance to it, if Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, where is again the relevance of "I" and the "mine"?'

nanu dharma-vidharma-vināśa iti
nanu bandha-vibandha-vināśa iti
yadı caika-nirantara-sarva-śivam
iha duḥkha-viduḥkha-matiś ca katham

'Distinctions of merit or demerit are anulled in it; so also of bondage or freedom. If Reality then is one, seamless, the All and the holy, there can be no relevance of pain or no pain'

na hi yäjnika-yajna-vibhäga iti
na hutäsana-vastu-vibhäga iti
yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-sivam
vada karma-phaläni bhavanti katham.

'To it the distinction of the sacrificer and the sacrifice does not apply; nor that of the sacrificial fire and the thing offered to it. If Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, what becomes of the rewards of rituals performed?'

nanu šoka-višoka-vimukta iti nanu darpa-vidarpa-vimukta iti yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-šivarii nanu rāga-virāga-matiš ca katham. 'It is free from the distinction of grief and no grief, pride and no pride. If Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, of what avail is the distinction of passion and dispassion?'

na hi moha-vimoha-vikāra iti
na hi lobha-vilobha-vikāra iti
yadi caika-nirantara-sarva-śivam
hy aviveka-viveka-matiś ca katham.

'The distinction of infatuation and apathy, loss or gain does not apply to it. If Reality is one, seamless, the All and the holy, what meaning is there in the distinction of discrimination or lack of discrimination?'

tvām aham na hi hanta kadācid api kula-jāti-vicāram asatyam iti aham eva sivaḥ paramārtha iti abhivādanam atra karomi katham.

'Verily you and I are indeed never there. The enquiries about family and caste are meaningless. I alone am the Holy one and the highest. Whom then can I salute with reciting my genealogy?'

guru-śiṣya-vicāra-viśīrna iti
upadeśa vicāra-viśīrṇa iti
ahaṁ eva śivaḥ paramārtha iti
abhivādanaṁ atra karomi kathaṁ.

'There is nothing like guru and disciple and there is nothing like instruction. I am the absolute auspicious truth. To whom am I to prostrate?'

na hi kalpita-deha-vibhāga iti na hi kalpita-loka-vibhāga iti ahaṁ eva śivaḥ paramārtha iti abhivādanaṁ atra karomi kathaṁ.

'No distinctions of imagined diverse bodies nor of diverse abodes are relevant to it. I alone am the Holy one, the Highest Goal. Whom then can I salute with reciting my genealogy?'

25. sarajo virajo na kadācid api nanu nirmala-niścala-śuddha iti aham eva śivaḥ paramārtha iti abhivādanam atra karomi katham. 'To it does not apply distinctions of the sinful nor of cleanliness, restfulness and purity. I alone am the Holy one, the Highest Goal. Whom then can I salute with reciting my genealogy?'

26. na hi deha-videha-vikalpa iti anrtam ca rtam na hi satyam iti aham eva śivah paramārtha iti abhivādanam atra karomi katham.

'The distinctions of having a body, truth or untruth, have no relevance to it. I alone am the Holy one, the Highest Goal. Whom then can I salute with reciting my genealogy?'

vindatı vındati nahı nahi yatra chando-lakşanam nahi nahı tatra samarasa-magno bhāvita-pūtah pralapatı tattvam param avadhūtah.

'Where there is nothing to be logically apprehended, there is nothing that could be stated in rhetorical language. Immersed in the ecstasy of communion and inwardly inspired, the *Avadhūta* proclaims the highest truth.'

iti śri dattātreya-viracitāyām avadhūtagītayām svāmi-kārtika-samvāde svātma-samvittyupadeše mokša-nirņayo nāma sasto dhyāyaḥ.

Thus the sixth chapter entitled "The Determination of Liberation in the course of the instruction of the Wisdom pertaining to Self in the discourse that took place between svāmi and kārtika in the Avadhūta-Gītā of Śrī Dattātreya"."

CHAPTER VII

Thus spake Dattatreya:

rathyā-karpaţa-viracita-kanthah punyāpunya-vivarjita-panthaḥ śūnyāgāre tisthati nagno śuddha-niranjana-samarasa-magnah.

There stands he bare in an empty abode, pure and unadulterated, absorbed in the essence of things, wearing a garland of rags picked up on the road and treading a path which is beyond good and evil.'

lakṣyālaksya-vivarjita-lakṣyo
yuktāyukta-vivarjita dakṣaḥ
kevala-tattva-niranjana-pūtaḥ
vādavivādaḥ kathaṁ avadhūtaḥ.

'His aim is neither to aim or not aim at an object. His skill is to steer clear of what is appropriate or inappropriate. He is sanctified by the spotless truth of self-sufficiency. Whence then any dispute about this self-sufficient sage?'

3. āśā-pāśa-vibandhana-muktaḥ śaucācāra-vivarjita-yuktaḥ evaṁ sarva-vivarjita-śāntaḥ tattvaṁ śuddha-niranjanavantaḥ.

'He is free from the fetters of desire. He fares well though freed from ritual purity and ceremonial conduct. He is tranquil though deprived of all. Those who know this truth become pure and free from dross.'

4. katham iha deha-videha-vicāraḥ katham iha rāga-virāga-vicāraḥ nirmala-niścala-gaganākāram svayam iha tattvam sahajākāram.

'Wherefore here is the debate of body or bodylessness? Wherefore is the discussion of passion and passionlessness? He is taintless, unmoved, and is spacelike; himself the truth and innate truth.'

5. katham iha tattvam vindati yatra rūpam arūpam katham iha tatra gaganākāraḥ paramo yatra viṣayīkaraṇam katham iha tatra.

'How does one know the reality here? Whence is the formed and the formless here? It is like the spread out space and how can there be objectification here?'

6. gaganākāra-nirantara-haṁsaḥ tattva-viśuddha-niranjana-haṁsaḥ evaṁ kathaṁ iha bhinna-vibhinnaṁ bandha-vibandha-vikāra-vibhinnaṁ.

'It is the eternal celestial swan, the pure spotless swan of truth. Whence is this division and multiplication? It is distinct from the modifications of bound or free.'

7. kevala-tattva-nirantara-sarvam yoga-viyogau katham iha garvam evam parama-nirantara-sarvam evam katham iha sāra-visāram.

'All is ever the one and only reality. Where is the sense of egoity as distinguished or undistinguished from it? Thus is the highest eternal truth of all. Where is here the question of essential or unessential?'

8. kevala-tattva-nıranjana-sarvam gaganākāra-nirantara-suddham evam katham iha sanga-visangam satyam katham iha ranga-virangam

'All is ever the one pure reality Spacelike it is always pure. Where is then the relevance of attachment or detachment? Where is the relevance of truth having here a field or no field?'

9. yoga-viyogaih rahito yogi
bhoga-vibhogaiḥ rahito bhogi
evam carati hi mandam mandam
manasā kalpita-sahajānandam.

'The yogi is free from being yoked or unyoked. He is the blogi (the enjoyer) of the enjoyable and the unenjoyable. The native bliss that springs from the mind spreads itself gently and glidingly.'

to. bodha-vibodhaiaḥ satatam yukto dvaitādvaitaiḥ katham iha muktah sahajo virajaḥ katham iha yogī suddha-niranjana-samarasa-bhogī.

'He who is always pre-occupied with what is correct teaching and what is incorrect teaching can never be freed from the dispute of duality and non-duality. How is this yogī free from defects innately so? He is the pure, sinless, aesthetically balanced bhogī (enjoyer) of life.'

bhagnābhagna-vivarjīta-bhagno lagnālagna-vivarjīta-lagnaḥ evam katham iha sāra-visāraḥ samarasa-tattvam gaganākārah.

'He is the breaker of the distinction between the broken and the unbroken. He clings to the nullification of the distinction between

clinging and non-clinging. Whence has arisen here the distinction of the essential and the non-essential? Spacelike, it is the reality that evenly balances all.'

satatam-sarva-vivarjita-yuktaḥ
sarvam tattva-vivarjita-muktaḥ
evam katham iha jīvita-maraṇam
dhyanādhyānaiḥ katham iha karaṇam.

'He is ever distinguished from things. He is ever free from all intellectual principles. Whence then can we speak of its birth or death? And what will meditation or no meditation avail here?'

13. indrajālam idam sarvam yathā maru-marīcikā akhaņditam anākāro vartate kevalah sivah.

'All this is bewitching and lures like a mirage in the desert. Śiva is impartite, formless and self-sufficient.'

14. dharmādau mokṣa-paryantam nirīhāḥ sarvathā vayam katham rāga-virāgais ca kalpayanti vipascitaḥ.

'We have no desire for anything ranging from righteousness to liberation. How therefore can the wise confuse up attachment or detachment?

vindati vindati na hi na hi yatra chando-likṣaṇaṁ na hi na hi tatra samarasa-magno bhāvita-pūtaḥ pralapati tattvaṁ paraṁ avadhūtaḥ.

'Where there is nothing to be known there, metrical words and measured lines are of no avail, the highest sage, pure in conception and steeped in the bliss of harmony, cries this truth out.'

iti śrī dattātreya-viracitāyām avadhūtagītāyam saptamo adhyāyaḥ.

'Thus the seventh chapter in "The Instruction of one's own Atman" in the course of the discourse between Svāmi and Kārtika in the Avadhūta-Gītā composed by Śrī Dattātreya.'

CHAPTER VIII

Thus spake Dattatreya:

tvad-yātrayā vyāpakatā hatā te dhyānena cetaḥ-paratā hatā te stutyā mayā vākparatā hatā te ksamasva nītyam trividhāparādham.

'By my going on a pilgrimage, the truth of your all-pervadingness is annihilated. By my meditation, the truth of your pure consciousness is anulled. By my praise, the truth of your transcending speech is cancelled. O Forgive me for these three daily sins of mine.'

2. kāmair ahatadhīr dānto mrduh sucir akimcanah anīho mitabhuk sāntah sthiro maccharano muniḥ.

'The sage who has resorted to me is steady and unassailed by desires, is gentle, clean, unowning things, uncovetous, a spare eater, tranquil and firm.'

3. apramatto gabhīrātmā dhrtimān jita-saḍ-gunah amānī mānadah kalpo maitrah kārunikah kaviḥ.

'He is unintoxicated and a profound soul. He is courageous and the conqueror of the six foes within. He is humble, respectful, helpful, friendly and expressive.'

4. kṛpālurakṛta-drohah titiksuh sarva-dehinām satya-sāro anavadyātmā samas sarvopakārakaḥ.

'And one who is compassionate, harmless, the most enduring of all beings, of the essence of truth, of a sinless disposition, unaffected, doing good to all (is the Philosopher, avadhūta).'

5. avadhūta-lakṣaṇam varṇaiḥ jnātavyam bhagavattamaih veda-varnārtha-tattvajnaiah veda-vedānta-vādibhih.

The character of avadhūta, the philosopher, must be understood by means of these letters, (a, va, dhū and ta) by those who are the most sacred, who know the truth contained in the meaning of the Vedic letters and who expound Veda and Vedānta.

6. āśā-pāśa-vinirmuktaḥ ādi-madhyānta-nirmalaḥ ānande vartate nityam akāram tasya lakṣaṇam.

'He is free from the bondage of desire. He is pure at the start, middle and finish. He lives always in spiritual bliss. The letter "a" meaning all this is his definition.'

 vāsanā varjitā yena vaktavyam ca nirāmayam vartamāneşu varteta vakāram tasya lakṣanam.

'All traces of previous deeds are abandoned by him. There is only one thing to be expounded by him and that is the taintless. He is fixed to those things that are present. The letter "va" meaning all this in his mark.'

8. dhūli-dhūsara-gātrāņi dhūta-citto nirāmayaḥ dhāraṇa-dhyāna-nirmukto dhūkāras tasya lakṣaṇaṁ.

'His limbs are besmeared with ashes. His mind is controlled. He is tingeless. He is absolutely free from meditation with interval and meditation with no interval. The letter " $dh\bar{u}$ " meaning this is his mark.'

9. tattva-cintā dhṛtā yena cıntā-ceṣṭā-vivarjitaḥ tamohaṅkāra-nirmuktaḥ takāras tasya lakṣaṇaṁ.

'By him meditation on Truth is taken up. He is free from anxiety and activity. He is untouched by dullness and egoism. The letter "ta" meaning all this is his mark.'

10. ātmānam cāmṛtam hitvā abhinnam mokṣam avyayam gatohi kutsitah kākah vartate narakam prati.

'Forsaking the nectar of Atman and the non-dual imperishable one, he goes like a contemptible crow towards hell.'

11. manasā karmaņā vācā tyajatām mṛga-locanā na te svargo apavargo vā sānandam hṛdayam yadi.

'If you give up the desire for deer-eyed women in mind, speech and conduct and if your heart is full of joy you have neither heaven nor hell.'

12. na jānāmi katham tena nirmitā mṛga-locanā viśvāsa-ghātakīm viddhi svarga-mokṣa-sukhārgalam.

'Know her to be the betrayer of your affections and an obstacle to the attainment of heaven or final release. I know not how the deereyed woman was created.'

- 13. mūtra-sonita-durgandhe hy amedhya-dvāra-dūşīte carma-kunde ye ramante te lipyante na samsayah.

 'Without doubt they are sinners who delight in skin holes with foul smell of blood and urine and contact with excretory opening.'
- 14. kautilya-dambha-samyuktā satyaśauca-vivarjītā
 kenāpi nirmitā nārī bandhanam sarvadehinām
 'Someone has created woman, wicked and vain, devoid of truth and purity. Alas, she is the bondage of all beings.'
- trailoka-jananī dhātrī sā bhagī narako dhruvam tasyām jāto ratas tatra hā hā samsāra-samsthitih.

'She is the mother of the three worlds and is the sustainer of them She has the genital passage through which all things are born, a veritable hell, strange it is that creatures are devoted to that through which they have painfully emerged. Hence the bondage, hat ha!'

- jānāmi narakam nārīm dhruvam jānāmi bandhanam yasyām jāto ratas tatra punah tatraiva dhāvati 'I know that a woman is a hell. She is indeed bondage. One who is born in her becomes attached to her. In turn he runs into herself.'
- 17. bhagādi-kuca-paryantam samviddhi narakārnavam ye ramante punah tatra taranti narakam katham.

 'Beginning with the secret organ up to the breast understand that it is the ocean of hell. How can those that are attached to it get themselves rid of hell?'
- 18. viştādi-narakam ghoram bhagam ca parmirmitam kim u pašyasi re citta katham tatraiva dhāvasi. 'Excretory organ is the foulest hell. Foul is the genital passage made of the same stuff. O mind' Do you not see this? Why then do you run after it?'
- bhagena carma-kundena durgandhena vranena ca khanditam hi jagat sarvam sadevāsura-mānusam.

 'By the secret organ of a woman which is nothing but a hole made of skin, the smell of which is foul and which is full of sores, all this world of Gods, demons and men go to pieces.'

dehārnave mahā ghore pūritam caiva soņitam kenāpi nirmitā nārī bhagam caiva hy adhomukham.

'The most fearful ocean is the body. It is filled up with blood. The woman is created by some one. Her secret organ is the passage downwards.'

antare narakam viddhi kautilyam bāhya-maṇḍitam lalitām iha paśyanti mahā-mantra virodhinīm.

'Understand that there is hell inside. Deceit is the external ornament. She is taken to be $Lalıt\bar{a}$ (the friend of supreme truth) but she is really the enemy of supreme truth.'

ajnātvā jīvitam labdham bhavas tatraiva dehinām. aho jāto ratas tatra aho bhava-viḍambanā.

'Without knowing the life that is given the creatures have their origin in a woman. Alas! One who is born there is attached to her. This is the game of bondage.'

tatra mugdhā ramante ca sadevāsura-mānavāḥ te yānti narakaṁ ghoraṁ satyaṁ eva na saṁśayaḥ.

'These fools, men as well as Gods and demons, take pleasure there (in woman). They indeed without doubt get into fearful hell.'

24. agni-kuṇḍa-samā nārī ghṛta-kuṁbha samo naraḥ saṁsargeṇa vilīyeta tasmāt tām parivarjayet.

'Woman is like a furnace and man is like a jar of ghee which disappears by contact with the former. Therefore it has to be given up.'

25. gaudī mādhvī tathā paistī vijneyā tri-vidhā surā caturthī strī surā jneyā yadedam mohitam jagat.

'Know that there are three kinds of intoxicating wine. One is obtained from jaggery, another from honey and the third from flour. A woman is the fourth of these. All the world goes mad with it.'

26. madya-pānam mahā-pāpam nārī-sangas tathaiva ca tasmād dvayam parityajya tattva-niṣṭho bhaven muniḥ.

'Wine quaffing is looked upon as a deadly sin. So is association with woman. The wise man is one who gives up both and stands devoted to truth.'

27. cintä-kräntam dhätu-baddham śarīram naste citte dhätavo yānti nāśam tasmāc cittam sarvato raksanīyam svasthe citte buddhayah sambhavanti.

'The body is bound by its elementary constitutents and pensive with care. In the absence of consciousness the elements begin to disintegrate. So consciousness must be preserved at all costs. With consciousness intact, reason thrives.'

28. dattātreyāvadhūtena nirmitānanda-rūpinā ye pathanti ca śrnvantı tesām naiva punarbhavah.

'This is the exposition of Dattatreya, the Philosopher, of the form of beatific bliss. Those that read this and study this are those to whom re-birth never occurs.'

iti śrī dattātreya-krtāyām avadhūta-gītāyām svāmi-kārtika-samvāde svātma-samvitty-upadeše aṣṭamodhyāyah. 'Thus is the eighth chapter in "The Instruction of Self-knowledge" in the discourse of Svāmi and Kārtika in the Avadhūta-Gītā composed by Śrī Dattātreya.'

CHAPTER V

A CRITICAL ESTIMATE OF THE PHILOSOPHY OF DATTATREYA (with its background):

I. DATTATREYA: THE TRUTH OF EQUIPOISE

O study of philosophy is complete without a critical estimate. Critical estimate of a philosophy lends a personal touch to the philosophy. In India from the very outset philosophy has become necessarily personal, adhyātma, in character. A philosophy which is not personal lacks sincerity in it. Truth without sincerity is inconceivable. Further, a touch of personal character makes philosophy indispensable. This explains the sanctity and worthwhileness to the individual of philosophical literature.

In this work prayer has preceded philosophical exposition. Prayer here is no petitionary prayer of a conventional religion. It is all aspiration of the soul and attunement of the spirit to the lofty subject cmbarked upon.

I began the exposition of this philosophy with a study of myself. The birth of a man is never his origin de novo. His mind is never a tabula rasa. Every birth presupposes much more than what the born man may experience throughout his life, granting that he has a long and enduring life. With the birth of a man is heralded deep rooted residual impressions and tendencies which for many lifetimes had been forming in the individual (samskāras and vāsanās) which set the course of his present life. Besides, obligations come to be cast on him the discharging of which is demanded of him. This is the philosophy of the three-fold obligations, rnatraya—obligation to the Gods, obligation to the fathers and obligation to the men of wisdom (seers), rsis—deva rna, pitr rna and rsi rna. Man is born in a world imbued with invisible spiritual beings through whom the Divine manifests itself. These are the gods, the guardians of order, ritual and moral. To them man owes certain duties. He is born in a family which has had its progenitor who gives man his physical being and leaves him a spiritual legacy. Such are the fathers to whom we owe an obligation. Besides the material and social environment into which man is born, he is ensconced in a non-material spiritual environment

created by men of wisdom who give us a spiritual birth and make us the twice-born, with the birth of wisdom. We thus become their spiritual heirs and come to owe many an obligation to them. Relatively speaking the former two debts—debt to Gods and debt to fathers become enlivened only in the measure with which the obligations to the Rsis or men of wisdom are fulfilled. Without wisdom the former two are infructuous With wisdom they lead one to great happiness. Hence the debt to men of wisdom may be considered to be the governing principle of life

Soon after I became consciously aware of my station in life it was the debt to the men of wisdom that attracted me most. I began to question the truth of my life. I began to conceive and understand the urge that was responsible for this attitude I was not helpless There was already the response that came from my debt to men of wisdom. Problems and solutions of these men came to my mind resulting in a sudden flash that after all the attitude of identity, advasta-dṛṣti, or the outlook of identity, advasta-bhāvanā, is the real solution for the riddles of life. Men suffer not so much from the ailments of life as from the lack of a right attitude or proper outlook towards what life has to offer. It is the attitude of prejudice, partiality and passion that is often found to be the cause of misery. The only remedy for this circumstance is the attitude or outlook of identity, of wholeness and of perfection. With the idea of separatism man is thrown into an exclusivistic and static condition. But with the idea of oneness of things, oneness through the Absolute, man attains to a comprehensive and all-inclusive vision. Hence duality, dvaita, separation, is wrong and integral unity, advaita is right Duality negates life and unity enriches it.

The Sanskrit equivalents of duality and non-duality used in philosophical parlance are respectively dvaita and advaita. These words in this connection must be taken only in the senses relevant to the present discussion. Enough is already indicated in this direction. If dvaita were to mean mere breaking without the hope of unifying, mere analysis without synthesis, and if this attitude governs life, then it is a wrong perspective of life. Similarly if advaita were to mean mere putting together without proper recognition of opposing elements, then it is mere confusion. Hence dvaita must be such that it must transcend itself and make room for advaita. Advaita must be such that it must be all-inclusive giving at the same time proper recognition to different and opposing elements of life. Thus dvaita

and advaita are not opposed to each other. Each gives a meaning and purpose to the other. To bring these two together is therefore an art by itself. The greatness of philosophy consists in the successful expression of this art. When these two are thus brought together and harmonised there is more propriety in calling the resultant product advaita, identity rather than dvaita, duality.

These reflections of mine were the combined result of all that moulded my life. At the same time they gave me a proper perspective to embark on the study of the subject. I began to reflect on the works I studied so far. I saw in the light of my study richer and richer meanings emerging in my reflections. By way of illustration of this truth I recalled to my mind passages from the Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka Upaniṣad, Viṣṇu-Purāṇa, Vāsiṣṭha-Rāmāyaṇa, Tripurā-Rahasya, Bhagavad-Gītā, and so on.

What we actually experience is the world of multiplicity. If the reflection on the truth of this world takes place, it soon vanishes and gives way to the concept of unity. Judged from this point of view the world of multiplicity loses its reality and finally the world of unity, advaita, becomes ultimate. Consequently the individuality of multiplicity comes to be more of the character of imagination. In recognition of this idea the experience of this world is often compared to illusion in philosophical works. This comparison is not so much the denial of the world as the evaluation of it.

It may however be noted that there are two orders of the world the world of multiplicity and the world of unity. They do not exist separately. Implicitly or explicitly both are present in the same idea whatever its character may be; for multiplicity is an appearance and unity is reality. The relation of the two is just the relation that subsists between appearance and reality.

There are now apparently two possibilities of looking at the world. Some may see only multiplicity. They therefore do not rise above the commonsense view of things. To see unity in multiplicity requires vision. Men of vision are called philosophers. Ever since the dawn of philosophy in the world, it is vision, the vision of seeing unity in multiplicity that has marked philosophic thinking. It is this vision on a lower plane that has given rise to certain fruitful fields of scientific research. The difference between science and philosophy would reduce itself to this. All-inclusiveness is the characteristic of any systematic thinking. It is therefore the characteristic of both science and philosophy. But the inclusiveness of science is relative

to the subject matter of the study. For this reason it is restricted to the latter. Every case of restriction is artificial. Hence science suffers from artificiality. But the all-inclusiveness of philosophy is beyond all relativity, restriction and artificiality. If in any sense it is narrow, then it ceases to be philosophy and becomes a dogma.

It is owing to this philosophic vision in different degrees that both in India and outside men of science have finally become philosophers. In India a thinker who has given rise to a philosophic tradition is necessarily a man of a serene scientific outlook. This is amply illustrated by a reference to the philosophers of the Darśanas, Nyāya-Vaiśeṣika, Yoga and so on. Similar is the case with regard to the philosophers outside India. To illustrate this view point with a view to show the importance of the outlook of unity I recalled particular passages from some modern philosophers such as William James, Whitehead, Bergson and Jung who represent important modern philosophical thinking.

The study of the several trends of thought left me with the notion that the only way by which philosophical perplexity may be laid to rest is to make a bold bid for the reasseveration of the philosophic vision of Advaita. The vision must be of the character of illumination. It is therefore bound to be spiritual in character. The wholeness of the vision and its synthetic character has made the Yoga Śāstra declare that 'all is by intuition', 'prātibhād vā sarvani'. The term used is pratibhā which literally means brilliant idea, happy thought or wellfounded presumption. Pratibhā has made men philosophers, while it is the same interest that has made men scientists. Hence mere science which pauses at the stage of analysis does not help illumination. However great its result be in the empirical field it does not take the individual life to the integral vision of reality which alone is the source of lasting happiness.

How does the philosophic vision, pratibhā, come? It is not acquired. It is unborn, anādi. Its source is the ultimate reality itself. Even as this reality is the source of life as such, it is the source of illumination. Ultimate reality is called in Sanskrit, Išvara. Its English equivalent is the philosophical expression 'ruler of the universe' or, in popular parlance, God. Illumination is therefore the gift of God. It is the grace of God. It is to use the expression in Sanskrit, Išvarānugraha.

The spiritual illumination, pratibhā is what makes us see unity in diversity. Diversity is bondage. Unity is liberation. To separate them is again a case of diversity. To transcend this difficulty unity ought

to be inclusive of diversity. This is to see diversity or multiplicity to be an expression of unity. It is in fact the language of unity. To realise this is to know how diversity or multiplicity is transcended and unity is established. There is nothing that governs unity, but diversity is governed by unity. Hence unity is spontaneity. With the realisation of unity diversity disappears. In other words with the realisation of liberation bondage disappears. Hence diversity and unity form unity. They are different aspects of the same truth presented as unity.

This is the lesson I learnt from the Vedic and the Upanisadic expressions of the ultimate reality. In my search for a clear exposition of the same truth differently expressed as Nārāyana, Visnu, Siva, Isvara, Mahesvara, Atman, Brahman, Dattatreya and so on, to my mind the concept of Dattatreya appealed most only because I could illustrate the truth of identity or unity more easily and readily to myself without making the experience of multiplicity meaningless and the concept of unity an abstraction. By studying the concept of Dattatreya I came to understand more clearly than before that in the two stages of an individual life—bondage, bandha and liberation, moksa, there is threaded a common truth. Liberation is unity with the ultimate truth. Consistently with this bondage is the stage where liberation is accomplished while this life lasts, and completeness of philosophic vision is effected. These two are respectively called jīvanmukti and avadhūtatva. Dattātreya as the unity of all is jīvanmukta, liberated though alive, avadhūta, the philosopher and the truth ultimate, Brahman. This is how in the unity characterised as Dattatreya there is the recognition of both duality, dvaita and identity, advaita, each making the other significant and meaningful.

My devotion to the study of this truth brought with it more problems and the necessity for their solution. Before embarking on the actual study of the philosophy of Dattatreya what happened within me as recorded here indicates a gradual growth of the need of philosophy for me.

In making a serious study of Dattātreya it was inevitable that I should resort to meditation on a particular verse of meditations presented by the Sāṇdilya-Upaniṣad. Soon after I began to interpret this verse I had to make myself sure that the attributes ascribed to Dattātreya are not accidental or conventional properties but are fraught with tremendous significance for spiritual endeavour and understanding of ultimate truth. But with this determination I was confronted with a serious difficulty, that of bringing together the

various concepts that defined Dattatreya. In this circumstance I could only think of the usual meaning of the Sanskrit terms Siva and so on Usually Siva means a deity or God. In calling Dattatreya Siva the identity of the two needed justification. Siva may also mean auspicious. In this case this attribute may not necessarily mean the essence by which Dattatreya is Dattatreya. He is further described as peaceful, santa How the auspicious Siva is the peaceful, santa, needed justification. Why should one who is auspicious be peaceful? Dattatreya is further characterised as having the splendour of the gem called indranila. This means that Dattatreya must be a person. If he is a person, then he must necessarily have all the merits and defects of owning a body. He is next described as master or Lord, prabhu. But this attribute does not bring out the essence of Dattatreya. For this word as applied to a person who is dependent on a body cannot yield a complete and satisfactory meaning. This is how each attribute of Dattatreya gave way and no attribute could be maintained along with other attributes. Ordinarily when we have some religious interest we do not see this difficulty seriously. We have a tendency to slur over difficulties and feel that everything is all right. But when serious reflection took place, these seemingly little discrepancies obstructed the thinking process, and in this circumstance no meditation became possible,

This state of mind did not last long. Soon Bādarāyana's principle of Vedic interpretation and in that light the interpretation of the whole field of experience came to my mind. This principle is called samanvaya by Bādarāyana. Samanvaya is the expression of the outlook of unity of thought in the Vedas. This is in essence the outlook that results in the practice of interpreting a Vedic word in terms of the whole Veda. The test of the soundness of this method of interpretation is the fact that there arises no discrepancy either between two expressions of Veda or between two ideas of truth arising from the expressions of Veda.

So I attempted the present meditation of Vedic passages in terms of Veda My study may not have been exhaustive. I may have not done full justice to Bādarāyana's principle of samanvaya. Confining my attention to the interest of presenting to myself a consistent view of the sketch of Dattātreya I studied his attributes in the light of other Vedic expressions studying the same attributes so that each attribute gave me the glimpse of the Absolute Truth and on the whole the Truth of Identity, advaita. I also found

that this method of study was perfectly justified by the way in which the *Dattātreya-Upaniṣad* prescribes the process of meditation on the Truth in the *mūlāmantra*. This meditation is evidently a powerful expression of the absolute omnipotence of Dattātreya.

The truth of identity is not achieved merely by attaining to the unity of god-head. If this identity is considered to stand outside the world of experience the dualism is not transcended and in this case the concept of unity becomes a mere verbal enunciation. In order to avoid this difficulty, to hold that the world is unreal is to negate the problem itself instead of solving it. Life is bondage. Beyond life is liberation. Beyond life is not the negation of life. It is the state of transcendence. The Truth of unity must cover both. Otherwise it is no truth. To sacrifice transcendence in the interest of life is materialism. But to sacrifice life in the interest of transcendence is to revel in imagination. In either case life is a misery and in spite of the negation of either, dualism is not overcome. The dualism is overcome and misery is transcended only if the same truth is seen to run concurrently in both these phases.

What is then, in view of this truth, the real essence of bondage? It is the presence of transcendence in the so called bondage. The recognition of this presence expresses itself in two forms—detachment and devotion to Truth. Detachment is not to negate bondage. Nor is it running away from bondage. Both are impossible. It is the non-recognition of the binding character of bondage. It is in fact the recognition of the freeing character of the so called bondage. It is this recognition that is properly called detachment, vairāgya. In its presence, the so-called bondage ceases to exist and the state of the so-called bondage becomes purely a stage of life preliminary to liberation. There is thus no opposition between the two states.

This is the environment in which the truth of identity is readily appreciated. Appreciation of truth is devotion to it. This devotion is of the character of knowledge. It cannot be otherwise, that is, it cannot be of the character of emotion or of volition. Without knowledge these two are contentless and with knowledge they are superfluous and therefore they do not take place. To suppose that knowledge gives room for them is to overlook the immortal character of knowledge and this is to negate the life of transcendence, mokṣa.

What is knowledge? It is not a mere idea. It has a history behind and a growth after. It is therefore a continuity. It is active. It is cogitation. In no two moments is it the same. It is a way from the

simple to the complex and from the complex to unity. It is a process involving in order, understanding, reflection and meditation. It is the whole of life having nothing outside. It is in this sense devotion to truth.

Identity is thus identity of detachment and devotion on the one hand and transcendence on the other. Dattātreya is this identity. He is the life of detachment, life of devotion and transcendence. Detachment is liberation in life, jīvanmukti. Dattātreya as the detached is the liberated in life, jīvanmukta. Hence the sketch of Dattātreya is followed by Dattātreya, the liberated in life. Who is competent to say anything about the state of being liberated in life? The answer is Dattātreya himself. This accounts for a study of the Jīvanmukta-Gītā after the sketch of Dattātreya. To make the text of Jīvanmukta-Gītā more readily intelligible I have presented an English rendering of each verse of the text.

It is thus a necessary aspect of the truth of identity that we must attempt to explain the value of liberation in life. Similarly the necessity for the incarnation of Dattātreya as the son of Atri and Anasūya having all the attributes mentioned in the *Upanisadic* verse of meditation needed to be explained. This accounts for the appearance of a section on these aspects after the exposition of the *Jīvanmukta-Gītā*.

It is liberation in life, jīvanmukti, that explains purity of knowledge Purity of knowledge is purity of devotion. This devotion being devotion to truth is devotion to knowledge, because truth is no other than knowledge. The nature of this knowledge is such that it presupposes itself and is followed by itself. It is immortal. It is philosophy. It is the state of being avadhūta. It is avadhūtatva. This accounts for the philosophy of avadhūta, defined as Dattātreya coming after the Jīvanmukta-Gītā.

In working out the truth of advasta this philosophy arrives at a finer conception of the ultimate truth. It notes the misleading character of advasta as applied to Truth. With all its ments the term advasta, identity, is silent with regard to the functional side of the Truth. It does not bring out the richness of Reality and it does not give rise to the feeling of wonder, vismaya, in the philosopher and this feeling is the necessary element in the spiritual bliss, anada.

The philosopher Dattatreya for this reason transcends advaita and presents the truth in the name of sama or samarasa. This is the language of equipoise. It brings out the character of the absolute as

being equal to all consisting of bondage and liberation. It is therefore the language of Identity manifesting itself in a more expressive manner and it readily kindles the feeling of wonder in the philosopher.

In the state of this wonderment the philosopher, avadhūta composed the Avadhūta-Gītā. This work is the expression of the philosophy of avadhūta. Its origin is Śruti. Śruti as a whole is the exposition of the truth of sama. The Avadhūta-Gītā is its shadow, and as such it is the language of ineffable joy, ānanda. In illustration of these ideas a study of the Avadhūta-Gītā is given at the end with its translation.

This is how unity of thought and unity of purpose are sought to be implemented throughout the exposition.

We may now see how these two unities, the unity of thought and unity of purpose operate on our minds. These unities are interrelated. Each is impossible without the other. Applying the interrelation of these two to the present exposition we shall first explain how it is governed by unity of thought.

We come across three important texts in the course of the exposition—the verse of meditation, Jīvanmukta-Gītā and Avadhūta-Gītā. The most important thing that must result from our study of this work is the unity of thought running through these texts. Of these three texts the verse of meditation is an *Upanisadic* passage. The other two are on the basis of tradition taken to be the works of Dattatreya. So the dates of these works must be those that belong to the period of time when Dattatreya flourished. In the light of the Puranic version it is the period when Parasurama and Kartavīryārjuna were in the making. Speaking in terms of modern ideas it may be the period when the later Upanisads and early Smrtis came into existence and this period is generally marked as about 1200 B.C. In support of this conclusion we may refer to three things:—(i) The nature of the composition. It is almost identical with the composition of the later *Upanisads*. (ii) Ideas pertaining to the pre-Darsana period—the word manas is taken to mean Brahman. Yoga is recognised to have only six limbs. Tarka is used in the sense of reasoning. Circumstances like these indicate the pre-Darśana character of the composition. (iii) The absence of reference to any Darśana including Uttara-Mīmāmsā. There is no doubt the use of the word Vedānta. But it is as old as Veda itself, as it is evident in such passages as vedānta-vijnāna-suniścitārthāh (Mundaka Upaniṣad). The composition of these two works indicates that they are prior to

Bhagavad-Gītā. But there is a striking similarity in the theme of these two sets of works. Both insist on the importance of knowledge. Avadhūta-Gītā insists on jnānāmṛtam, and the Bhagavad-Gītā insists on knowledge as nahi jnānena sadṛśam. Both these works emphasise the truth of sama. Avadhūta-Gītā insists on samarasam and the Bhagavad-Gītā insists on the truth as nirdoṣam hi samam Brahma.

Consistently with the idea that the subject matter of the meditation prescribed by the Śānḍilya-Upaniṣad is the same Dattātreya who appeared as liberated though alive, jīvan-mukta and the philosopher avadhūta and who taught Jīvanmukta-Gītā and Avadhūta-Gītā, there is propriety in understanding the verse of meditation in the light of the latter two works. This means that the interpretation of each word of the meditation in terms of the passages from Śruti, that is, Upaniṣads, must also be grasped in the same light. This is how meditation in each round becomes more and more pronounced and effective and consequently all faculties of man get more and more united. Gradually there is the complete disappearance of distraction. This is what is called detachment in the real sense. The aspirant is consequently bound by nothing and he is liberated though alive.

In the Jivanmukta-Gitā he is consistently characterised as the Knower of Self, atma-jnani. The content of knowledge is also given in each verse. This point requires elucidation. To know the content of knowledge as being such does not mean the knowledge of self. It at best means only that the verse says that the man who knows such and such an attribute of Self is the knower of Self. Knowledge of Self means seeing the content of Self. It is to understand the content of self. Hence the knowledge of Self is to understand Self. How does this understanding come? It is not a case of revelation, because revelation presupposes no preparation on the part of the aspirant. Nor is it a case of realisation, because apart from understanding realisation has no meaning. Understanding therefore comes from study. Unalloyed devotion, śraddha, and msight, pratibha, make the study readily fruitful. Two points must however be noted in following these ideas. (1) Understanding is by nature all comprehensive, One must not limit it according to one's convenience. Understood thus, understanding covers intuition, realisation and such other faculties. (ii) Anything that does not partake of the nature of understanding, call it intuition or what you will, becomes non-spiritual and with it the truth is made non-spiritual.

Knowledge of Self is therefore the outcome of study and understanding. Understanding involves reflection and assimilation. Study is that of philosophy. In the present context philosophy is *Veda*. To study *Veda* is to interpret the truth of Self as expounded by *Veda* in terms of *Veda* and in the process of this interpretation there is involved a conscious recognition of the uselessness of empirical sciences.

Meditation is thus study. Study is philosophy. Philosophy makes one detached. The detached one is fixed in Self. This one is the liberated though alive. To call this the state of realisation negates its spiritual character. It is rather the state of preparation for further progress. This state being of the character of knowledge must result in knowledge more definite and more comprehensive. This is the state of philosophy, the state of being avadhūta.

What avadhūta, the philosopher, breathes is a philosophy, holding good for all times and in all circumstances. The philosophy coming from him requires an avadhūta, the philosopher, to comprehend it. Being the song of Avadhūta, this philosophy is called Avadhūta-Gītā. Hence to study Avadhūta-Gītā presupposes a definite philosophic evolution.

With all its greatness, Avadhūta-Gītā is marked by extraordinary simplicity. Even a novice by a casual reading becomes greatly benefited. He receives some light which he cannot otherwise have even glimpsed though he may eventually fail to define it. In the interest of these readers some commonsense difficulties that they may conceive may be considered.

Some may feel that the teaching is barren as it negates every science, every discipline and every institution worth having. This difficulty resolves itself when the aspirant visualises the spiritual truth and ceases to attach undue importance to empirical ideas. Some may feel that the teaching is anti-social as it condemns the fair sex as such. But the fact is different. In the so-called condemnation the indispensability of the fair sex in the life here in which alone spiritual discipline is possible, is beautifully brought out. Further the so-called condemnation is a warning to men against women as objects of lust and to women against men as objects of lust. Women attract men. They must therefore be avoided. Men attract women. They must therefore be avoided. The condemnation of the fair sex in this connection refers to excess of all kinds usually termed licentiousness.

On its positive side Avadhūta-Gītā is a beautiful extension of the philosophy of equipoise, sama, and of 'not this, not this', neti-neti, as the elucidation of the former expounded in the Bṛhad-Āraṇyaka-Upaniṣad and discussed elaborately in all its details in the whole of the Veda. To understand and appreciate it correctly is a spiritual joy resulting in immortal knowledge, juānāmṛtani, of the essence of equipoise, samarasani, which is no other than liberation, moksa.

The aspirants of a lower order, after a study of this philosophy see greater significance in the verse of the meditation on Dattatreya. They commence the meditation with the freshness of spring, thus: 'Dattatreya, the auspicious and tranquil', Dattatreyan śwam śantam. . . .

2. DATTĀTREYA: THE ALL COMPLETE DATTOHAM' DATTĀTREYA IS 'I'

I have entitled this concluding section as *Dattoham*, which means 'Datta, that is, Dattatreya I am', because that is the sole end towards which the philosophy of the Avadhūta-Gītā moves. Identity is established, identity is Datta, that is Dattatreya; Dattatreya am I; and I am Identity; this is the affirmation known in Veda as 'That thou art', tat tvam ası, 'I am Brahman', aham brahmāsmi; 'This self is Brahman', ayamāimā brahma; and 'He I am', soham This affirmation is the greatest tapas, meditation, a person can perform; in fact it is the only form of meditation. Meditation has been defined as tad hi tapah, 'That is meditation'. What does 'that' mean?—'that' refers to the understanding and exposition of the all highest, the most auspicious truth—mahādeva, sadāšiva, the sadrūba, viz., Brahman. Therefore it is meditation when a person affirms his identity with the divine, by stating 'I am Datta, that is, Dattatreya', Dattatreya I am', dattoham; 'He I am', soham; 'I am', aham asmi; 'I am auspicious', in oham. Merely asserting the affirmation does not establish identity. It is the grace of the Lord, isvaranugraha which gives it. With the grace of the Lord, the meditation of affirmation of 'Datta, that is Dattatreya I am', dattoham, becomes established in Identity. That is all and nothing more. As the philosopher, Avadhūta sings and affirms, 'The truth is knowledge immortal, of the essence of equipoise, space-like. That is "I"."

From this great meditation is born samarasam. Samarasam or equipoise, equality towards all, is the result of such austerity or

meditation. What our economists dream and preach about but fail to achieve, what the Marxist dialectician explains with gusto as his sole right but does not adopt, this equipoise is a gift of the divine to one who becomes divine. This is the highest achievement; achievement if at all it can be called so. For this is something greater than all, It is all, It is the Highest, It is the establishment of oneself as the supreme 'I', after following the quest for 'Know the self' ātmānam viddhi—this is indeed the end of the Śruti-pramāṇa; satyam jnānam anantam brahma—that is samarasa. It is 'He I am' soham; 'Dattātreya I am', dattoham, and so on.

To affirm 'Datta (Dattātreya) I am', dattoham, is to attain equipoise. To be in equipoise is to have passed over the planes of the relative and the non-relative. It is to become submerged in it. Equipoise expressed as dattoham is kaivalya, samarasa, ānanda, śiva, jnāna, satya, tapas and all there is, is not and yet to be.

AUM DATTOHAM AUM

evam śrī jayacāmarāja-nṛpatiḥ tattvam ca dattādvayam gītām tan-mukha-nissṛtam ca paramām soham śivopāsayā vyācaṣṭe śruti-yukti-darśita-mahā-mārgam param bhāvayan tat sarvam ca sadāśivārpitam asau devaḥ sadā syān mude.

'Thus Śrī Jaya-Chāmarāja nṛpatī, as he was in meditation on Śiva as "He I am", following the royal path indicated by Śrutī and Yuktī, scripture and reason, expounded advaita, equipoise, the truth of the Lord Datta (Dattātreya) and the teaching that emanated from his mouth. May this God be the source of happiness, eternal.'

caraṇam pavitram vitatam purāṇam yena pūtaḥ tarati duṣkṛtāni tena pavitreṇa śuddhena pūtāḥ ati pāpmānam aratim tarema.

'The Divine is holy. It is all permeating. It is the most Ancient, being the source of all. By this the spiritual aspirant, adhikārin becomes holy and transcends all sins. Purified thus by the Holy and Pure Being may we overcome the gravest sin and the greatest misery.'

AUM NAMAḤ ŚIVĀYA AUM AUM ŚĀNTIḤ ŚĀNTIḤ

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Adhyātma-Upaniṣad Advaya-Tāraka-Upaniṣad Aitarcya-Upaniṣad Annapūrna-Upaniṣad Avadhūta-Gītā Avadhūta-Upaniṣad Ātma-Upaniṣad

÷Ę

: 1

ŧ

٦,

7.0

7

Bergson' Two Sources of Morality and Religion.
Bhagavad-Gitā
Bhasma-Jābāla-Upanisad
Bhāgavata-Pūrana
Bradley' Appearance and Reality
Brhad-Āranyaka-Upanisad
Brhad-Jābāla-Upanisad
Brahma-Sūtra, also called
Vedānta-Sūtra
Brahma-Sūtra-Bhāsya by Šankara
Brahma-Vidyā-Upanisad

Chāndogya-Upanişad

Dakşınamürti Stotra
Daksinamürti-Upanışad
Dattatreya Stotram
Dattatreya-Upanışad
Devi-Bhagavata
Dlıyana-Bındu-Upanişad

Guru-Caritam Guru-Stotra Gopăla-Pürva-Tāpiny-Upanişad

Hamsa-Upanişad Haya-Griva-Upanişad

Indian Philosophy by Dr. S. Radhakrishnan Kivāsya-Upanişad

Jivanmukta-Gitā Jivanmukti-Viveka by Vidyāranya Kaivalya-Upanişad Katha-Upanışad Kālāgni-Rudra-Upanişad Kena-Upanisad

Linga-Mahā-Purāna

Mahā-Upanisad
Mantreyī-Upanisad
Mandala-Brāhmana-Upanişad
Mantrika-Upanisad
Mānasollāsa (by Sureśvarācārya)
Māndūkya-Upanisad
Māndūkya-Kārikā (by Gaudapāda)
Mudgala-Upaniṣad
Muktika-Upanisad
Mundaka-Upanisad

Nārada-Parivrājaka-Upaniṣad Nārāyana-Upanisad Nīrālamba-Upanisad Nrsimha-Uttara-Tāpiny-Upaniṣad Nyāya-Vaišeṣika Sūtras

Paingala-Upanişad
Parama-Hamsa-Upanisad
Plotinus. Enneads
Purānas
Puruşa Sūkta
Pūrva-Mīmāmsa

Raghuvamša by Kālidāsa Rg Veda Rāma-Pūrva-Tāpiny-Upanişad Rāma-Rahasya-Upanisad Rāma-Uttara-Tāpiny Upanisad

Sanyāsa-Upanişad Sarabha-Upanişad Sarva-Sāra-Upanişad Saţ-Praśna-Upanişad Śāṇdilya-Upaniṣad Śāṭhyāyanīya-Upaniṣad Sāyaṇa-Bhāṣya Śiva-Kavaca Śiva-Mahima-Stotra Śiva-Rahasya Sūta-Saṁhitā Spinoza's Ethics Śvetāśvatara-Upaniṣad

Taittirīya-Upaniṣad Tejo-Bindu-Upaniṣad Tripād-Vibhūti-Mahā-Nārāyaṇa-Upaniṣad Tripurā-Rahasya Tripurā-Tāpiny-Upaniṣad

Varāha-Upaniṣad Varieties of Religious Experience: William James Viṣṇu Purāna Viṣṇu-Sahasranāma

Yajurveda (Krṣna) Yājnavalkya-Upaniṣad Yoga-Sūtra Yoga-Tattva-Upaniṣad Yoga-Vāsiṣṭha-Rāmāyaṇa

APPENDIX

Sanskrit Texts with References

(Corresponding to the foot-notes from 1 to 262 marked in the body of the book).

(1) aišvaradi paramadi tattvadi ādimadhyānta varjitam ādhāradi sarva-lokānām anādhāradi avikrīyadi anantānanda-bodhādhbu nidhim adbhuta-vikramam adibikā-patidi īšānadi anīšadi pranamāmyahadi

Sūta-Samhītā Vol. 1-2

- saha nävavatu
 saha nau bhunaktu
 saha viryam karavävahai
 tejasvi näv-adhitam astu
 mä vidvisävahai
 aum šäntih šäntih šäntih
- (3) vān me manası pratisthitā mano me vāci pratişthitarh avir avir ma edhi vedasya änisthah srutam me mā prahāsih anenādhītena ahorātrāt sandadhāmi rtam vadişyāmi satyam vadisyāmi tan mām avatu tad vaktāram avatu avatu mām avatu vaktāram avatu avatu vaktāram
- 40 auth säntih säntih säntih Isvaranugrahad eva pumsäm advaita-väsanä mahad-bhaya paritränäd vipränäm upajäyate

Aradhūta Gitā 11

di višvam pašyati kārya-kāranatayā sva-svāmi-sambandhatah kāsyācāryatayā tathaiva pitr-putrādyātmanā bhedatah svapne jāgrati vā ya eşa puruso māyā-paribhrāmitah tasmai šrī guru-mūrtaye nama idam krī dakşināmūrtaye

(Dakşınamürti Stotra- 8

anantardīstayas tena mohitāh saihsaranti ca

yāvaddrstiḥ pravṛttim tu na parityajya tisthati tāvadantardṛṣṭitāpi na syādeva katham ca na yāvannāntardrstim eti tāvat tām na pra-paśyatı antardṛṣṭir nirīhā syāt sehāyāsyāḥ katham bhavet parihṛtya tu tām samyak svabhāvam upa-samśraya kṣanam svabhāvam āśritya nirvimarśas tatah param vimṛśya smaraṇa-dvārā tato vetsi samastakam asamvedya suvedyam ca tadevam tattvam ucyate vidītvaivam avedyam ca prāpnuyāt amṛtām sthītim.

Tripurā Rahasya: Ch. XV. pp. 92-98

(7) ādaršanagaraprakhyam jagadetaccarācaram tadrūpaikatvatastatra nottamādhama-bhāvanā apare tu svarūpe hi kalpitā mukhyatā na hi tasmāt prājna upāsīta param rūpam hi niṣkalam asamarthah sthūlarūpam yadbuddhau saṅgatam dṛdham tadupāsya hetutastu śreyaḥ prāpnotyanuttamām nānyathāsya gatiḥ kvāpi bhaved-vai koti-janmabhih

Tripurā Rahasya: Ch. VII. pp. 92-94

(8) sa hovāca

na vā are patyuh kāmāya patih priyo bhavati. ātmanas tu kāmāya patih priyo bhavati na vā are jāyāyai kāmāya jāyā priyā bhavati. ātmanas tu kāmāya jāyā priyā bhavati na vā are putrāņām kāmāya putrāh priyā bhavanti. ātmanas tu kāmāya putrāh priyā bhavanti na vā are cittasya kāmāya cittam priyam bhavati. ātmanas tu kāmāya cittam prīyam bhavatī, na vā are brahmanah kāmāya brahma priyam bhavati. ātmanastu kāmāya brahma priyam bhavati. na vā are ksatrasya kāmāya kṣatram priyam bhavati. ātmanas tu kāmāya kşatram prıyam bhavati. na vā are lokānām kāmāya lokāh priyā bhavanti, ātmanas tu kāmāya lokāh priyā bhavanti. na vā are devānām kāmāya devāh priyā bhavanti. ātmanas tu kāmāya devāḥ priyā bhavanti na vä are bhütanam kamaya bhūtani priyani bhavanti. ātmanas tu kāmāya bhūtānı priyāni bhavantı. na vā are sarvasya kāmāya sarvam priyam bhavati. ātmanas tu kāmāya sarvam priyam bhavatı. ātmā vā are drastavyah śrotavyo mantavyo nididhyāsitavyah. Byhad-Aranyaka-Upanisad. 2.4.5

(8) tasyātma-para-deheşu satopyekamayam hi tat vijnānam paramārthosau dvaitino atathya-darśinah venurandhra-vibhedena bhedah sadjādi samjnitah abedha-vyāpino vāyoh tathā tasya mahātmanah ekatvarh rüpabhedas tu bähya-karma pravṛttijah devādibhede pradhvaste nāstyevāvaranehi sah.

Visnupurāna Part 2-xiv 31-33

- oo soham gantā na nāgantā naikadeša-niketanah tvam cānye ca na ca tvam ca tvam nānye nāham apy aham Visnupurāna. Part 2, xv 25
- (11) ekarh evarh idam viddhi na bhedi sakalam jagat väsudeväbhidheyasya svarūpam paramātmanah

Visnupurana 11. xv. 35

tathā brahmaparo muktim avāpa paramām dvijah tathā tram api dharmajna tulyātma-ripu-bāndhavaḥ bhava sarvagatam jānannātmānamavanīpate sitanīlādibhedena yathaikam dṛṣyate nabhah bhrānta-dṛṣtibhirātmāpi tathaikassan prthak krtah ekas samastam yadihāsti kimcit tadacyuto nāsti param tatonyat soham sa ca tvam sa ca sarvam etat ātmasvarūpam tyaja bhedamoham.

Visnupurāna, 11. xvi 20-23

- nırākāranı kathanı vande hy abhunnam sıvam avyayam
 - Aradhūla-Gīlā 12
- saceha sambhavatyeva tadartham idam ātatam sāstramākarnayasi cet tattvam pasyasi nānyathā sampannam cet tadutpannā parā nirvāna-nirvītih anyathā sāstra-garteşu luthatām bhavatām iha bhavaty akrtrimajnānām kalpairapi na nirvītih asesena parityāgo vāsanānām ya uttamah moksa ity ucyate brahman sa eva vimalakramah kṣīnāyām vāsanāyam tu ceto galati satvaram kṣīnāyām sītasantatyām brahman himakano yathā ayam vāsanayā deho dhriyate bhūtapanjarah vāsanā dvividhā proktā subhā ca malinā tathā malinā janmano hetuh subhā janmavināsinī punarjanmānkuram tyaktvā sthitā sambhiṣta bījavat dehārtham dhriyate jnātajneyā suddheti-cocyate

Yoga Vasistha Vairagya Prakarana: 3413

(11) sarvathā bheda-kalanarii dvaitādvaitarii na vidyate māyā-kāryarii idain bhedarii asti ced bāhya-bhāvanarii dehoharii duḥkharii ced brahmāham iti niścayah hidaya-granthir astitve chidyate brahma-cakrakarii samsaye sam anu prāpte brahma niscayam āsrayet anātma-rūpa-corascet ātma-ratnasya raksaņam nityānandamayam brahma kevalam sarvadā svayam.

Tejobindu-Upanişad. 98-102

vayasi gate kaḥ kāmavıkāraḥ śuṣke nīre kaḥ kāsāraḥ kṣīṇe vitte kah parivāro ¡nāte tattve kaḥ saṃsāraḥ.

Śańkara

jitātmanah praśāntasya paramātmā samāhitah śītosņa-sukhaduhkheşu tathā mānāpamānayoh.

Bhagavad-Gītā: Ch VI--7

(18) jnāna-vijnāna-tṛptātmā kūtastho vijitendriyaḥ yukta ity ucyate yogī sama-losṭhāśma-kāncanaḥ.

Bhagavad-Gītā Ch VI-8

onadhyastha-dveşyabandhuşu sādhuşvapi ca pāpeşu sama-buddhır-visisyate.

Bhagavad-Gītā Ch VI-9

madhu manişye madhu janişye madhu vakşyāmi madhu vadişyāmi madhumatīm devebhyo vācam udyāsam suśrūṣeṇyām manuṣyebhyah tam ma devā avantu sobhāyai pitaronumadantu aum sāntiḥ sāntiḥ.

Yajur-Veda-Samhita: 33

dattātreyam sivam sāntam indranīla-nibham prabhum ātma-māyā-ratam devam avadhūtam digambaram bhasmoddhūlīta-sarvāngam jatā-jūṭa-dharam vibhum catur-bāhum udārāngam praphulla-kamalekṣaṇam jnāna-yoga-nidhīm visva-gurum yogi-jana-prīyam bhaktānukampinam sarva-sāksiṇam siddha-sevītam evam yaḥ satatam dhyāyed devadevam sanātanam sa muktaḥ sarva-pāpebhyo nīssreyasam avāpnuyāt ity aum satyam ity upaniṣad.

Sāndilya Upanisad: 3.1 4

atha kasmād ucyate dattātreyam itid yasmāt suduš caram tapah tapyamānāva Atraje putrakāmāya atitarām tustena bhagavatā jyotir mayena ātmaiva datto yasmād vā Anasūvām atreh tanayah abhavat tasmād ucyate Dattātreja iti

Śāndilya-Upanisad 32

athāsva devasya ātma šakti krīdasya bhaktānukampino Dattātreya rūpā su rūpā tanur avāsā indivara dalaprakhyā catur bāhuh aghorāpāpa kāšinī tad idani asya sakala niškalain rūpam

Sändilya-Upanişad 3 1

minesvarah jnänamayena tapasä ciyamino akamavata bihu syam prajäyeya iti athaitasmättapyamänät satyakämät trinyaksiräni ajäyanta tisro vyährtayah tripadä gävatri trayo vedäh trayo deväh trayo varnäh trayo agnayasca jäyinte yo sau devo bhigavan sarvaisvarya simpannah sarvi vyäpi sarva bhütänim hrdaye sanni-vistah mäyävi miyayä kridati sa brahmä sa visnuh sa rudrah sa indrah sa sarve deväh sarväni bhütäni sa eva purastät sa eva piscät sa evottaratah si eva daksinatah sa evädhastät sa evopanisthät sa eva sarvam athäsya devasya dattätreyarūpä

Śāndily a-Upanisad 31

tismād ucyate dattātreja iti atha yo asya niruktam iti veda sarvam veda atha yo ha vai vidyayā enam param upāste soham iti sa brahmavid bhavati

Śāndilya-Upanisad 32

😘 audi namo bhagavate dattātreyāya

Datlatrey a-Upanişad

ann auch namah siyaya ity upanişad

Datiāireya-Upanisad 22

an nīrāvanīkīram dattātreyam

Dattālreya-Upanışad

brahmā nīrāyanam kim tārakam tanno brūhi bhigivai itvuktah satyānanda cid ātmakam sātvikam mīmikam dhāmi upāsva ityāhi sadā dattohim asmi iti . . nārāviņim dattātreyam dhyātvā tadetat tārakam bhaviti tadecopāsitavyam vijneyam garbhādi tāranam

Datiātreya-Upanişad 1

119) Eintam padm isanastham śaśidhara-mukuţam.

Sua kataca Dhyana-Sloka

- (31) śāntākāram bhujaga-śayanam padmanābham sureśam.

 Visņu-sahasra-nāma: Dhyāna-śloka
- (32) saḥ purusaḥ . . dṛṣṭimātreṇa mokṣadaṁ veṣam ādadhe.

 Mudgala-Upaniṣad; 2
- rūpam iti gandharvāh tam yathā yathopāsate tathaiva bhavati, tasmāt brāhmaṇaḥ puruṣarūpam param brahmaiva aham iti bhāvayet, tadrūpo bhavati Mudgala-Upaniṣad. 3
- ⁽³⁴⁾ prakṛtyā sahitaḥ śyāmaḥ *Rāmapūrvatāpini-Upani*ṣad· _{4.7}
- (35) syāmam . . . suddha-sphaţika samkāsam kevalam mokṣa-kānksayā cıntayan paramātmānam . . . kālāmbhodhara kāntı-kāntam anısam . . . rāghavam ujjvalāngam bhaje. Rāmarahasya-Upamṣad: 4 5
- ⁽³⁶⁾ prabhum varenyam pıtaram mahesam yo brahmāṇam vıdadhāti tasmaı vedāms ca sarvān prahinoti cāgryam tam vai prabhum pitaram devatānām.

Sarabha-Upanisad: 2

- (37) tad viṣṇoḥ paramam padam sadā paśyantı sūrayah.

 Muktıka-Upanişad: concluding statement.
- (38) ātmaiva siddaḥ advītīyaḥ mātatā hi anyad īva ... eṣā māyā sva-vyatīriktāni ... darśayitvā ... māyā ca avidyā ca svayam eva bhavatī ... saiṣā citrā sudṛḍhā ... caitanya-dīptā.

Nṛsimhottaratāpany-Upaniṣad: 9

⁽³⁹⁾ māyā nāma anādirantavatī, pramāņāpramāna sādhāraņā na satī nāsatī na sadasatī svayamadhikā vikārarahitā nirūpyamāņā satītaralakṣanaśūnyā sā māyetyucyate.

Sarvasāra-Upanisad: 1

(40) īśvarasya mahāmāyā tadājnā-vaśa-vartınī tatsamkalpānusāriņī

Tripādvibhūti-mahā-nārāyana-Upaniṣad

dana sarva-vidyānām išvarah sarva-bhūtānām brahmādhipatih brahmanodhipatih brahmā šivo mestu sadāšivom.

uu māvā sā trividhā proktā satva rājasa tāmusī

Yājratalkya Upanisad

(41) yā ca prāg ātmano māvā tathānte ca tiraskrtā brahmavādibhir udgitā sā māveti vivekatah māyā tatkārya vilaye nesvaratvam najivatā tatah suddha cidevāham

Varal a Upanisad 2 51-52

aum sarva-caitanva rūpam tām ādvām vidyām ca dhimahi buddhim yā nah pracodayāt

Deil-Bhagaiala 11

vasmin bhāvāh pralivante lināscāvvaktatām yayuh pasvanti vvaktatām bhūvo jāvante budbudā iva ksetrajnādhisthitam caiva kāranair vidvate punah evadi sa bhagavān devam pasyantv anye punahpunah brahma brahmety athāvanti ye vidur brāhmanās tathā atraiva te layam vānti linās cāvyaktakālinah lināscāvyaktasālinah

(Māririka-Upanisad 18)

yah sarvo purame käle sarvän ätmani upasamhitya svätmänande sukhe modate prakäsate vä sa devah

Dakşınamurtı-Upamsad 1

"" tattvam ası aham brahmāsmi sarvam khalv idani brahma neha nanāsti kim ca na itvādi mahāvakyārthānubhava jnānāt brahmaiva aham asmīti niscitya nireikalpaka samādhinā svatantrah yatis carati sa sanvāsi, sa riuktah sa pūjvah sa vogi sa paramahamsah sa avadhūtah sa brāhmanah iti

Nirālamba Uparisad

evani cira samādhi janita brahmāmrta pāna parāvanosau sarinivāsī parama hanisah avadhūto bhavati tad daršanena sakalani jagat pavitrani bhavati tatsevā paro ajnopi mukto bhavati tatkulam ekottara satam tāravati tasmāt piti jāvāpatva vargani ca muktani bhavatity upanisad

Mar dala Brahn and Upanisad Concluding paragraph

auch athäha sämkrtih bhagavantam avadhütam Dattätrejam paasametja papraecha. Ko avadhütah?——tam hoväea blagava dattätrejah parama kärunikah aksaratvät vatenyatvät dhüta samsära-bandhanät tatvamsjädilakkvatvät avadhüta itirvate.

(50) cinmyam paramānanda brahmaiva aham iti smaran. . . . āšā-nivṛtto bhūtvā āšāmbara-dharo bhūtvā sarvadā mano-vāk-kāya-karmabhiḥ sarva-samsāram utsṛjya prapancāvāmukhaḥ svarūpānusandhānena bhramara-kiṭanyāyena mukto bhavatı ity upaniṣad.

Nārada-parivrājaha-Upanişad: 5 after 38

deha-mātrāvasiṣṭaḥ dig-ambaraḥ . . . avadhūtas tu aniyamaḥ.

Sanyāsa-Upanişad: 13

sa etam Bhusundah Kälägni-rudram agamat. āgatya bho vibhūteh māhātmyam brūhi . . . mukti-śrutim mamopadeśam kuruşveti. aum tatheti. sadyojātāt pṛthvī. tasyāh syāt nivṛttih. tasyāh kapıla-varṇā nandā tad-gomayena vibhūtir jātā. vāmadevāt udakam. tasmāt pratisthā. tasyāh kṛṣṇa-varṇā bhadrā tad-gomayena bhasītam jātam. aghorāt vahnih tasmād vidyā tasyā rakta-varṇā surabhih. tad-gomayena bhasma jātam. tatpurusāt vāyuh. tasmāt śāntih. tasyāh sveta-varṇā suśīlā. tasyāh gomayena kṣāram jātam. īśānād ākāśam tasmād śānty-atītā. tasyāh citra-varṇā sumanāh. tad gomayena rakṣā jātā.

vibhūtir bhasitam bhasma kṣāram rakṣeti bhasmano bhavanti panca nāmāni. pancabhiḥ nāmabhiḥ bhṛśam aiśvarya-kāranātbhūtiḥ, bhasma sarvāgha-bhakṣaṇāt. bhāsanat bhasitam kṣāraṇād āpadām kṣāram, bhūta-preta-piśāca-brahmarākṣasa-apasmāra bhavabhītibhyo abhirakṣaṇāt rakṣeti. . . .

Brhad-jābāla-Upanişad: 1

ye mam rudram ca rudrānim gayatrim veda-mātaram vetsyanti. . . . teṣām śivaś ca saumyaś ca bhavisyāmi sadaiva hi.

Linga-Mahā-Purāņa: Pūrva-Bhāga: 23.17-24

agnir yathaiko bhuvanam pravişţhaḥ rūpam rūpam prati-rūpo babhūva ekam bhasma sarva-bhūtāntarātmā rūpam rūpam prati-rūpo bahisca agni-somātmakam viśvam ity agnirācakṣate roudrī ghorā yā taijasī tanuḥ somaḥ śaktyamrta-mayaḥ śaktikarī tanuḥ amṛtam yat-pratiṣṭhā sā tejo-vidyā-kalā-svayam sthūla-sūkṣmeṣu bhūtesu sa eva rasa tejasī dvi-vidhā tejaso vṛttiḥ sūryātmā-ca analātmikā tathaiva-rasa-śaktiś ca somātmā ca analātmikā vaidyud-ādi-mayam tejo madhurādi-mayo rasah.

tejo-rasa-vibhedais tu vṛttam etat carācaṛam agner amṛta niṣpattiḥ amṛtena agnir edhate ata eva havih klptam agni somātmakani jagat ürdhva saktı-mayam soma adho-sakti-mayo analah tābhyām samputitah tasmāt šašvad višvam idam jagat agner ürdhvam bhavaty esa yāvat saumyam parāmrtam yāvad agny-ātmakam saumyam amṛtam visrjaty adhah ata eva hi kālāgnih adhastāt šaktir ūrdhvagā yavad adahanascordhvam adhastat pavanam bhavet ādhāra-saktyavadhrtah kālāgnir ayam ürdhvagah tathawa nimnagas somah siva-sakti-padaspadah śwaścordhyamayaś śaktih ūrdhya-śakti-mayaś śwah tad ittham siva saktibhyam nävyäptam iha kim ca na asakıc ca agnınā dagdham jagat tad-bhasmasāt krtam agner viryam idam prāhuh tad-vīryam bhasma yat tatah yaścettham bhasma sadbhāvam jnātvābhisnāti bhasmanā agnir ity adibhih mantraih dagdha-papas sa ucyate agner viryam ca tad bhasma somenaplavitam punah ayoga-yuktyā prakrter adhikārāya kalpate yoga-yuktyā tu tad bhasma plāvyamānam samantatah šaktena amṛta-varşena hy adhikārān nivartate ato mrtyunjayäyettham amrta-plavanam satām śwa-śakty-amṛta-sparše labdha eva kuto mrtih yo veda gahanam guhyam pāvanamca tathoditam agnı-soma-puţam krtvā na sa bhūyobhı-jāyate śwagnina tanum dagdhva śakti-somamitena yah plävayed yogamärgena somrtatväya kalpate somrtatväyakalpata iti

Brhad-jābāla-Upanışad. 2

vidyā-šaktih samastānārii šaktir ity abhidhīyate guna-trayāšrayā vidyā sā vidyā ca tad-āšrayā guna-trayath idam dhenuh vidyābhūd gomayath šubham mūtrancopanişat proktam kuryād bhasma tatah param. Byhad-jābāla-Upanişad. 3

mānastokena mantrena mantritam bhasma dhārayet brāhmanah ksatriyah tathā traivarnikānām sarveşām ... grhasthānām ... brahma-cārinā ... śūdrānām ... anyeşām anyeşām ... bhasma ... tenīdhītam śrutam tena tena sarvam anuşthitam yena viprena śirasi tripundram bhasmanā dhṛtam tyakta-varnāṣramācāro lupta-sarvakriyopi yah sakṛt tiryak-pundrānka-dhāranāt sopi pūjyate ye bhasma-dhāranam tyaktvā karma kurvanti mānavāh teṣām nāṣti ninir-mokṣah samṣārāt janma-koḥībhih ... rudrāgneh yat param viryam tad bhasma pari kīrtitam

tasmāt sarveşu kāleşu vīryavān bhasma-samyutah bhasma-niṣṭhasya dahyante doṣā bhasmāgni saṅgamāt bhasma-snāna--viṣuddhātmā bhasma-niṣṭha iti smrtah bhasma-sandigdha-sarvāngah bhasma-dīpta-tripuṇḍrakah bhasma śāyi ca puruṣah bhasma-niṣṭha iti smṛtah.

Brhad-jābāla-Upanişad: 5

atha Jābālaḥ Bhusuṇḍaḥ kailāsa-śikharāvāsam aunkārasvarūpinam mahādevani . . . bhasmoddhūlita-vigraham tiryak-tripunḍra-rekhā-virājamāna-phāla-pradeśani niṣkalam nir-guṇam śāntam advaitam śivam praṇamya papraccha bhagavan vedasāram udhṛtya tripuṇḍra-vidhim yasmād anyānapekṣam eva mokṣopalabdhiḥ. kim bhasmano dravyam?

Bhasmajābāla-Upanisad; 1

evam tripundra-vidhim bhasmanā karoti yah . . sah sakala-bhogān bhunkte. deham tyaktvā šīva-sāyujyam eti. na sa punar āvartate na sa punar āvartate ityāha bhagavān kālāgnirudrah.

Kālāgnirudra-Upanişad

tryakṣam varadam śuddham taruṇādītya-sannibham bhasmoddhūlīta-sarvāngam suprasannam anusmaran na tu vāyoś ca bhayam bhavati yoginaḥ

Yoga-Tatlva-Upanışad: 92, 97

(00) aum namo bhagavate bhasmānga-rāgāyogra-tejase

Tripurātāpiny-Upanişud: 3

Bhusundah . . . mahādevam . . . bhasmoddhūlita-vigraham . . . advaitam . . . śivam . . . praṇamya papraccha. adhīhi bhagavan vedasāram udhṛtya tripunḍra-vidhim yasmād anyānapekṣam eva moksopalabdhih. kim bhasma no dravyam?

Bhasma-jābāla-Upaniṣad: 1

(62) prakṛtyā sahıtah syāmah pīta-vāsā jaṭā-dharah.

Rāma-pūrva-tāpinī Upanişad: 3.7

(63) āsīnah candra-khandah prati-ghatita-jatā-kṣīragauras trinetrah.

Dakşınāmūrthi-Upanişad: 5

(64) jnānacaksusah tam ekam eva pašyanti parišubhram vibhum dvijāh.

Mantrika-Upanışad: 16

(65) atasī-puspa-samkāśam nābhi-sthāne pratisthitam caturbhujam mahā-viṣṇum pūrakena vicintayet.

Dhyāna-Bindu-Upanişad: 30

440 kankha-cakra-mahāmudrā pustakādhyam catur-bhujam sampūrna-candra-samkāšam hayagrīvam upāsmahe

Hayagrīva-Upanisad 4

kah krşnah?... pāpa-karsanah go-bhūmi veda-vedito gopi-jana-vidyā-kalāpa-prerakah tanmāyā ceti sakalam param brahmaiva tat yo dhyāyati rasati bhajati sa amṛto bhavati iti kini tad-rūpam? sat-pundarīkanayanam meghābham vaidyutāmbaram—dvi-bhujam jnāna-mudrādhyam vana-mālmam-fsvaram...

Gopālapūri atāpinī-Upanişad 1

🐠 visnur-nāma mahā-yogī mahā-bhūto mahā-tapāh tattva-märge yathä dipo dršyate purusottamah tam ärädliya jagan-nätham pranipatya pitämahah papraccha yoga-tattvam me brūm cāstānga samyutam tain uvāca hrsīkesah vaksyāmi šrnu tattvatah sarve jiväh sukhaih duhkhaih mäyä-jälena vestitäh tesāin mukti-karam mārgam māyā-jāla-nikrntanam janma mṛtyu-jarā-vyādhi-nāśanam mṛtyu-tārakam nānā-mārgais tu dus-prāpam kaivalyam paramam padam putităh (istra-jălesu prajnayă tena mohitāh anırvacyanı padam vaktum na sakyanı tailı surair apı svätma-prakāša-rūpam tat kim šāstrena prakāšyate niskalam nirmalani šāntam sarvātītam nirāmayam tadeva jīva-rūpena punya-pāpa-phalair vrtam paramātmapadam nityam tat-katham jīvatām gatam sarva-bhāva-padātītam jnāna-rūpam niranjanam vārīvat sphurītam tasmin tatrāhankrtīr utthītā pancatmakam abhūt pindam dhātu-baddham gunātmakam sukha-duhkaih samäyuktam jiva-bhävanayä kuru tena jivābhidhā proktā višuddhaih paramātmani kāma-krodha-bhayam cāpi mohalobhamado-rajah janma mrtyuš ca kārpanyam šokas tandrā ksudhā trşā tṛṣṇa lujjā bhayam duhkham visādo harşa eva ca ebhih dosaih vinir-muktah sa jivah kevalo matah tasmād doşa-vināšārtham upāyam kathayāmi te yoga-hinam katham manam moksadam bhavati dhruvam yogo hi juana-hinas tu na ksamo moksa-karmani tasmāt mānam ca yogam ca mi muksuh droham abhyaset

Yoga-Taliva-Upanişad 2-15

ajnānād eva samsāro jnānād eva vimucyate jnāna-svarūpam-evādau jnānam jneyaika-sādhanam jnātam yena mjam rūpam kaivalyam paramam padam miskalam mirmalam sāksāt sac-cidānanda-rūpakam utpatti-sthiti-samhāra-sphūrti-jnāna-vivarjitam ctad jnānam iti proktam

(70) jnānam nāma utpatti-vināśa-rahitam nairantaryam caitanyam jnānam ity ucyate.

Sarva-Sāra-Upanişad: 0.0

(71) atha yogam bravimi te yogo hi bahudhā brahman bhidyate vyavahāratah mantrayogo layaścaiva hathosau rajayogatah ārambhas ca ghatas caīva tathā paricayah smṛtah nispattiścetyavasthä ca sarvatra parikirtitä etesām laksanam brahman vaksye śrunu samāsatah mātrkādi-yutam mantram dvādasābdam tu yo japet kramena labhate jnänam animädi-gunanvitam alpabuddhir-imam yogam sevate sādhakādhamah layayogaş citta-layah kotisah parikirtitah gacchan tışthan svapan bhunjan dhyāyan nişkalam īśvaram sa eva layayogas syāt hatha-yogam śrnu yamas ca niyamas caiva āsanam prāņa-samyamah pratyāhāre dhāraṇā ca dhyānam bhrū-madhyame harim samādhih samatāvasthā sasthāngo yoga ucyate tato bhaved rāja-yogo nāntarā bhavati dhruvam yadā tu rāja-yogena nispannā yogibhih krīyā tadā viveka-vairāgyam jāyate yogino dhruvam visnur-nāma mahā-yogī mahā-bhūto mahā-tapāh tattva-märge yathä dipo drsyate purusottamah tena sarvam idam protam tat satyam tat param padam labhate yoga-yuktātmā puruṣaḥ tat param padam.

Yoga-Tattva-Upanişad: 2-24, 131, 136

(72) parama-gurūpadešena . . . buddhi-guhānihita-jyotirvā . . . turīya-caitanyam vā antar-laksyam bhavati. tad darśanam sadācārya-mūlam ācāryo veda-sampanno visņu-bhakto vimatsarah yoga-jno yoga-nisthaśca sadā yogātmakah śucih guru-bhakti-samāyuktah purusa-jno višesatah evam lakşana-sampannah gurur-ity-abhidhiyate gu-śabdas tv andhakāras syāt ru-śabdah tan-nirodhakah andhakāra-nirodhitvāt gurur ity abhidhīyate gurur eva param brahma gurur eva parā gatih gurur eva parā vidyā gurur eva parāyaņam gurur eva parā kāsthā gurur eva param dhanam yasmāt tad-upadestāsau tasmād gurutaro guruh iti yah sakrd uccārayati tasya samsāra-mocanam bhavati sarva-janma-kṛtam pāpam tat-kṣaṇād eva nasyati sarvakämän aväpnoti. sarva-purusärtha-siddhir bhavati ya evam veda, ity upanişad.

Advaya-tāraka-Upanişad: Concluding paragraph

atha khalu somyemam sanātanam ātma-dharmam vaisņavīm nistham nāsamāpya prabrūyāt nānūcānāmnāya nānātmavide

nāvitarāgāya nāvišuddhāya nānupasannāya nāprayatamānasā ya iti ha smāhuh tad etat rcābhyuktam vidyā ha vai brāhmanam ājagāma gopāya mām ševadhisteham asmi asūyakāyānrjave šathāya mā brūyāh viryavatī tathā syām yam eva vidyā šrutam apramattam medhāvinam brahmacaryopapannam-asmā imām upasannāya samyak parīksya dadyāt vaisnavīm ātmanisthām adhyāpitā ye gurum nādriyante viprā vācā manasā karmanā vā yathaiva tena na guruh bhojanīyah tathaiva cānnam na bhunakti šrutam tat, gurur eva paro dharmo gurur eva parā gatih ekāksara-pradātāram yo gurum nābhinandati tasya šrutam tathā jnānam sravaty āmaghatāmbuvat yasya deve parā bhaktih yathā deve tathā gurau sa brahma-vit param preyāditi vedānušāsanam ity upanisad.

Sāthyāyanīya-Upanisad: 33-37

dipākāram mahādevam jvalantam nābhi-madhyame abhisicya amrtenaiva 'hamsa' 'hamsa' ity yo japet jarā-marana-rogādi na tasya bhuvi vidyate išvaratvam avāpnoti sadābhyāsa-ratah pumān hamsa-vidyām rte loke nāsti nityatva-sādhanam yo dadāti mahā-vidyām hamsākhyām pāramešvarīm tasya dāsyam sadā kuryāt prajnayā parayā saha šubham vā ašubham anyad vā yaduktam gurunā bhuvi tatkuryād avicārena šisyah samtoşa-samyutah hamsa-vidyām imām labdhvā guru-šušrūṣayā narah gurur eva hans sāksāt nānyah ity abravīt šrutih

Brahma-Vidyā-Upanişad. 23-31

bhagavan śariram idam maithunādevodbhūtam samvid-apetam niraya eva . . . malaih bahubhih paripūrnam etādrše śarīre vartamānasya bhagavamstvam no gatinti . . sa hovāca mahādevam

.

deho devālayah proktah sa jīvah kevalah šīvah tyajed ajnāna-nīrmālyam soham bhāvena pūjayet . . . ahamasmi paraš cāsmi brahmāsmi prabhavosmi aham . . . sarva-loka-guruš cāsmi sarva-lokosmi sosmy-aham aham-evāsmi siddhosmi šūddhosmi paramosmy aham aham asmi sadā sosmi nītyosmi vimalosmy aham vijnānocmi višesosmi somosmi sakalosmy aham subhormi šoka-hinosmi caitanyosmi samosmy aham riānāvamāna-hinosmi nīrgunosmi šīvosmy aham.

Maitreyi-Uparişad. 1.3; 2. 1; 1-4)

(**) jnätp-jnäna-jneyänärh ävirbhäva turobhäva jnätä svayarh ävirbhäva turobhäva rahitah svayarh jyotih säksityucyate

San a-Sāra-Upanişad: 1

yadā pasyatı cātmānam kevalam paramārthatah māyāmātram jagatkrtsnam tadā bhavati nirvrtih

Jābāla-Daršana-Upaniṣad: 10-12

(78) tripurānanta-śaktyaika-rūpini sarva-sākṣinī sā citiḥ sarvataḥ pūrnā pariccheda-vivarjanāt.

Tripurā-Rahasya: Jnānakānda: 11-45

⁽⁷⁹⁾ pratibimba-svarūpajnāh pratibimbam pracakṣate jagat etādrśam sarvaiḥ samabhilakṣitam svato na bhāsate kvāpi bhāsate ca cidāśrayāt ato jagat syād ādarśa-pratibimba-su-sammitam.

Tripurā-Rahasya: Jnänakānda: 11.60-61

(80) viśvam darpana-drśyamāna-nagarī-tulyam nijāntargatam paśyann-ātmani māyayā bahirivodbhūtam yathā nidrayā yah sāksāt-kurute prabodha samaye svātmānam evādvayam tasmai śrī gurumūrtaye nama idam śrī dakṣināmūrtaye.

Daksınāmūrtı-Stotra 2

(81) īšvarānugrahād eva pumsām advaita-vāsanā.

Avadhūta-Gītā· 1

(82) param brahma pürnam advayam.

Adhyātma-Upanisad: 21

(83) aum iti vyäharet aum namo bhagavate dattätreyäya smarana-mātra-samtustāya mahā-bhaya-nivāranāya mahā-jnāna-pradāya cidānandātmane bālonmatta-piśācavesäyeti mahä-yogine avadhütäyeti anasüyänandavardhanāya atri-putrāyeti sarvakāma-phala-pradāya aum iti vyaharet, bhava-bandha-mocanāyeti hrīm ıtı vyäharet, sakala-vibhūti dāyeti krom iti vyāharet sädhyākarsanāveti saur iti vyäharet, sarva-manah-ksobhanäyeti śrim iti vyäharet. mahom iti vyäharet. cıramjivine vasad iti vyäharet vašīkuru vašīkuru vausad iti vyāharet. ākarsava ākarsaya hum iti vyāharet, vidvesaya vidvesaya phad iti vyäharet uccātayoccātaya thatheti vyāharet. stambhaya stambhaya khakheti vyāharet. māraya māraya namah sampannāya namah sampannāya svāhā posaya posaya. para-mantra para-yantra para-tantrāms chindhi chindhi grahān nivāraya nivāraya dāridryam vidrāvaya vidrāvaya vyādhim nivāraya nivāraya duhkham haraya haraya deham posaya posaya cittam toşaya toşayeti sarva-mantrasarva-yantra-sarva-tantra-sarva-pallava-svarūpāyeti aum namah sivaya. ity upanisad.

(III) sarvopetă ca tad-darkanăt

Brahma-Sülra 2 1 30

sarva šakti yuktā ca parā devatā ityabhyupagantavyam kutah? tad-daršanāt tathā hi daršayati šrutih sarva šakti yogam parasyāh devatāyāh

Sankara-Bhasya 2 1 30

gurur brahmā gurur visnuh gurur eva mahešvarah gurus sāksāt param brahma tasmai šrī guruve namah

Guru-Stotra

vandāru jana mandāram svānandāmbudhim advayam vande datta prabhum devam samsāra-bhrama-vārakam

Guru Caritam

aum sarva-caitanya-rūpam tām ādyām vidyam ca dhimahi buddhim yā nah pracodayāt

Devi-Bhagavata I I

" jivatah purusasya kartṛtva-bhoktrtva sukha duhkhādilaksanas citta dharmah klesa-rūpatvāt bandho bhavati tasya nivāranam jivan-muktih

Vidyāranya's Jicanmukti-Viceka Ch I p 9

natatra sūryo bhāti na candra-tārakam nemā vidyuto bhānti kutoyam agnih tam eva bhāntam anubhāti sarvam tasya bhāsā sarvam idam vibhāti

Katha Upanişad 2515

(11) tad visnoh paramanı padam sadā pašyantı sūrayah

Paingala-Upanişad

- bhargo devasya dhimahi (Yayurveda-Samhitā 15) ekam evādvitiyam brahma (Chāndogya-Upanisad 621) Sankara interprets this as sajītiya vijātiya svagata bheda-varjitam brahma
- 1911 sarvam khalv idam brahma
- neha nänästi kim ca na

Katha Upanisad 2411

on manasamānu drastavyam

Brhad-Āranyaka-Upanisad 4419

na nama vici na munasā prāptum šakyo na caksusā

Katha Upamşad 2 6 12

(97) idam adya mayā labdham idam prāpsye manoratham ity ajnāna-vimohitāḥ

Bhagavad-Gītā: 16 13-15

(98) yadā samharate cāyam kūrmongānīva sarvašaņ indriyānīndriyārthebhyan tasya prajnā pratisthitā.

Bhagavad-Gītā: 2 58

yadā pancāvatisthante jnānāni manasā saha buddhis ca navicestate tām āhuh paramām gatim.

Katha-Upanisad: 2.6-10

(100) tatra ko mohah kas sokah ekatvam anupasyatah.

Īśāvāsyopanisad: 7

(101) śārīram kevalam karma kurvan nāpnoti kilbişam

Bhagavad-Gītā: 4.21

(102) yah sarvatrānabhisnehah tat tat prāpya śubhāśubham nābhinandatı na dveṣṭi tasya prajnā pratiṣṭhitā

Bhagavad-Gītā: 2 57

(103) śarīra-yātrāpi ca te na prasidhyet akarmaṇaḥ

Bhagavad-Gītā: 38

(104) pratibodha viditam.

Kena-Upanisad: 12

(105) tad eva brahma tvam viddhi nedam yad idam upāsate.

Kena-Upanisad: 1.8

(106) yo mām paśyati sarvatra sarvam ca mayi paśyati tasyāham na pranaśyāmı sa ca me na pranaśyati

Bhagavad-Gītā: 6 30

(107) sādhibhūtādhidaıvam mām . . ye viduḥ yukta-cetasaḥ.

Bhagavad-Gitās 7.30

(108) deho devālayah proktah.

Maitreyī-Upaniṣad: 2.1

(109) nāyam hantı na hanyate.

Kathopanışad: 1.2 19

oit-svarūpoham iti sadā bhāvayan . . . antar-dṛstyā . . . param brahma avalokayan tad-rūpo bhavati.

Advayatāraka-Upaniṣad: 0.0

hamsātmānam dhyāyet . . . param brahma bhavet . . . tasmin . . . sadāsīvah . . . prakāsate.

Hamsa-Upanisad: 2.

nu na sandrše tisthati rūpam asya na caksusā pašyati kašcanamam hrdā hrdistham manasā ya evam viduh amrtās te bhavanti

Svelūši atara-Upanişad 4 20

om manasaivedam aptavyam neha nänästi kimeana

Katha-Upanisad. 24.11

(111) yogi niyata-manasah . śāntim nirvāna-paramātii mat-satiisthātii adhigacchati

Bhagavad-Gitā 6 15

uiti brahma-veda brahmaiya bhayati

(Mundaka-Upanişad 329)

1114) teşām sukham šāšvatam netaresām tesām šāntīh sāšvatī netaresām.

Katha-Upanişad 2 5.12

niti hamsah rsih . . . parama hamsah devatā . . .

Hamsa-Upanisad 2

dii) väg-arthäv iva sampiktau väg-artha pratipattaye jagatah pitarau vande pärvati-paramešvarau.

Raghuvamsa: 1-1

sa eva māyā parimohitātmā šarīram āsthāya karoti sarvam.. stry annapānādi vicitra-bhogaih sa eva jāgrat paritrptim eti svapne sa jīvah sukhaduhkha bhoktā sva-māyayā kalpita jīva-loke susuptibāle sakale viline tamobhibhūtah sukha-rūpam eti... ādhāram ānandam akhanda-bodham yasmin layam yāti puratrayam ca... tad brahmādvayam asmy aham.

Kawalya-Upanişad 1 12.19

dvāsuparnā sayujā sakhāyā samānam vṛksam pariṣasvajāte tayoranyah pippalam svādu atti anašnan anyo abhicākašīti

Mundaka-Upanışad 311

um yogah citta-vṛtti-nirodhah

Patanjalı-Sütra, 1 2

um Dattātreyo mahonmattah

Dattātrey a-Stotra

riahimnah päraih te parama-viduşo yady asadışlı stutir brahmādināth api tadavasannas tvayı girah əthāvācyāh sarvāh sva-mati-parināmāvadhi gṛnami inamāpy eşa stotro hara nirapavādah parikarah.

Swa-Mahimnah Stotra: 1

Olizario Dattātreya hare krṣṇa unmattānanda-dāyaka digambara muner-bāla piśāca-jnāna-sāgara.

Dattātreya-Upanisad: 1 1

ohiņurvai vāruņih varuņam pitaram upasasāra.

adhīhi bhagavo brahmeti tasmā etat provāca.

annam prānam cakṣuh śrotram manovācam iti tam hovāca.

yato vā imām bhūtām jāyante. yenajātāmi jīvanti.

yatprayantyabhisamvišanti tad vijijnāsasva.

tad brahmeti. sa tapo tapyata. sa tapastaptvā.

annam brahmeti vyajānāt annādhyeva khalv-imāni bhūtāni jāyante. annena jātāni jīvanti. annam prayantya bhisamvišanti tadvijnāya. punareva varunam pitaram upasasāra adhīhi bhagavo brahmeti. tam hovāca. tapasā brahma vijijnāsasva tapo brahmeti.

Taittirīya-Upanişad: 3 1-2

(126) sivas cordhvamayas-saktıh ürdhvasaktımayas sivah tad ittham siva-saktıbhyām nāvyāptam iha kimcana asakrc cāgninā dagdham jagat tat-bhasmasāt kṛtam agner vīryam idam prāhuḥ tad vīryam bhasma yattataḥ.

(Brhajjābāla-Upaniṣad: 2 9–10)

(127) yasyāmatam tasya matam.

Kena-Upanisad: 2.2

(128) kṛpālurakṛtadrohaḥ titikṣuḥ sarva-dehinām sātya-sāro 'navadyātmā samassarvopakārakaḥ.

Avadhūta-Gītā: VII.4

(129) . . . āgamah . . . pramānam sarvatodhikam adṛṣṭārtheṣu samvādāt pramāṇam hyāgamo bhavet.

tasmāt sarvajna gadito hyāgamaḥ sarvadarśanaḥ tatrokto deva evādau śṛṣṭeḥ jagata āsthītaḥ nirupādāna evādau sṛṣṭavānakhilaṁ jagat yasmānmaheśvaraḥ pūrṇaḥ svaccha-svātantrya-saṁyutaḥ.

(Tripurā-Rahasya: Jnānakānḍa: Ch. 11-23, 34, 36, 37)

(130) īśvarānugrahād eva pumsām advaita-vāsanā mahadbhaya-paritrāņād viprāņām upajāyate.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.1

(131) īšānas sarva-vidyānām īšvaras sarva-bhūtānām brahmādhipatiḥ brahmaņodhipatiḥ brahmā šivo mestu sadāšīvom.

Yajur-Veda

an icchamatram prabhossystih.

Mandukya-Upanışad

um matprasādāt tarişyası.

Bhagavad-Gilā: 18 58

au mahāntarh vibhurh ātmānarh matvā-dhīro na šocati.

Katha-Upanişad: 1 2 21

(133) šānto dāntah uparatah titiksuh samāhito bhūtvā ātmanycvātmānam pašyet.

Brhad-Aranyaka Upanisad: 4 4 23

parīksya lokān karmacītān brāhmano nīrvedam āyāt . . tad vijnānārtham sa gurum evābhīgacchet samīt-pānih śrotrīyam brahma-nīstham

Mundaka-Upanişad 1212

nırākāram katham vande hy abhinnam sivam avyayam

Avadhūta-Gītā. 1 2

panca-bhûtātmakam viśvam marīci-jala-sannībham kasyāpy aho namaskuryām aham eko nīranjanah.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.3

nin āścaryosya vaktā.

Katha-Upanişad: 1.2 7.

ascaryavat paśyati kaścid enam

Bhagavad-Gilā 2 29

nănyah panthă ayanāya vidyate

Purusa-sūkia

mana eva manuşyânāth kāranath bandha-moksayoh

Tripuratāpinī-Upanişad: 5 3

nın mano vaco yatra nasaktam-Iritum nünam katham tatra gurüpadesatā?

Avadhūta-Gītā: 2 40

nu, išāvāsyam idagm sarvam yat kim ca jagatyām jagattena tyaktena bhunjithāḥ mā gidhah kasya svid dhanam.

İsavasya-Upanışad: 1

(144) ātmana ākāšah sambhūtah.

Taittirīya-Upanişad: 2.1

(145) yato vā imāni bhūtāni . . . tad brahma.

Taittirīya-Upanişad: 3.1

(146) aham ātmā brahma.

Brhad-Aranyaka-Upanişad: 4.4.5

(147) sarvam khalv idam brahma.

Chāndogya-Upanişzd: 3.3.14

(148) yo brahmāṇam vidadhāti pūrvam vedāms ca sarvān prahiṇoti tasmai .

Vcda

(149) athāto brahma-jijnāsā.

Brahma-Sūtra: 1.1.1

(150) janmādy asya yataļi.

Brahma-Sütra 1 1.2

(151) śāstra-yonitvāt.

Brahma-Sūtva: 1.1.3

(152) tattu samanvayāt.

Brahma-Sūtra: 1.1.4

(153) ikşater näsabdam.

Brahma-Sūtra: 1.1.5

om ity etad akṣaram idam sarvam. tasyopavyākhyānam bhūtam bhavad bhaviṣyad iti sarvam omkāra eva. yac cānyat trikālātītam tad apy omkāra eva. sarvam hy etad brahmāyam ātmā brahma soyam ātmā catuṣpāt . . . amātras caturtho avyavahāryaḥ prapancopasamaḥ sivo advaita evam omkāra ātmaiva samvisanty ātmanātmānam ya evam veda ya evam veda.

Māndūkya-Upaniṣad: 1

aum ity etad aksaram üdgītham upāsītom iti hy udgāyati tasyopavyākhyānam.

Chāndogya-Upanişad: 1.1.1

(156) aum ity brahma, aum itidam sarvam.

Taittirīya-Upanişad: 1.8

(167) sa eşontaś carate bahudhā jāyamānaḥ. aum ity evam dhyāyata ātmānam svasti vaḥ pārāya tamasah parastāt.

Mundaka-Upanişad: 2.2.6

nu vedānta sāra sarvasvam jnānam vijnānam eva ca aham ātmā nirākārah sarva-vyāpi svabhāvatah

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.5

au) sarvam brahmeti vikhyātam bravīti bahudhā śrutih

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1 13

aus vadanti śrutyah sarvāh nirgunam śuddham avyayam

Avadhūta Gītā: 1 20

um neti neti srutir brūyāt anrtam pānca-bhautikam

Avadhūta-Gītā. 1 25

an nahi nahi mantram chando laksanam

Avadhūla-Gītā: 1 75

sa nah piteva sünavegne süpäyano bhava sa ca sva svastaye

Rg-Veda 1.126

This is translated with the following commentary:

he agne tvain asmadartham šobhana-prāpti-yuktah bhava tathā asmākam vināša-rāhityārtham samaveto bhava tatra ubhayatra dīstāntah yathā sūnave putrārtham pitā samaveto bhavati tadvat

Sāyana-Bhāsya

atarkyam anupramānāt

(Katha-Upanişad 128)

nus naisā tarkena matir āpaneyā proktānyenaiva sujnānāya prestha

Katha-Upanisad: 1 2 9

nāyam ātmā pravacanena labhyah na medhayā na bahunā šrutena yam evaisa vrnute tena labhyah tasyaisa ātmā vivrnute tanūm svām

Katha-Upanışad · 1 2 22

nivirito duś-caritāt nāśānto nāsamāhitah naśānta māniso vāpi prajnānenainam āpnuyāt

Katha-Upanisad: 1 2 23

nen yadā yadā hi dharmasya glānir bhavati bhārata abhyutthānam adharmasya tadātmānam sījāmy aham

Bhagavad-Gītā. 47

anı idəm tu guhya tamam pravaksyamy anasüyave

Bhagavad-Gītā: 9 1

(170) teşam nityabhiyuktanam yoga-kşemam vahamy aham.

Bhagavad-Gītā: 9.22

mad-anugrahāya paramam guhyam adhyātma-samjnitam yat tvayoktam vacas tena mohoyam vigato mama.

Bhagavad-Gitā; 11.1

manyase yadi tac chakyam maya drastum iti prabho yogesvara tatho me tvam darsayatmanam avyayam.

Bhagavad-Gītā: 11.4

(173) mayā prasannena tavārjunedam rūpam param daršitam ātmayogāt.

Bhagavad-Gītä: 11.47

na veda yajnādhyayanaih na dānaih na ca kriyābhih na tapobhir ugraih evam rūpah šakyah aham nr-loke draṣṭum tvad-anyena kuru-pravīra

Bhagavad-Gītā: 11.48

sarva-guhya-tamam bhūyaḥ śṛnu me paramam vacaḥ iṣṭosi me dṛḍham iti tato vakṣyāmi te hitam.

Bhagavad-Gītā: 18.64

(176) mā šucaļi sampadam daivīm abhijātosi pāņdava

Bhagavad-Gītā: 16.6

(177) tasmāt šāstram pramānam te kāryākārya-vyavasthitau jnātvā šāstra-vidhānoktam karma-kartum ihārhasi.

Bhagavad-Gitä: 16.23

(178) mac-cittalı sarva-durgāņi mat-prasādāt tarişyasi.

Bhagavad-Gītā: 18.58

mān evaişyasi satyam te pratijāne priyosi me.

Bhagavad-Gilā: 18.65

imām kathām uktavato guroḥ tad-yuktasya tattvam hi samam prakāšate.

(Avadhūta-Gītā: 2.40)

(181) vindati vindati nahı nahi yatra chando-lakşanam nahi nahi tatra.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 5.32

(182) kṛpāluḥ . . . sarvopakārakaḥ.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 8.4

(183) guru-prajnā-prasādena mūrkho vā yadī pandītah yas tu sambudhyate tattvam virakto bhava-sāgarāt

Avadhūta-Gitā · 2 23

usu kasyapy aho namas kurjam aham eko mranjanah

Avadhūla-Gilā: 13

(144) praca-bhūtātmakam višvarii marīci-jala-sannībharii

Avadhūta-Gītā · 1 3

ussi yenedam püritam sarvam

Aradhūla-Gilā 12

out sarva-künyadı akünyadı ca satyasatyadı na vidyate svabhava-bhavatalı proktadı

Azadhūla-Gilā, 176

na deśa-kālau na gurūpadeśah svabhāva-samvitir aham ca tattvam ākāśa kalpam sahajam dhruvam ca

Acadhūta Gītā · 1 58

(189) svabhāva-bhāvatah proktam šāstra-sativitti-pūrvakari

Avadhūla-Gilā. 176

nopāsako naivam upāsya-rūpam na copadešo na ca me krīyā ca samvit-svarūpam ca katham vadāmi svarūpa-nirvānam anāmayoham

Aradhüla-Gila 48

iha-sarva-nirantara-sarva-cite
iha kevala-niscala-sarva-cite
dvi-padādi vivarjita-sarva-cite
kimu rodiši mānasi sarva-samadi

Atadhūta-Gīlā, 5 21

hy avišāla-višāla-vihīna-param mana eva mrantara-sarva-šīvam manasāpi kathani vacasā ca kathani

Avadhūta Gitā · 6 3

om gadītāvidītam nahī satyam iti vidītā-vidītam satyam iti yadī caika-nīrantara-sarva-šīvam visayendrīya-buddhi-manāmsi katham? Aradhūta-Gītā, 6.8 (194) katham iha tattvam vindati yatra rūpam-arūpam katham-iha tatra gaganākāraḥ paramo yatra visayīkaraṇam katham iha tatra.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 7.5

(195) bodha-vibina-param.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 5.15

(196) avikalpa-vikalpam.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 5.18

(197) pratyakşam caparokşam ca

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.35

bhinna-vibhinna-vicāra; bahir-antara-sandhi-vicāra; carā-cara-bheda-vicāra.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 5.4-7-9-10

(199) ekam eva samam tattvam vadanti hi vipaścitah.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.22

(200) manyante yoginah sarvam.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.71

(201) gurūpadešatā.

Avadhūta-Gītā; 1.58

(202) iti tattvamasi prabhṛti-śrutıbhih pratipādītam ātmani tattvamasi tvam upādhi vivarjita-sarva-samam.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 5.2

imām kathām uktavato guros tad-yuktasya imām kathām uktavato guros tad-yuktasya tattvam hi samam prakāsate.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 2.40

(204) sarvam brahmeti vikhyātam bravīti bahudhā śrutiķ.

Avadhūta-Gīlā: 1.3

(205) vadanti śrutayah sarvāh nirgunam śuddhamavyayam.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.5

(206) tattvam asyādi-vākyena svātmāhi pratipāditah.

Avadhūta-Gitā: 1.25

⁽²⁰⁷⁾ neti neti śrutir brūyāt anṛtaṁ. pānca-bhautikaṁ.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.25

den bahudhā šrutayah pravadanti yato
Atadhūta-Gītā: 5 31

tto) bahudhā śrutayah pravadanti

Atadhūla-Gilā, 6 1

12101 auch iti gaditach

Avadhūta-Gītā * 5 1

na künya-rūpani na višūnya-rūpam na šuddha-rūpani na višuddha rūpam rūpani-virūpani na bhavāmi kimeit svarūpa-rūpani paramārtha-tattvani Atadhūla-Gīlā 3 45

gurur na šīsyah.

Atadhūta-Gitā: 2 32

chando-laksanam nahi nahi tatra

Avadhūta-Gilā: 4 25

one avadhūta-laksanam varnaih jnātavyam bhagavattamaih veda-varnārtha-tattvajnaih veda-vedānta-vādibhih

Ai adhūta-Gītā: 8 5

(214) sarvath jagad viddhi

Atadhūla-Gītā: 1-41

(1316) sphuraty eva jagat kṛtsnam

Aradhūta-Gītā 1-61

(117) panca bhūtātmakarh višvarh

Atadhūla-Gilā: 13

(111) vyomādi pancakath

Avadhūla Gitā, 1 46

mm šabdādi pancakasyāsya

Atadhūla-Gila, 1 16

👊 mahadādi jagat sarvaih

Avadhūla-Gīlā 1 45

mahadādi jagat sarvam na kuh cit pratibhāti me Atadhūta-Gīlā, 2 45

mahadadini bhūtāni....

Atadhūla-Gilā · 28

(223) bāhyabhāvam bhavedviśvam antaḥ prakrtir ucyate.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 2.19

(224) (enedam pūritam sarvam) ātmanaivātmanātmani

Avadūta-Gītā: 1 2

yasya svarūpāt sacarācaram jagat utpadyate. tiṣṭhati līyatepīvā

Avadhūta-Gītā: 2,36

(226) kālatrayam yadı ca neti katham diśaśca.

Avadhūta-Gītā. 3 20

vedā na lokāh na surāh na yajnah varnāśramo naiva kulam na jātiḥ na dhūma-mārgo na ca dīpti-mārgo brahmaika-rūpam paramārthatatvam

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1 34

(228) bhrānti-jnānam sthitam bāhyam samyak jnānam ca madhyagam madhyān madhyataram jneyam nārikeļa-phalāmbuvat

Avadhūta-Gītā: 2 20

(229) What is stated is implied in the passages such as:— śabdādi pancakasyāsya naivāsi tvam na te punaḥ.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1 16

(230) atītānāgatam karma vartamānam tathaiva ca na karomi na bhunjāmi iti me nišcalā matih

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1 72

(231) aho citta katham bhrāntah pradhāvasi piśācavat.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.18

(232) (233) gurur ... śisyo ... sampadah.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 2 32

(234) What is stated is implied in the passages like—yoga-viyogaih rahito yogī bhoga-vibhogaih rahito bhogī.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 7–9

(235) ātmānam cāmṛtam hitvā abhinnam mokṣam avyayam gato hi kutsitah kākah vartate narakam prati

Avadhūta-Gītā: 8–10

(236) vistādi-narakam ghoram bhagam ca parinirmitam kimu pasyasi re citta katham tatraiva dhāvasi.

Avadhiita-Gitā: 8 18

dharmādau moksa-paryantam nīrīhāh sarvathāvayam katham rāga-vīrāgais ca kalpayanti vīpascītah?

Atadhūla-Gitā: 7.14

na hi moksa-padam na hi bandha-padam na hi punya-padam na hi papa-padam na hi purna-padam na hi rikta-padam kimu rodisi manasi sarva-samam

Atadhūla-Gīlā 519

dini bodha-vibodhaiah satatarii yukto dvaitādvaitaih katharii iha muktah?

Avadhūta-Gītā. 7 10

(110) sarvam brahmeti vikhyätam braviti bahudhä srutih

Atadhūta-Gītā: 1 13

atmana kevalam sarvam bhedābhedo na vidyate.

Avadhūta-Gitā: 1.4

aham ekam idam sarvam

Atadhūla-Gīlā, 1 10

samam hi sari esu akhanditah

Aradkūta-Gītā: 1 11

ätmänam sarvatraikam . akhandam

Atadhūla-Gīlā: 1 12

sampurnatvāt na genāmi

Avadhūta-Gītā: 26

akhanditam anākāro vartate kevalah siyah

Aradhūta-Gitā, 7 13

All that is stated is supported by passages such as. ātmanyevātmanā sarvarh tvayā pūrnarh nirantararh

Aradhūla-Gilā: 1 26

na tvam näham jagannedam sarvam ätmaiva kevalam

Aradhūla-Gīlā· 1 15

kabdādī pancakasyāsya naīvāsi tvaih na te punah tvaih eva paramam tattvam

Aradhūla-Gīlā: 1 16

mahadādi jagat sarvam na kim cit pratibhāti me brahmaiya kevalam sarvam

Aradhüla-Gilä: 1 45

(243) dvaitādvaita-vivarjitam

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.36

(244) aho māyā mahāmoho dvaitādvaita-vikalpanā.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.61

(245) advaitarūpam akhilam . . . dvaitasvarūpam akhilam. katham vadāmi.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 3.5

(246) gaganopamam tu.

Avadhūta-Gītä: 2.12

(247) nirmūla-mūla-rahito.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 2.12

(248) sahajam ajam acıntyam ... svarūpam.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 2.30

(249) sadanga- yogan na tu naiva suddham mano-vināśān na tu naiva śuddham gurūpadesān na tu naiva suddham svayam ca tattvam svayam eva buddham.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.48

(250) jnänam na tarko na samādhi-yogo na deśa-kālau na gurūpadeśah svabhāva-samvittir aham ca tattvam ākāśa-kalpam sahajam dhruvam ca.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 1.58

(251) räga-dvesa-vinirmuktah sarva-bhūta-hite ratah dṛḍha-bodhaś ca dhīraś ca sa gacchet paramam padam. Avadhūta-Gītā: 2.24

(252) sahajam ajam acintyam yas tu pasyet svarūpam ghatati yadı yatheştam lipyate naiva doşaih sakṛdapi tad-abhāvāt karma kimcın na kuryāt tad api na ca vibaddhah samyami vā tapasvi.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 2.30

(263) vedo na dīkṣā na ca munḍana-krīyā gurur na śişyo na ca yatra sampadah mudrādikam cāpi na tatra bhāsate tam īśam atmānam upaiti śāśvatam.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 2.32

(254) guruśisya-vivarjita-tattva-param.

Avadhūta-Gītā: 5.28

bljakoša iva drumali jagat cit-paramānvantah yah pašyati sa pašyati

na dvaitadi naiva cādvaitadi na ca bijadi na cānkuraļi na sthūladi na ca vā sūksmadi na jātadi jātadi eva ca.

Yogavāsişiha: Utpattiprakarana Sarga 21: 97-98

pralapati tattvani parani avadhūtah,

Atadhūla-Gilā · 7 15

imām kathām uktavato guros tad-yuktasya tattvam hi samam prakāšate

Avadhūta-Gītā 2 40

(114) svabhava-bhavatah proktam šastra-samvitti-pūrvakam

Avadhūta-Gitā: 1 76

svasthe citte buddhayah sambhayanti

Avadhūta-Gītā, 8 27

dattātreyās adhūtena nirmitānandarūpinā ye pathanti ca šīns anti teşām naiva punarbhas ah

Avadhūta-Gītā: 8 28

1241) atmāvāre drastavyah śrotavyo mantavyo nididhyāsitavyah.

Brhad-Aranyaka. 245

INDEX

Absolute, the, xn. 140 Absolutism, 39 Adhi Atma, 103 Advaita, xm. 5, 16, 239, 240, 243, 245 Advaita-bhava, 77, 239 Advaita-disti, 239 Advaita-vasana, 114 Ahankara-tattva, 131 Ananda, 107, 111, 245, 250 Anyatha-khyati, 123 Aparoksa, 125 Apauruseya, 102, 104, 105, 110 Apta, 91, 104 Arjuna, 116	Dattādvaita, Mil, 118 Dattātreya, in mythology, Mi, Mil; in Sāndilya-Upanisad 21, the All complete, 249 Detachment, 247 Devi-Bhāgavata, 57 Dharma-sariisthāpana, 96 Dvaita, 239-40 Empirical philosophies, 93 Epistemology, 119 et seq Equipoise, philosophy of, 146, 148, truth of, 238, 249
Aśrama, 133 Atma-jnāni, 247 Atman, 48, 100-10, 112-3, 115, 143 Atma-samvitti, 123-4 Aum, 112 et seq Avadhūta, 30, 129, 245-6, 248 Avadhūta-Gita, 114, 117-9, 125, 151 et seq, 247-9; philosophy of, 86 et seq	God, grace of, 92, idea of, 95, 136, 142, 145 Guru, 127 Gurupadeśa, 127 Hegel, 14
Avasthās, 107 Avidyā, 6 Bādarāyana's Vedānta Sūtra, 4, 80,	Illusion, 133 Intuition, 94, 241 Iśvara, 94, 102 Iśvarānugraha, xii, 3, 4, 6, 18, 110, 241, 249
Bergson, 14-5, 241 Bhagavad-Gita, 17, 63-4, 66, 69, 90, 116, 240, 247, compared with Avadhūta-Gita, 86 Bhāgavata-Purāna, 51 Bhasma, 32 et seq Bradley, 14 Brahman, 4, 29, 62, 66, 110-1, 115,	James, William, 14, 241 Jina, 99 Jiva, 132 Jivanmukta, xin, 17, 245 Jivanmukti, 58-9, 242, 245 Jung, 15, 241
122, 132, 140-1, 143, 246 Brāhmana, 46 Brahma-Sūtra, 111 Brahmavidyā, 8, 111 Buddha, 90 Buddhi, 16 Cārvāka, 90-1, 94	Kaivalya, 42-3, 126, 250 Kālidāsa, 70 Knowledge, theory of, 120, sources of, 127; relative value of, 127, in essence, 137, not mere idea, 244 Kṛṣṇa, 116
Dakşināmürti-Stotra, 7, 52 Darfana, 90-1, 241, 246	Language, 102-3 Linga-mahā-purāna, 34 Linga-šarīra, 63

Madhva, 105, 113
Mahat-tattva, 131-2
Manas, 121, 125-6, 246
Mānasollāsa, 7
Mārga, dhūma, 134; dipti, 134
Māya, 5, 27-29, 98
Mīmāmsa, 90
Mokṣa, 6, 143 et seq., 244, 249 'Mysticism, 15

Naciketas, 115 Nārāyaṇa, 24 Nidāgha, 9, 10 Nous, 94 'Numinous' experience, 80 Nyāya, 90, 94 Nyāya-vaiseṣika, 99, 241

Ontology, 119, 130 et seq. Otto, Rudolf, 80

Parmenides, 94 Patañjalı, 13, 16 Patañjalı-Sütra, 73 Perception, 125 Pessimism, 98 Philosophy, conception of, 93, 138 Plato, 14 Plotinus, 14 Prakṛti, 131-2 Pramāņa, 100, 105 Pratibhã, xii, 13, 16, 18, 109, 117, 119, 241, 247 Pratyakşa, pāramārthika and yaugika, 99 Psycho-analysis, 15 Puruṣārthas, 133

Radhakrishnan, 16
Rāmānuja, 105, 113
Rationalisation, 15
Rbhu, 9, 10
Reality, 135
Relativity, 142
Religion, and philosophy, 95 et seq
Rņatraya, 238
Rṣis, 239

Pūrva-mīmāmsa, 99

Sabda, 100-1, 104 Sabdabrahma, 79 Sabdapramāņa, 90 Samādhi, 15, 126 Samanvaya, 112, 114, 243 Samarasa, 114, 130, 245, 249-50 Samarasa-magna, 135 Sampradāya, 110 Samskāra, 18, 76, 238 Sänkhya, 90, 99 Sankarācārya, 5, 6, 12, 54, 105, 113 Sästra-samvitti, 123 Sat-kārya-vāda, 131 Science, and philosophy, 240, 241 Siva-Rahasya, 25 Sohambhāva, 25 Spinoza, 14 Śraddhā, 97, 247 Sucindram, 75 n Suddhāvaita, 151 Sureśvarācārya, 7 Svabhāva-bhāva, 120, 121 Svabhäva-samvitti, 120

Tanmātras, 131
Tarka, 126, 246
Theism, 39
Tripurā-Rahasya 6, 8, 51, 75, 84, 87, 240
Turīya, 44, 107
Turīyāvasthā, 91

Upadeśa, 138 Upanisads. Adhyātma, 53 Advayatāraka, 44, 68 Aitareya, 167, 182 Avadhūta, 31 Bhasmajābāla, 37 Brahma Vidyā, 47 Brhadaranyaka xiii, 8, 62, 128, 135, 140, 146-8, 152-6, 158-61, 163, 167, 169-70, 172-3, 176-80, 182-5, 188-91, 193, 196-9, 201, 240, 249 Brhajjābāla, 32, 81 Chāndogya, 112, 154-61, 163-4, 182-3, 167-8, 170-2, 177-9, 186-7, 190-1, 195, 200. Dakşināmūrti, 29, 38

Dattātreya, xii, 24, 53, 244

Upaniṣads —cont Dhyāna-bindu, 40 Gopālapūrva tāpiny, 41 Hamsa, 68, 70 Hayagrīva, 40 Išāvāsya, 6, 64, 108, 152, 154-5 Jābāla-Daršana, 51 Kaṭha, 60, 62, 64, 66, 69, 88-9, 109,	Upanişads —cont Tripuratăpiny, 38 Vărăha, 29, 56 Yājnavalkya, 28 Yoga, 12 Yoga-tattva, 37, 42-3 Uttara-mīmāmsa, 246
115, 152-4, 156 60, 162, 164-7, 169, 171-6, 179, 181, 185,	Vairāgya, 244
	Vaisesika, 131
188-9, 191-4, 198-9 Kaivalya, 71	Varna, 133
Kena, 66, 156-7, 163, 169, 190	Vāsana, 238
Maitreys, 47	Veda, 90-1, 105 et seq, 117, 129-30,
Mandala Brāhmana, 30	138-40, essence of, 143, 246, 248
Māndūkya, 4, 88, 112, 152-3, 158,	Vedanta, 7, 90, 110-2, 114, 129-30,
175, 178	138-40, 246
Mantrika, 29, 39	Vedavyasa, 100
Mundaka, 59, 72, 88, 112, 153-6,	Verbal testimony, 90, 101, 104, 126
159-61, 168, 174, 181, 184,	Vicāra, 126
186-7, 190-1, 195-7, 200, 246	Vidyāranya, 59
Nārada-parīvrājaka, 31	Vipra, 5, 46, 87-8
Nysimhottara tapany, 28	Vismaya, 245
Paramahamsa, 31	Visnupurāna, 8-10, 240
Prasna, 166, 170	Vitarka, 126
Rāmapūrvatāpiny, 26, 38	
Rāmarahasya 26	
Sandilya, xii 17, 21-2, 78, 242, 247	Whitehead, 13, 241
Sanyasa, 32	Women, 248
Sarabha 27	•
Sarvasāra 28. 43 49	
Sathyanayaniya 45	Yājnavalkya, 8
Švetāšvatara, 69	Yoga, 43-4, 73, 125, 241, 246
Taituriya, 4, 79, 112, 153-5, 167,	Yoga-Sütra, 13
171, 179 187, 190, 194, 198	Yoga-Vāsista-Rāmāyana, 3, 5, 11,
Lejo-Dindu, 46, 56	147, 240
Tripādvibhūti mahā nārāyana, 28	Yogi-pratyaksa, 125

